### **Biological assessment**

Version: June 2018

# Aquatic macrophytes, collecting data along a belt transect

### 1 Purpose and scope

Macrophyte data can be collected by establishing belt transects and/or quadrats at a site. This document describes how to collect aquatic macrophyte data in the field using a belt transect.

This method is difficult to employ in water that is highly turbid. Additionally, the method may not be appropriate for collecting macrophyte data from deep sections of water, although the likelihood of macrophytes growing in deep sections may be low.

### 2 Associated documents

Sampling design and preparation:

- Permits and approvals
- Record keeping, including taking field photographs and videos

Biological assessment: Background to aquatic macrophytes, collecting samples along a belt transect

### 3 Health and safety

Before following the methods contained in this document, a detailed risk management process (identification, assessment, control and review of the hazards and risks) must be undertaken. All work carried out must comply with the Queensland Work Health and Safety legislative obligations.

### 4 Permits and approvals

Permits and approvals may be required to conduct activities involving animals, plants and/or in protected areas (for example National Park/Regional Park, State Forest or State Marine Park). See *Permits and approvals* document for more information on requirements.

### 5 Skills, training and experience

At least one staff member must have experience in identifying aquatic macrophytes.

### 6 Equipment

See Appendix 1 for example equipment checklist.



## 7 Procedure

### 7.1 Locating the belt transect

A belt transect is a defined area, usually a rectangle, of specified size within which aquatic macrophyte data can be recorded. The dimensions of the belt transect are defined by the objectives of the monitoring program. The boundary of the belt transect can be defined with a tape measure, or visually using a range finder. The edges of the river can define two of the edges of the belt transect.

Data can be collected from:

- a location where a specific habitat is present
- a number of belt transect positions used to represent a site. These may encompass a number of different flow, substrate and depth combinations. For example, four 10m belt transects could be established across the channel width within a 100m reach of stream, with each belt transect separated by 20m (Figure 1). The number of belt transects used and the distances between each will depend upon the monitoring objectives.



100m

#### Figure 1: Example of a belt transect placement

### 7.2 Data collection

Marcrophyte presence and relative abundance should be estimated along each transect. Macrophyte relative abundance can be recorded as the per cent cover of plant material per species above the substrate when viewed from above. The per cent cover for each taxon can be scored using either numerical (Table 1) or descriptive (Table 2) notation. The total per cent cover for all aquatic macrophyte taxa in a belt transect can equal more than 100 per cent, because macrophyte species from different growth forms can occur in the same location but at different depths. For example, floating and submerged macrophyte species can occur at the same position along the belt transect. In order to collect the data along a transect:

- 1. Position the belt transect within the sampling reach using a tape measure or rangefinder.
- 2. Work from the most downstream belt transect to the most upstream belt transect in order to avoid obscuring the view into the water by stirring up sediment and debris.
- 3. Wade into the water to the location of the belt transect.
- 4. Wade along the length of the belt transect, looking down into the water from above at the macrophytes present.
- 5. At the end of the belt transect record the required data (e.g. macrophyte species presence and relative abundance) onto a field sheet (Appendix 2).
- 6. Repeat the process for other belt transects.

#### Table 1: Macrophyte cover as a numerical score

Category	Per cent cover of macrophyte taxon
1	0%
2	1–5%
3	6–25%
4	26–50%
5	51–75%
6	76–95%
7	96–100%

#### Table 2: Macrophyte cover as a descriptive category

Category	Per cent cover of macrophyte taxon				
Absent (A)	0%				
Isolated (I)	1–5%				
Scattered (S)	6–20%				
Beds/Stands (B)	21–50%				
Overgrowing/Filling Channel (O)	51–100%				

### 7.3 Confirmation of species identification

Representative samples of aquatic macrophytes that cannot be identified in the field should be collected and pressed<sup>1</sup> for later identification using appropriate keys. Plants should be identified to species level where possible, and a reference herbarium can be maintained. Where a specimen cannot be identified to the species level, it should be lodged with the Queensland Herbarium for formal identification. Specimen collection and vouchering should be done in accordance with the Queensland Herbarium procedures<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Queensland Herbarium (2013) Collection and preserving plant specimens, a manual. Department of Science, Information Technology, Innovation and the Arts. <u>https://www.qld.gov.au/environment/assets/documents/plants-animals/herbarium/collecting-manual.pdf</u>

### 8 References and additional reading

Barrat-Segretain, M-H 2001, Biomass allocation in three macrophyte species in relation to the disturbance level of their habitat, *Freshwater Biology* 46, 935-945.

Bini, LM, Thomaz, SM, Murphy, KJ and Camargo, AFM 1999, 'Aquatic macrophyte distribution in relation to water and sediment conditions in the Itaipu Reservoir', Brazil, *Hydrobiologia* 415, 147-154.

Champion, PD and Tanner, CC 2000, 'Seasonality of macrophytes and interaction with flow in a New Zealand lowland stream', *Hydrobiologia* 441, 1-12.

Duivenvoorden, LJ 1992, 'Aquatic macrophytes of the Fitzroy River Catchment,' in LJ Duivenvoorden, DF Yule, LE Fairweather and AG Lawrie (eds) *Proceedings, Fitzroy Catchment Symposium held at the University of Central Queensland*, Rockhampton, Queensland.

Duivenvoorden, LJ 1995, *Biological and Ecological Data (excluding fisheries) on the Dawson River System with Particular Reference to the Proposed Nathan Dam: August 1995, River and Wetland Ecology Group, Centre for Land and Water Resource Development, Central Queensland University, Rockhampton, Queensland.* 

Goes, BJM 2002, 'Effects of river regulation on aquatic macrophyte growth and floods in the Hadeja-Nguru Wetlands and flow in the Yobe River, northern Nigeria; Implications for future water management', *River Research and Applications* 18, 81-95.

Mackay, SJ and Thompson CT 2000, 'Flow Requirements of Submerged Aquatic Macrophytes', in AH Arthington, SO Brizga, SC Choy, MJ Kennard, SJ Mackay, RO McCosker, JL Ruffini and JM Zalucki (eds), *Environmental Flow Requirements of the Brisbane River Downstream from Wivenhoe Dam*, South East Queensland Water Corporation, Brisbane, and Centre for Catchment and In-Stream Research, Griffith University, Brisbane, Queensland.

Ogden, RW 2000, 'Modern and historical variation in aquatic macrophyte cover of billabongs associated with catchment development', *Regulated Rivers: Research and Management* 16, 497-512.

Prosser, I, Bunn, S, Mosisch T, Ogden R and Karssies L 1999, 'The delivery of sediment and nutrients to streams', in S Lovett and P Price (eds) *Riparian Land Management Technical Guidelines, Volume One: Principles of Sound Management*, Land and Water Resources Research and Development Corporation (LWRRDC), Canberra.

Sainty, GR and Jacobs, SWL 1994, *Waterplants in Australia: A Field Guide*, Third Edition, Sainty and Associates, Darlinghurst, Sydney.

Schulz, R 1999, 'A field study of the importance of turbidity and bed load transport of sediments for aquatic macroinvertebrates and fishes', *Verhandlungen der Gesellschaft fur Okologie* 26, 247-252.

Stephens, KM and Dowling, RM 2002, *Wetland Plants of Queensland: A Field Guide,* CSIRO publishing, Collingwood, Victoria.

Wood, PJ and Armitage, PD 1999, 'Sediment deposition in a small lowland stream – management implications'. *Regulated Rivers: Research & Management* 15, 199-210.

# Appendix 1

#### Table 1: Equipment checklist

Equipment	~
Tape measure or range finder.	
Plant press and paper.	
Aquatic macrophyte identification field guides	
Field sheets	
Camera, charger	
GPS	
Spare batteries	
Waders	
First aid kit	

# Appendix 2

Example of an aquatic macrophyte field sheet

Aq	uatic Macrophyte Fi	eld Sh	eet							
Proj	ect					Date:				
Sito	». No:					Sample				
One	NO.					number:				
% N I d/s u/s	Percentage coverIIsolateNativeSScatteIntroducedBBeds/sSpecimen retainedOOvergDownstream siteUpstream site					olated cattered eds/stands wergrowth/filling channel				
Notes	: List and circle abundance ca	ategory per	r sectio	n, two	if inter	mediate.				
	Taxon/Species	%	N	I	#	1 d/s	2	3	4 u/s	
						ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	іѕво	
						ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ISBO	ISBO	ISBO	
						ІЅВО	ISBO	ISBO	ISBO	
						ІЅВО	ISBO	ISBO	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ISBO	ISBO	ISBO	
						ІЅВО	ISBO	ISBO	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ISBO	ISBO	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ISBO	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ISBO	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ISBO	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ISBO	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ISBO	ISBO	ІЅВО	
						ІЅВО	ІЅВО	ISBO	ISBO	
						ІЅВО	ISBO	ISBO	ISBO	
						ІЅВО	ISBO	ISBO	ISBO	
						ISBO	ISBO	ІЅВО	ІЅВО	