Environmental Protection (Water and Wetland Biodiversity) Policy 2019

Tully River, Murray River and Hinchinbrook Island Basins Environmental Values and Water Quality Objectives

basins 113, 114, 115 and adjacent coastal waters



Prepared by: Environmental Policy and Planning Division, Department of Environment and Science

© State of Queensland, 2020.

The Queensland Government supports and encourages the dissemination and exchange of its information. The copyright in this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Australia (CC BY) licence.



Under this licence you are free, without having to seek our permission, to use this publication in accordance with the licence terms.

You must keep intact the copyright notice and attribute the State of Queensland as the source of the publication. For more information on this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/au/deed.en

If you need to access this document in a language other than English, please call the Translating and Interpreting Service (TIS National) on $131\ 450$ and ask them to telephone Library Services on $+61\ 7\ 3170\ 5470$.

This publication can be made available in an alternative format (e.g. large print or audiotape) on request for people with vision impairment; phone +61 7 3170 5470 or email < library@des.qld.gov.au>.

October 2020

Addendum Contents

| 1 | Introduction | n | 3 |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--|----|
| 2 | Amendmer | nts | 4 |
| 3 | Water qual | ity objectives for human use environmental values | 14 |
| | 3.1 | Human use EVs water quality objectives | 14 |
| | 3.2 | Drinking water EV water quality objectives | 17 |
| | 3.3 | Aquaculture EV water quality objectives | 18 |
| | 3.4 | Irrigation EV water quality objectives | 21 |
| | 3.5 | Stock watering EV water quality objectives | 23 |
| | 3.6 | Recreation EV water quality objectives - cyanobacteria | 25 |
| | | | |
| A | ddendu | um Tables | |
| Ta | able 1 Summa | ary of amendments | 3 |
| Ta | able 2 Aquatio | ecosystem water quality objectives: coastal and marine waters | 4 |
| Ta | able 3 Human | use EVs water quality objectives | 14 |
| Ta ind | able 4 Drinkin cluding groun | g water EV: Priority water quality objectives for drinking water supply in the vicinity of off-takes, dwater, before treatment | 17 |
| Ta | able 5 Aquacu | ılture EV: General water quality objectives for tropical aquaculture | 18 |
| Ta | able 6 Aquacu | ulture EV: Water quality objectives for optimal growth of particular freshwater species | 19 |
| Ta | able 7 Aquacu | ulture EV: Water quality objectives for optimal growth of particular marine species | 20 |
| | | on EV: Water quality objectives for thermotolerant (faecal) coliforms in irrigation waters used for for rops1 | |
| | | on EV: Water quality objectives for heavy metals and metalloids in agricultural irrigation water— stamination loading limit (CCL), long-term trigger value (LTV) and short-term trigger value (STV) ¹ | |
| | | watering EV: Water quality objectives for tolerances of livestock to salinity, as total dissolved soli | |
| | | watering EV: Water quality objectives (low risk trigger values) for heavy metals and metalloids in ng water | |
| Ta | able 12 Recre | ational waters: Alert levels and corresponding actions for management of cyanobacteria | 25 |

October 2020 Amendments: Tully River, Murray River and Hinchinbrook Island basins

1 Introduction

This amendment document (October 2020) is made pursuant to the Environmental Protection (Water and Wetland Biodiversity) Policy 2019, and applies to all Wet Tropics schedule 1 documents, scheduled in 2014.

Section 13 (2) (b) of the EPP (Water and Wetland Biodiversity), and section 1.6 (Matters for amendment) of the respective schedule documents outline permissible amendment types. These include changes to water quality objectives (WQOs); changes to water type boundaries/descriptions; updates to information/data sources, websites and email contact details, agency/departmental names, other institutional names, references.

Table 1 summarises the 2020 amendments. Table 2 provides updated aquatic ecosystem WQOs. Section 3 provides updated human use WQOs. Aside from the changes below, the content from 2014 remains applicable.

Table 1 Summary of amendments

| 2014 content | 2020 amended content |
|---|--|
| Table 2.1 Water quality objectives for physico- chemical, nutrient, algal and water clarity indicators to protect the aquatic ecosystems EVs under baseflow conditions (Coastal, Midshelf and Offshore Waters only) | Table 2 Aquatic ecosystem water quality objectives: coastal and marine waters, replaces Table 2.1 for coastal and marine waters. |
| Table 2.3 Water quality objectives for specific pesticides and biocides to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs | ANZG, 2018, replaces Table 2.3 |
| Table 2.4 Water quality objectives for other ions, metals and chemical indicators in surface waters | Wet Tropics basins schedule documents (excluding Barron River Basin): ANZG, 2018, replaces Table 2.4 |
| AWQG or ANZECC guidelines Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (October 2000) | Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZG, 2018), as amended. |
| Monitoring and Sampling Manual 2009 | Monitoring and Sampling Manual 2018, as amended. Published on the department's website. |
| All legislative references | Refer to the latest version under the Acts Interpretation Act, 1954, as amended |
| Wet Tropics Coastal waters plan WQ1082 | Revised coastal waters plan WQ1082 (available from the department's website) |
| Section 3.3 Water quality objectives for human use environmental values (including tables 3.1-3.10) | Section 3 Water quality objectives for human use environmental values (including tables 3-12) |

2 Amendments

WET TROPICS COASTAL WATERS - AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM WQOs AMENDMENTS 2020

Applying to enclosed coastal, open coastal, midshelf and offshore marine waters of all Wet Tropics basins. Refer accompanying plan, WQ1082.

Table 2 Aquatic ecosystem water quality objectives: coastal and marine waters

| | WET TROPICS - COASTAL AND N (refer plan WQ108 Aquatic Ecosystem water quali Note: WQGs for indicators are shown as a range of 20th, 50th and 80th percentiles to be maintained or achieve | | | | | | | | | | :) | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| Water area/type | Management | | Gs for indicators a value WQOs, med | | _ | • | • | | | • | | • • | • | . | | | e.g. <15). |
| (Source: s1-s6) | intent /Level of protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (refer plan WQ1082) | Isources: \$1: Local datasets/reporting: \$2: OWOG guidelines and /or data: \$3: (aBRMPA (2010)) WOG: \$4: (aBRMPA analysis of Marine Monitorin | | | | | | | | | | | | itoring Prog | gram and/or | AIMS Long 1 | Term Monito | ring |
| | | Amm N¹ (μg/L) | Oxid N¹ (μg/L) | Partic N ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss N (μg/L) | Total N (μg/L) | FRP (μg/L) | Partic P ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss P (μg/L) | Total P (μg/L) | Chl-a ⁵ (μg/L) | Silicate (μg/L) | DO ³ (% sat) | Turb (NTU) | Secchi (m) | SS^{2, 5} (mg/L) | рН |
| WET TROPICS ENCI | OSED CO | ASTAL/ | LOWER E | STUARY | / WATEI | RS – All V | VET T | ROPICS | S BASII | NS | | | | | | | |
| WET TROPICS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HEV and SD enclosed coastal/ lower estuary waters HEV3001, HEV3041, HEV3061, HEV3081, HEV3121 | HEV | 7–10–15 (s2) | 2–3–10 (s2) | ID | na | 95–115–160 (s2) | 2–3–5 (s2) | ID | na | 9–13–20 (s2) | 0.7-1.1-2.0 (s2) | na | 85–105 (s2) | 1–4–10 (s2) | 1–1.6–2.2 (s2) | ID | 7.5–8.4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (s2) |
| SD3041, SD3081, SD3121 (s2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | WET | TROP | ICS - COA | STAL A | ND M | ARINE WA | TERS | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|---|--|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | | (refer | plan W | /Q1082 | 2) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | objective | | | | | | |
| Water area/type (Source: s1-s6) | Management intent /Level | For single | Gs for indicators a value WQOs, med n ecological value; | dians (or mea | ins where spe | cified) of test d | ata are c | ompared aga | inst the Wo | QO (refer t | o 'Note 7: com | parison of t | test data w | | | | (e.g. <15). |
| (refer plan WQ1082) | of protection | Sources: S | 1: Local datasets/datasets; S5: ANZG | reporting; S2 | 2: QWQG guid | elines and /or o | lata; S3: | GBRMPA (20: | | | | | | gram and/or A | AIMS Long | Term Monito | ring |
| | | Amm N¹ (μg/L) | Oxid N¹ (μg/L) | Partic N ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss N (μg/L) | Total N (μg/L) | FRP (μg/L) | Partic P ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss P (μg/L) | Total P (μg/L) | Chl-a ⁵ (μg/L) | Silicate (μg/L) | DO ³ (% sat) | Turb (NTU) | Secchi (m) | SS ^{2, 5} (mg/L) | рН |
| WET TROPICS OPEN | I COASTA | L WAT | ERS – ALL | BASINS | EXCEP. | T HERBE | RT RI | VER BA | SIN (re | efer se | parate r | ow be | low) | | | | |
| WET TROPICS HEV and SD open coastal waters HEV3121, SD3121 (EXCLUDES Herbert Palm Island Group) (s2, s3, s4) | HEV | ≤2 (s4) | 0.07–0.35– 1.15 (s4) | ≤20 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤16 (May-Oct) Wet:≤25 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 50-80-100 (s4) | 65-100-125 (s4) | 0-2-3 (s4) | ≤2.8 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤2.3 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤3.3 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 3–6–10 (s4) | 5–11–20 (s4) | ≤0.45 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤0.32 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤0.63 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 90–165– 260 (s4) | 95–105 (s2) | 0.6–0.9–1.8 (s3, s4) | ≥10 (ann. mean) (s3) | ≤2 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤1.6 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤2.4 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 8.1–8.4 (s2) |
| WET TROPICS Open coastal waters not identified as HEV or SD (EXCLUDES Herbert Palm Island Group) (s2, s3, s4) | SD mapped as MD | ≤2 (s4) | ≤0.35 (s4) | ≤20 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤16 (May-Oct) Wet:≤25 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | ≤80 (s4) | ≤100 (s4) | ≤2 (s4) | ≤2.8 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤2.3 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤3.3 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | ≤6 (s4) | ≤11 (s4) | ≤0.45 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤0.32 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤0.63 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | ≥165 (s4) | 95–105 (s2) | ≤1 (s3, s4) | ≥10 (ann. mean) (s3) | ≤2 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤1.6 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤2.4 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 8.1–8.4 (s2) |
| WET TROPICS OPEN | I COASTA | L WAT | ERS – HER | BERT R | IVER BA | ASIN (Pal | m Isla | and Gro | up) | | | | | | | _ | |
| HERBERT - PALM ISLAND GROUP HEV and SD open coastal waters HEV3124, SD3124 (s2, s3, s4) | HEV/SD | ≤3 (s4) | 0.14-0.28-1.70 (s4) | ≤20 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤16 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤25 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 55–75–95 (s4) | 70–100–125 (s4) | 0-2-4 (s4) | ≤2.8 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤2.3 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤3.3 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 3–6–10 (s4) | 7–11–20 (s4) | ≤0.45 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤0.32 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤0.63 (Nov-Apr) (s3,s4) | 90–165– 260 (s4) | 95–105 (s2) | 0.6–0.8–1.3 (s3, s4) | ≥10 (ann. mean) (s3) | ≤2 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤1.6 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤2.4 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 8.1–8.4 (s2) |

| | | | | | | WET | TROP | ICS - COA | STAL A | ND M | ARINE WA | TERS | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------|--|--|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | | (refer | plan W | Q1082 | 2) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Aqu | atic Ed | cosystem | water | quality | y objective | es ¹⁻⁷ | | | | | |
| Water area/type | Management | For single | Gs for indicators a value WQOs, med | lians (or mea | ns where spe | cified) of test d | ata are c | ompared aga | inst the WO | QO (refer t | to 'Note 7: com | parison of | test data w | | | | (e.g. <15). |
| (Source: s1–s6) | intent /Level of protection | | n ecological value; | | | • | | | | • | · | | | | | | |
| (refer plan WQ1082) | • | | 1: Local datasets/ latasets; S5: ANZG | | | | | | 10) WQG; S | 4: GBRMF | PA analysis of M | larine Mon | itoring Pro | gram and/or / | AIMS Long | Term Monito | ring |
| | | Amm N¹ (μg/L) | Oxid N¹ (μg/L) | Partic N ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss N (μg/L) | Total N (μg/L) | FRP (μg/L) | Partic P ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss P (μg/L) | Total P (μg/L) | Chl-a ⁵ (μg/L) | Silicate (µg/L) | DO ³ (% sat) | Turb (NTU) | Secchi (m) | SS ^{2, 5} (mg/L) | рН |
| HERBERT - PALM ISLAND GROUP Open coastal waters not identified as HEV or SD (s2, s3, s4) | SMD mapped as MD | ≤3 (s4) | ≤0.28 (s4) | ≤20 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤16 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤25 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | ≤75 (s4) | ≤100 (s4) | ≤2 (s4) | ≤2.8 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤2.3 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤3.3 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | ≤6 (s4) | ≤11 (s4) | ≤0.45 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤0.32 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤0.63 (Nov-Apr) (s3) | ≥165 (s4) | 95–105 (s2) | ≤1 (s3, s4) | ≥10 (ann. mean) (s3) | ≤2 (ann. mean) Dry: ≤1.6 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤2.4 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 8.1–8.4 (s2) |
| WET TROPICS MIDS | SHELF WA | TERS - | - ALL WET | TROPIC | S BASIN | IS EXCEP | T HE | RBERT I | RIVER | BASIN | l (refer s | eparat | te row | below) | | | |
| WET TROPICS HEV3121 midshelf waters EXCLUDES Herbert Palm Island Group (s2, s3, s4) | HEV | ≤2 (s4) | 0.14-0.31-0.78 (s4) | 10–14–18 Dry: ≤16 (May-Oct) Wet:≤25 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 60–80–105 (s4) | 75-100-130 (s4) | 0-2-3 (s4) | 1.5–2.0–3.0 Dry: ≤2.3 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤3.3 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 3–6–10 (s4) | 6-8-15 (s4) | 0.2-0.3-0.46 Dry: ≤0.32 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤0.63 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 50–95– 165 (s4) | 95–105 (s2) | 0.4–0.6–0.8 (s3, s4) | 6–9–14 (s4) | 0.6–1.1–1.8 Dry: ≤1.6 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤2.4 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 8.1–8.4 (s2) |
| WET TROPICS MIDS | SHELF WA | TERS - | - HERBERT | RIVER | BASIN (| Palm Isla | nd G | iroup) | | | | | | | | | |
| HERBERT PALM ISLAND GROUP HEV3124 midshelf waters (s2, s3, s4) | HEV | ≤3 (s4) | 0.14-0.31-2.08 (s4) | 10–14–20 Dry: ≤16 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤25 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 55–75–95 (s4) | 70–100–115 (s4) | 0-1-4 (s4) | 1.5–2.0–2.8 Dry: ≤2.3 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤3.3 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 3–6–10 (s4) | 5–10–15 (s4) | 0.18–0.33– 0.57 Dry: ≤0.32 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤0.63 (Nov-Apr) (s3,s4) | 40–85– 150 (s4) | 95–105 (s2) | 0.4–0.5–0.7 (s3, s4) | 9–13–17 (s3, s4) | 0.5–0.8–1.6 Dry: ≤1.6 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤2.4 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 8.1–8.4 (s2) |

| Water area/type (Source: s1–s6) (refer plan WQ1082) | Management intent /Level of protection | For single v HEV – high Sources: Si | _ | dians (or mea ; SD – slightly /reporting; S2 | ns where spec disturbed; MI : QWQG guide | Aqu th, 50th and 80th cified) of test d D – moderately | percenti ata are co disturbe | (refer cosystem les to be mai ompared aga ed. Refer to ac GBRMPA (20) | plan Water ntained or a inst the WC | Q1082 quality achieved (QO (refer to | objective e.g. 3–4–5), low to 'Note 7: com or details; ID – i | es 1-7 wer and up parison of the | test data w data | ith WQOs' fo | r more deta | ails). | |
|---|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|----|
| | | Amm N¹ (μg/L) | Oxid N¹ (μg/L) | Partic N ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss N (μg/L) | Total N (μg/L) | FRP (μg/L) | Partic P ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss P (μg/L) | Total P (μg/L) | Chl-a ⁵ (μg/L) | Silicate (µg/L) | DO ³ (% sat) | Turb (NTU) | Secchi (m) | SS ^{2, 5} (mg/L) | рН |
| WET TROPICS OFFS | SHORE WA | TERS - | - ALL WET | TROPI | CS BASII | NS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WET TROPICS HEV3122 offshore waters (s2, s3, s4) | HEV | ≤2 (s4) | 0-0.6-2 (s4) | 10–12–16 Dry: ≤14 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤20 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 55–75–95 (s4) | 70–95–120 (s4) | 0-2-3 (s4) | 1.2–1.7–2.4 Dry: ≤1.5 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤2.3 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 2–5–8 (s4) | 4–6–9 (s4) | 0.2–0.3–0.5 Dry: ≤0.28 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤0.56 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | 25–50– 100 (s4) | 95–105 (s2) | ≤1 (s2, s4) | 13–18–23 (s3, s4) | 0.3–0.6–1.0 Dry: ≤0.6 (May-Oct) Wet: ≤0.8 (Nov-Apr) (s3, s4) | |

| | | | | | | WET | TROPI | CS - COA | ASTAL A | ND MA | RINE WA | ATERS | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | (refer | plan W | Q1082 |) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Aqu | atic Ed | osysten | water | quality | objectiv | es 1-7 | | | | | |
| Water area/type (Source: s1-s6) (refer plan WQ1082) | Management intent /Level of protection | For single v HEV – high Sources: S1 | s for indicators alue WQOs, me ecological value .: Local datasets atasets; S5: ANZ | dians (or mea e; SD — slightly /reporting; S2 | ns where spec disturbed; MI :: QWQG guide | ified) of test of the control of the | data are co y disturbe data; S3: 0 | ompared aga d. Refer to a GBRMPA (20 | ccompanyir | QO (refer to | 'Note 7: con details; ID – | nparison of tinsufficient | test data wi data | th WQOs' fo | r more deta | ils). | |
| | | Amm N¹ (μg/L) | Oxid N ¹ (µg/L) | | Total Diss N (μg/L) | Total N (μg/L) | FRP (µg/L) | Partic P ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss P (μg/L) | Total P (μg/L) | Chl-a ⁵ (μg/L) | Silicate (μg/L) | DO ³ (% sat) | Turb (NTU) | Secchi (m) | SS ^{2, 5} (mg/L) | рН |
| WET TROPICS COAS | STAL AND | MARIN | IE WATE | RS – TO | XICANTS | (INCLU | DING | METAI | LS, BIO | CIDES |) | | • | | | | |
| Coastal (including lower estuary) and marine waters outside ports, marinas, spoil grounds: toxicants (s1, s3, s5, s6) | all | Toxica Ship- Pollui | - King (ava | xicant default purces, where e development MPA (2010) M et al (2017, a ilable from Q n: <2.1 µg/L (5 ts: refer to AN ants (including and Regulation | guideline valutheir guideline their guideline | ves for water of a values post-of a values post-of a values post-of a values for the control of a value of a v | duality in a date the specific date the specific date the specific date the specific date and the specific dat | aquatic ecosy pecified ANZ Parrier Reef I Puatic ecosys measured cor for sediment pollutants (incomensulation) | ystems', as a G guideline Marine Park tem protect oncentratio quality' cluding sewa | amended value, or wanted value, or was 2010 ion guidelin n in seawar | ne values for passes | pesticides co | ommonly us 0.45 µm fil | ed in the Gre ter) [Source: | eat Barrier R Golding et | eef catchme | nt area |

| | | | | | | WET | TROP | ICS - COA | STAL A | ND MA | ARINE WA | ATERS | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | (refer | plan W | Q1082 | .) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Aqu | atic Ed | osystem | water | quality | objectiv | es 1-7 | | | | | |
| Water area/type | | | s for indicators a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (e.g. <15). |
| (Source: s1-s6) | Management intent /Level | HEV – high | ecological value | ; SD – slightly | disturbed; MI | D – moderatel | y disturbe | d. Refer to a | ccompanyir | ng plans fo | r details; ID – | insufficient | data | | | | |
| (refer plan WQ1082) | of protection | Sources: S1: | : Local datasets/ tasets; S5: ANZO | reporting; S2 | : QWQG guide | elines and /or | data; S3: (| GBRMPA (20 | | | | | | gram and/or | AIMS Long | Term Monito | ring |
| | | Amm N¹ (μg/L) | Oxid N¹ (μg/L) | Partic N ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss N (μg/L) | Total N (μg/L) | FRP (μg/L) | Partic P ⁵ (μg/L) | Total Diss P (μg/L) | Total P (μg/L) | Chl-a ⁵ (μg/L) | Silicate (μg/L) | DO ³ (% sat) | Turb (NTU) | Secchi (m) | SS ^{2, 5} (mg/L) | рН |
| Coastal (including lower estuary) and marine waters in ports, marinas, spoil grounds: toxicants (s1, s3, s5, s6) | -" | potenti o A o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o o T o C o C o T o C o C o T o C o C o T o C o C o T o C o C o T o C o C o T o C o C o T o C o C o T o C o C o T o C o C o C o T o C o C o C o C o C o C o C o C o C o C | es in water: refe ANZG (2018) 'too The following so date of guideline GBRMPA (King et al (| n: kicant default urces, where developmen 1: <24 µg/L (9 er to 99% spe- kicant default urces, where developmen 2010) Water 2017, as ame from Queens s: refer to AN ants (including and Regulation | guideline valuate de la companya de | ues for water of a values post-ocant): otection. Appl on values (tributes for water of a values post-ocant): nes for the Grand 2) Propose ent publication efault guidelinarge of ship-sto Maritime Section 1: | quality in a date the spines to the tyltin: app quality in a date the spine addate the spine addate daquatic ns) ne values for sourced popervices Qui | aquatic ecosy pecified ANZ measured co oly 95% speci aquatic ecosy pecified ANZ r Reef Marine ecosystem pi for sediment ollutants (ince eensland we | rstems', as a G guideline encentration es protection stems', as a G guideline e Park 2010 rotection guidelity' luding sewa bsite for fui | amended value, or values) amended value, or values) amended value, or valued in evalued value valued in evalued in evalue | where there is ter that passe contained in: where there is lues for pestic | no ANZG va s through a no ANZG va ides commo | alue specific 0.45 µm filt alue specific | ed for a toxic er) [Source: ed for a toxic the Great Ba | ant (Note: deciding et a control of the control of | the ANZG spe al. (2015)] the ANZG spe atchment are | ecifies the ecifies the |
| COASTAL AND MAR | RINE WAT | ERS – T | EMPERA | TURE, B | IOLOGI | CAL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Coastal and marine waters | all | Temperature (s3): Increases of no more than 1°C above long-term (20 year) average maximum. (GBRMPA, 2010) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u>Seagrass</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Coastal waters: biological (s1) All (where applicable) Light requirements are specified as a photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) moving average, depending on seagrass species. Levels specified here are derived to support the healt present either as the dominant species or as one of a suite of species that are known to occur in the region. It does not reflect requirements for macroalgae or other organisms. Deep water areas (>10m) 2.5 mol m ⁻² day ⁻¹ over a rolling 7 day average # (Collier et al 2016; Chartrand et al 2014; Rasheed et al 2014; York et al 2015) | | | | | | | | | | | species | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | WET | TROPI | | ASTAL A plan W | | ARINE WA | TERS | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|--|--|--|-----|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-------------------|--|----|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | Aqu | atic Ed | osystem | n water | quality | , objective | es ¹⁻⁷ | | | | | |
| Water area/type Note: WQGs for indicators are shown as a range of 20 th , 50 th and 80 th percentiles to be maintained or achieved (e.g. 3–4–5), lower and upper limits (e.g. pH: 7.2–8.2), or as a single value (e.g. shift) for single value WQOs, medians (or means where specified) of test data are compared against the WQO (refer to 'Note 7: comparison of test data with WQOs' for more details). | | | | | | | | | | | e.g. <15). | | | | | | |
| (Source: s1-s6) | _ | | | logical value; SD – slightly disturbed; MD – moderately disturbed. Refer to accompanying plans for details; ID – insufficient data | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (refer plan WQ1082) | | Sources: S | ces: S1: Local datasets/reporting; S2: QWQG guidelines and /or data; S3: GBRMPA (2010) WQG; S4: GBRMPA analysis of Marine Monitoring Program and/or AIMS Long Term Monitoring ram datasets; S5: ANZG (2018); S6: CSIRO aluminium studies (Golding et al., 2015) m N¹ Oxid N¹ Partic N⁵ Total Diss N Total N FRP Partic P⁵ Total Diss P To | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Amm N¹ (μg/L) | | | | | | | | | | | | рН | | | |
| | | Note: # Ab | Shallow inshore areas (<10m): 6 mol m ⁻² day ⁻¹ over a rolling 14 day average # (Collier et al 2016; Chartrand et al, 2012) e: # Absolute light requirements for seagrass may vary between sites. Values described here provide a conservative guide to the levels of light likely to support seagrass growth. Locally ved absolute thresholds ideally should be obtained for management of specific activities likely to impact on the light environment. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Abbreviations: ANZG – Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality; QWQG – Queensland water quality guidelines; ID – insufficient data. Will be updated if information becomes available; na – not applicable; * – limited data. To be used as interim value until further data is available.

Indicators: FRP – filterable reactive phosphorus; Chl-a – chlorophyll-a; DO – dissolved oxygen; SS – total suspended solids;

Units: µg/L - micrograms per litre; % sat - percent saturation; NTU - nephelometric turbidity units; m - metres; mg/L - milligrams per litre;

Management intent: Waters for which all physico-chemical WQOs (e.g. nutrients, toxicants) have been set corresponding to HEV management intent are identified in columns 1 and 2 of Table 2. Each of these waters is given a specific label in the table (e.g. 'HEV1234') which links to the accompanying plans. Slightly disturbed (SD) waters are similarly identified.

The management intent (level of protection) for most waters other than HEV or SD is to achieve a 'moderately disturbed' (MD) condition, for which corresponding WQOs have been derived. Where local WQOs are derived for MD areas these are also identified with specific labels (e.g. 'MD1234'). For some indicators and water types, WQOs correspond with a 'slightly to moderately disturbed' (SMD) level of protection, based on management intent categories specified in source technical guidelines, in particular the ANZG (2018). For ease of interpretation, this document and accompanying mapping include these within the MD level of protection. For some MD waters a higher level of protection may be provided for toxicants (e.g. pesticides).

Notes to Table (where applicable):

1. Nutrients:

Oxidised $N = NO_2 + NO_3$. Dissolved inorganic N (DIN) = Amm N + oxidised N.

Except where specified for event conditions, nutrient guidelines do not apply during high flow events in fresh and estuarine waters. During periods of low flow and particularly in smaller creeks, build-up of organic matter derived from natural sources (e.g. leaf litter) can result in increased organic N levels (generally in the range of 400 to 800µg/L). This may lead to total N values exceeding the WQGs. Provided that levels of inorganic N (i.e. NH₃ + oxidised N) remain low, then the elevated levels of organic N should not be seen as a breach of the WQGs, provided this is due to natural causes. See QWQG (section 5 and Appendix D) for more information on applying guidelines under high flow conditions.

2. Suspended solids: Suspended solids (and hence turbidity and Secchi depth) levels in coastal waters are naturally highly variable depending on wind speed/wave height and in some cases on tidal cycles. The values in this table provide guidance on what the long term values of turbidity, Secchi depth or TSS should comply with. However, these values will often be naturally exceeded in the short term during windy weather or spring tides. They therefore should not be used for comparison with short term data sets. Where assessable coastal developments are proposed, proponents should

carry out site specific intensive monitoring of these indicators (or equivalent light penetration indicators) and use these as a baseline for deriving local guidelines and for comparison with post development conditions.

- 3. Dissolved oxygen (DO): Dissolved Oxygen (DO) guidelines apply to daytime conditions. Lower values will occur at night in most waters. In estuaries, reductions should only be in the region of 10–15 per cent saturation below daytime values. In freshwaters, night-time reductions are more variable. Following significant rainfall events, reduced DO values may occur due to the influx of organic material. In estuaries post-event values as low as 40 per cent saturation may occur naturally for short periods but values well below this would indicate some anthropogenic effect. In freshwaters, post-event DO reductions are again more variable. In general, DO values consistently less than 50 per cent are likely to impact on the ongoing ability of fish to persist in a water body while short term DO values less than 30 per cent saturation are toxic to some fish species. Very high DO (supersaturation) values can be toxic to some fish as they cause gas bubble disease. DO values for fresh waters should only be applied to flowing waters. Stagnant pools in intermittent streams naturally experience values of DO below 50 per cent saturation.
- 4. Open coastal/marine waters GBR plume line: The GBR plume discharge area is derived from a smoothed version of the 'high' and 'very high' risk classes of modelled outputs from the risk assessment element of the Reef Plan Scientific Consensus Statement 2013 (Waterhouse et al. 2013).
- 5. Open coastal/marine waters seasonal splits: Dry season is generally between May to November, however will vary annually and should be assessed based on rainfall and discharge. Wet season is generally December to April, however will vary annually and should be assessed based on discharge and antecedent rainfall. While seasonal means are estimated based on biotic responses the relationship is not as strong as it is for annual mean values. They are provided here as indicative objectives to allow comparison with single season collected data sets. Wet and dry seasons can start and end at different times of the year. Seasonal dates indicated are generally applicable. Applying these values for any management action should take both of these matters into account.
- 6. Open coastal/marine waters Secchi depth. For waters shallower than the specified Secchi depth of ≥10m the depth to seafloor is the WQO.
- 7. Comparison of test data with WQOs: The following protocols are recommended when comparing fresh, estuarine or coastal/marine water quality (at a 'test' site) with the corresponding aquatic ecosystem water quality objective (WQO). For concentration-based indicators (e.g. nutrients) and turbidity (NTU), the intent is for test site water quality value to be less than or equal to the corresponding WQO. For WQO indicators where a range is specified (e.g. pH, DO), the intent is that the test site water quality median value falls within the specified WQO range. For Secchi measurements (typically used in estuarine, coastal and marine waters), the intent is for the test site water quality value to be greater than or equal to the stated WQO. Further detail is provided in the QWQG.

For HEV and SD waters:

- Where the WQO is expressed as a 20th–50th–80th percentile range of values (e.g. Total N: 65–100–125 ug/L), the 20th–50th–80th percentile distributions of the test data should meet the specified range of values. The sample number is a minimum of 24 test values over the relevant period (12 months if a continuous activity or alternatively a shorter period for activities where discharge occurs for only part of the year).
- For DO and pH, test sample median values are compared with, and should fall within, the specified percentile range.
- Where a single WQO value is provided, the median value of preferably five or more independent samples at a monitoring (test) site should be compared against the corresponding aquatic ecosystem WQO.

For MD and HD waters:

- The median value (e.g. concentration) of preferably five or more independent samples at a monitoring (test) site should be compared against the corresponding aquatic ecosystem WQO (WQOs in these waters are typically expressed as a single figure).
- For DO and pH, test sample median values are compared with, and should fall within the specified range.

For toxicants in water: unless otherwise stated, WQOs for toxicants are derived from the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZG, 2018) default guideline values for the corresponding level of species protection. The ANZG recommends that the 95th percentile of test data is compared against the default guideline value. As the proportion of test values that is required to be less than the default guideline value is high, the ANZG indicates that a single observation greater than the default guideline value is considered an exceedance.

For comparisons of toxicants in sediments, refer to ANZG.

Great Barrier Reef coastal/marine waters: Further to the above, some parameters in Great Barrier Reef waters have WQO values specified as an annual (or seasonal) mean, rather than as a median or percentile range. For these waters, the mean water quality value of a number of independent samples at a particular monitoring ('test') site should be compared against the applicable WQO. The sample number is preferably five or more samples for within season comparison, and five or more samples taken during each of the wet and dry seasons for annual mean comparisons. However, more samples may be required depending on the inherent variability in the measurement data (Queensland Monitoring and Sampling Manual; Section 1.9.1).

Further information: Refer to the QWQG, the Queensland Monitoring and Sampling Manual (2018), and ANZG for more details.

Sources

ANZG (2018, as amended) Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality.

Australian Government (2015) Anti-fouling and in-water cleaning guidelines, Department of Agriculture, Canberra. CC BY 3.0

Chartrand KM, Ralph PJ, Petrou K and Rasheed MA. (2012) Development of a Light-Based Seagrass Management Approach for the Gladstone Western Basin Dredging Program. DAFF Publication. Fisheries Queensland, Cairns 126 pp.

Chartrand K, Sinutok S, Szabo M, Norman L, Rasheed MA, Ralph PJ, (2014), 'Final Report: Deepwater Seagrass Dynamics - Laboratory-Based Assessments of Light and Temperature Thresholds for Halophila spp.', Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research (TropWATER) Publication, James Cook University, Cairns, 26 pp.

Collier, C.J., Chartrand, K., Honchin, C., Fletcher, A. Rasheed, M. (2016) Light thresholds for seagrasses of the GBR: a synthesis and guiding document. Including knowledge gaps and future priorities. Report to the National Environmental Science Programme. Reef and Rainforest Research Centre Limited, Cairns (35 pp.).

De'ath G, Fabricius KE (2008) Water quality of the Great Barrier Reef: distributions, effects on reef biota and trigger values for the protection of ecosystem health. Final Report to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville. (104 pp.).

Department of Environment and Heritage Protection (2009) Queensland Water Quality Guidelines, Version 3, ISBN 978-0-9806986-0-2. Queensland Government. Re-published July 2013.)

Department of Environment and Heritage Protection (2017) Draft environmental values and water quality objectives for Wet Tropics and coastal /marine waters. Consultation draft. September 2017.

Golding, LA, Angel, BM, Batley, GE, Apte, SC, Krassoi, R and Doyle, CJ (2015) Derivation of a water quality guideline for aluminium in marine waters, Environ Toxicol Chem., 34: 141-151.

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (2010) Water quality guidelines for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park 2010, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville, available on the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority's website.

King, O.C., R. A. Smith, R. M. Mann and M. St. J. Warne. 2017. Proposed aquatic ecosystem protection guideline values for pesticides commonly used in the Great Barrier Reef catchment area: Part 1 (amended) - 2,4-D, Ametryn, Diuron, Glyphosate, Hexazinone, Imazapic, Imidacloprid, Isoxaflutole, Metolachlor, Metribuzin, Metsulfuron-methyl, Simazine, Tebuthiuron. Department of Environment and Science. Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. 296 pp. August 2017 (amended March 2018). Available from Queensland Government publications

King, O.C., R. A. Smith, M. St. J. Warne, J. S. Frangos and R. M. Mann. 2017. Proposed aquatic ecosystem protection guideline values for pesticides commonly used in the Great Barrier Reef catchment area: Part 2 - Bromacil, Chlorothalonil, Fipronil, Fluometuron, Fluroxypyr, Haloxyfop, MCPA, Pendimethalin, Prometryn, Propazine, Propiconazole, Terbutryn, Triclopyr and Terbuthylazine. Department of Science, Information Technology and Innovation. Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. August 2017. Available from Queensland Government publications

McKenna, SA, Chartrand, KM, Jarvis, JC, Carter, AB, Davies, JN, and Rasheed MA 2015. Initial light thresholds for modelling impacts to seagrass from the Abbot Point growth gateway project. James Cook University, Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research, Report No 15/23.

Tully River, Murray River and Hinchinbrook Island Basins Environmental Values and Water Quality Objectives – Addendum Section

McKenna, SA & Rasheed, MA 2014, 'Port of Abbot Point Long-Term Seagrass Monitoring: Annual Report 2012-2013', JCU Publication, Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research, Cairns, 45 pp.

McKenna, SA, Rasheed, MA, Unsworth, RKF, & Chartrand, KM (2008) Port of Abbot Point seagrass baseline surveys – wet & dry season 2008. DPI&F Publication PR08-4140 (DPI&F, Cairns), 51pp

Rasheed, M. A., McKenna, S. A., Carter, A. B. & Coles, R. G.(2014) Contrasting recovery of shallow and deep water seagrass communities following climate associated losses in tropical north Queensland. Australia. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 83. 491–499.

Schaffelke B, Carleton J, Doyle J, Furnas M, Gunn K, Skuza M, Wright M, Zagorskis I (2011) Reef Rescue Marine Monitoring Program. Final Report of AIMS Activities 2010/11—Inshore Water Quality Monitoring. Report for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville. (83 p.). Additional years also published accessible for download from GBRMPA.

State of Queensland (2018) Great Barrier Reef water quality improvement plan 2017-2022

Transport Operations (Marine Pollution) Act 1995 and Regulations 2008, available on the Office of Queensland Parliamentary Counsel website.

Waterhouse, J., Maynard, J., Brodie, J., Randall, L., Zeh, D., Devlin, M., Lewis, S., Furnas, M., Schaffelke, B., Fabricius, K., Collier, C., Brando, V., McKenzie, L., Warne, M.St.J., Smith, R., Negri, A., Henry, N., Petus, C., da Silva, E., Waters, D., Yorkston, H., Tracey, D., 2013. Section 2: Assessment of the risk of pollutants to ecosystems of the Great Barrier Reef including differential risk between sediments, nutrients and pesticides, and among NRM regions. In: Brodie et al. Assessment of the relative risk of water quality to ecosystems of the Great Barrier Reef. A report to the Department of the Environment and Heritage Protection, Queensland Government, Brisbane. TropWATER Report 13/28, Townsville, Australia.

York, P. H. et al. Dynamics of a deep-water seagrass population on the Great Barrier Reef: annual occurrence and response to a major dredging program. Sci. Rep. 5, 13167; doi: 10.1038/srep13167 (2015)

Unpublished water quality datasets

3 Water quality objectives for human use environmental values

This section outlines water quality objectives (WQOs) to protect human use environmental values (EVs), which comprise those EVs (e.g. recreation, stock watering, aquaculture and crop irrigation) other than the aquatic ecosystem EV. Where a human use EV has been identified, the following tables can be used to identify the WQOs to support that EV. Where more than one EV applies to a given water (for example aquatic ecosystem and recreational use), the adoption of the most stringent WQO for each water quality indicator will then protect all identified EVs.

WQOs in this section are, unless otherwise specified, based on relevant national water quality guidelines including ANZG (2018, as amended) and the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG). Where national guidelines or other codes remain the primary source for WQOs, reference to those national guidelines or codes is necessary to obtain comprehensive listings of all indicators and corresponding WQOs.

3.1 Human use EVs water quality objectives

The following table summarises WQOs for human use EVs. More details are provided in subsequent sections by human use EV.

Table 3 Human use EVs water quality objectives

| Environmental value | Water type/area | Water quality objective to protect EV (refer to specified codes and guidelines for full details) |
|--|---|---|
| Suitability for drinking water supply | All fresh waters including groundwaters | The Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (NHMRC, 2011, as amended) provides a framework for catchment management and source water protection for drinking water supplies. |
| | | Quality of raw water (prior to treatment) should consider the requirements of water supply operators, and their capacity to treat the water to make it safe for human consumption. Also refer to Table 4. |
| | | Note: For water quality after treatment or at point of use refer to legislation and guidelines, including: |
| | | Public Health Act 2005 and Regulation |
| | | Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008, including any approved drinking water quality management plan under the Act |
| | | Water Fluoridation Act 2008 and Regulation |
| | | Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG, 2011, as amended). |
| | | Safe Water on Rural Properties guideline (Queensland Health, 2015) |
| | | Whether water is drawn from surface catchments or underground sources, it is important that the local catchment or aquifer is understood, and that the activities that could lead to water contamination are identified and managed. Effective catchment management and source water protection include development of a catchment management plan, with the commitment of land use planning authorities to prevent inappropriate development and to enforce relevant planning regulations. |
| Protection of the human consumer for oystering | Estuarine and coastal waters | As per ANZG and Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code, Food Standards Australia New Zealand, as amended. (refer Food Standards Australia New Zealand website) |
| Protection of the human consumer | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | As per ANZG and Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code, Food Standards Australia New Zealand, as amended. |
| Protection of cultural and spiritual values | Fresh waters (including groundwaters), estuarine and coastal waters | Protect or restore indigenous and non-indigenous cultural heritage consistent with relevant policies and plans. |

| Environmental value | Water type/area | Water quality objective to protect EV (refer to specified codes and guidelines for full details) |
|--|--|--|
| Suitability for industrial use | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | None provided. Water quality requirements for industry vary within and between industries. The ANZG do not provide guidelines to protect industries, and indicate that industrial water quality requirements need to be considered on a case-by-case basis. This EV is usually protected by other values, such as the aquatic ecosystem EV. |
| Suitability for aquaculture | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | As per: Tables 5–7 ANZG and Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code, Food Standards Australia New Zealand, as amended |
| Suitability for irrigation | All fresh waters including groundwaters | Pathogens and metal WQOs are provided in Tables 8 and 9 (based on ANZG). For all other indicators, such as salinity, sodicity, sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), and herbicides, refer ANZG. |
| Suitability for stock watering | All fresh waters including groundwaters | As per ANZG, including median faecal coliforms <100 organisms per 100 mL. For total dissolved solids and metals, refer Tables 10 and 11, based on ANZG. For other indicators, such as cyanobacteria and pathogens, see ANZG. |
| Suitability for farm supply/use | All fresh waters including groundwaters | As per ANZG. |
| Suitability for primary contact recreation | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | Note: at time of publication the NHMRC guidelines for recreational water quality were under review, and updates may supersede the following. Refer to NHMRC website for latest information and updated guidelines. As per NHMRC (2008 – refer NHMRC website) including: • water free of physical (floating and submerged) hazards. Where permanent hazards exist (e.g. rips and sandbars), appropriate warning signs should be clearly displayed. • temperature range: 16–34°C • pH range: 6.5–8.5 • DO: >80% • faecal contamination: designated recreational waters are protected against direct contamination with fresh faecal material, particularly of human or domesticated animal origin. Two principal components are required for assessing faecal contamination: - assessment of evidence for the likely influence of faecal material - counts of suitable faecal indicator bacteria (usually enterococci) These two components are combined to produce an overall microbial classification of the recreational water body. • direct contact with venomous or dangerous aquatic organisms should be avoided. Recreational water bodies should be reasonably free of, or protected from, venomous organisms (e.g. box jellyfish and bluebottles) • waters contaminated with chemicals that are either toxic or irritating to the |
| Suitability for primary contact recreation | Fresh waters | skin or mucous membranes are unsuitable for recreational purposes. Note: at time of publication the NHMRC guidelines for recreational water quality were under review, and updates may supersede the following. Refer to NHMRC website for latest information and updated guidelines. • cyanobacteria/algae: Recreational water bodies should not contain: - level 1¹: ≥ 10 μg/L total microcystins; or ≥ 50 000 cells/mL toxic Microcystis aeruginosa; or biovolume equivalent of ≥ 4 mm³/L for the combined total of all cyanobacteria where a known toxin producer is dominant in the total biovolume or - level 2¹: ≥ 10 mm³/L for total biovolume of all cyanobacterial material where known toxins are not present - where Cylindrospermopsis caciborskii is the dominant species present, advice should be sought for an appropriate guideline for |

| Environmental value | Water type/area | Water quality objective to protect EV (refer to specified codes and guidelines for full details) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | cylindrospermopsin or cyanobacterial scums consistently present. Further details are contained in NHMRC (2008) and Table 12. | | | | | | |
| | Estuarine, coastal waters | cyanobacteria/algae: Recreational water bodies should not contain ≥ 10 cells/mL Karenia brevis and/or have Lyngbya majuscula and/or Pfiesteria present in high numbers². Further details are contained in NHMRC (2008) and Table 12. | | | | | | |
| Suitability for secondary contact recreation | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | As per NHMRC (2008), including: intestinal enterococci: refer primary recreation above cyanobacteria/algae—refer primary recreation, NHMRC (2008) and Table 12. | | | | | | |
| Suitability for visual recreation | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | As per NHMRC (2008), including: • recreational water bodies should be aesthetically acceptable to recreational users. The water should be free from visible materials that may settle to form objectionable deposits; floating debris, oil, scum and other matter; substances producing objectionable colour, odour, taste or turbidity; and substances and conditions that produce undesirable aquatic life. | | | | | | |
| | | cyanobacteria/algae—see, NHMRC (2008) and Table 12. | | | | | | |

Notes:

- Level 1 recognises the probability of adverse health effects from ingestion of known toxins, in this case based on the toxicity of
 microcystins. Level 2 covers circumstances in which there are very high cell densities of cyanobacterial material, irrespective of the
 presence of toxicity or known toxins. Increased cyanobacterial densities increase the likelihood of non-specific adverse health outcomes,
 principally respiratory, irritation and allergy symptoms. (NHMRC, 2008; 8).
- 2. The NHMRC states that its guidelines are concerned 'only with risks that may be associated with recreational activities in or near coastal and estuarine waters. This includes exposure through dermal contact, inhalation of sea-spray aerosols and possible ingestion of water or algal scums, but does not include dietary exposure to marine algal toxins.' (NHMRC, 2008; 121).

Sources:

The WQOs were determined from a combination of sources, including:

- Technical review and advice from Queensland Health and Department of Natural Resources, Mines and Energy (2020)
- Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (NHMRC, 2011 as updated 2016), available from NHMRC website
- Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code (Australian Government: Food Standards Australia New Zealand), available from Food Standards Australia New Zealand website
- Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZG, 2018, as amended)
- Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Water (NHMRC, 2008), available from NHMRC website. At time of publication the NHMRC guidelines were under review. Refer to NHMRC website for latest information and updated guidelines.
- Safe Water on Rural Properties Guideline (Queensland Health, 2015)

3.2 Drinking water EV water quality objectives

Table 4 Drinking water EV: Priority water quality objectives for drinking water supply in the vicinity of offtakes, including groundwater, before treatment

| Indicator | Water quality objective ¹ |
|--|---|
| Giardia | No guideline value set (ADWG) |
| | If Giardia is detected in drinking water then the Water Supply Regulator, DNRME and Queensland Health should be notified immediately and an investigation of the likely source of contamination undertaken. |
| Cryptosporidium | No guideline value set (ADWG) |
| | If Cryptosporidium is detected in treated drinking water then the Water Supply Regulator, DNRME and Queensland Health should be notified immediately and an investigation of the likely source of contamination undertaken. |
| E. coli | Well designed treatment plants with effective treatment barriers and disinfection are designed to address faecal contamination. <i>E. coli</i> or thermotolerant coliforms should not be present in any 100 mL sample of (treated) drinking water (ADWG). <1 cfu/100ml (Public Health Regulation 2018) and upstream sewage effluent discharges need to be known (catchment management). |
| Algal toxin | <1.3 μg/L Microcystin (ADWG) |
| pH | 6.5–8.5 (ADWG) |
| Total dissolved solids (TDS) | <600mg/L |
| | The concentration of total dissolved solids in treated drinking water should not exceed 600 mg/L (ADWG, based on taste considerations). |
| Sodium | General ² : The concentration of sodium in reticulated drinking water supplies should not exceed 180 mg/L (ADWG, based on threshold at which taste becomes appreciable). |
| | At-risk groups (medical) ² : The concentration of sodium in water supplies for at-risk groups should not exceed 20 mg/L (ADWG). |
| Sulfate | The concentration of sulfate in drinking water should not exceed 250 mg/L (ADWG 2011, based on taste/aesthetic considerations). |
| | ADWG 2011 health guideline: <500mg/L |
| Dissolved oxygen | >85% saturation (ADWG) |
| Pesticides | Raw supplies: Below detectable limits. |
| | Treated drinking water: Refer to ADWG. |
| Other indicators (including physico-chemical indicators) | Refer to ADWG. |
| e.g. turbidity | <1 NTU is the target to facilitate for effective disinfection of drinking water (as turbidity of ≥ 1 NTU inhibits the performance of chlorination (ADWG)) |

Source: Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (NHMRC, 2011 as updated 2018). Technical review and advice from Queensland Health and Department of Natural Resources, Mines and Energy (2020).

Notes:

- 1. This table outlines WQOs for water before treatment, unless otherwise stated (e.g. ADWG). For water quality after treatment or at the point of use, refer to relevant legislation and guidelines, including Public Health Act 2005 and Regulation, Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008 and Regulation, including any approved drinking water management plan under the Act, Water Fluoridation Act 2008, the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG, 2011 updated December 2013), and the Safe Water on Rural Properties guideline (Queensland Health, 2015).
- 2. The ADWG notes that 50 mg/L is a 'typical value' in reticulated supplies. The ADWG value for sodium is 180 mg/L (based on level at which taste become appreciable) however 'sodium salts cannot be easily removed from drinking water' and 'any steps to reduce sodium concentrations are encouraged'. It further notes that 'medical practitioners treating people with severe hypertension or congestive heart failure should be aware if the sodium concentration in the patient's drinking water exceeds 20 mg/L' (ADWG; sodium factsheet).

3.3 Aquaculture EV water quality objectives

The following tables outline WQOs for aquaculture, depending on water type and species.

Table 5 Aquaculture EV: General water quality objectives for tropical aquaculture

| Water parameter | Recommended range | | Water parameter | Recommended range | |
|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | Fresh water | Marine | | General aquatic | |
| Dissolved oxygen | >4 mg/L | >4 mg/L | Arsenic | <0.05 mg/L | |
| Temperature | 21–32°C | 24–33°C | Cadmium | <0.003 mg/L | |
| рН | 6.8–9.5 | 7–9.0 | Calcium/Magnesium | 10–160 mg/L | |
| Ammonia (TAN, total ammonia-nitrogen) | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | Chromium | <0.1 mg/L | |
| Ammonia (NH ₃ , unionised form) | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | Copper | <0.006 mg/L in soft water | |
| Nitrate (NO ₃) | 1–100 mg/L | 1–100 mg/L | Cyanide | <0.005 mg/L | |
| Nitrite (NO ₂) | <0.1 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | Iron | <0.5 mg/L | |
| Salinity | 0–5 psu | 15–35 psu | Lead | <0.03 mg/L | |
| Hardness | 20-450 mg/L | ID | Manganese | <0.01 mg/L | |
| Alkalinity | 20-400 mg/L | >100 mg/L | Mercury | <0.00005 mg/L | |
| Turbidity | <80 NTU | ID | Nickel | <0.01 mg/L in soft water <0.04 mg/L in hard water | |
| Chlorine | <0.003 mg/L | ID | Tin | <0.001 mg/L | |
| Hydrogen sulphide | <0.002 mg/L | ID | Zinc | 0.03–0.06 mg/L in soft water 1–2 mg/L in hard water | |

Indicator: psu - practical salinity unit, NTU - nephelometric turbidity units, ID - Insufficient data

Note: The table provides indicative water requirements for a range of aquaculture species (fresh and/or marine), recognising that not all listed species will occur in a given area, and that potential exists for changes in species under culture.

Source: Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries—Water Quality in Aquaculture—DPI Notes April 2004 (as amended) and DAF 2019-2020 technical review and advice.

Table 6 Aquaculture EV: Water quality objectives for optimal growth of particular freshwater species

| WATER QUALITY TARGET VALUES FOR AQUACULTURE | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Water parameter | Barramundi | Eel | Silver perch | Jade perch | Sleepy cod | Redclaw |
| Dissolved oxygen | 4–9 mg/L | >3 mg/L | >4 mg/L | >3 mg/L | >4.0 mg/L | >4.0 mg/L |
| Temperature | 26–32°C | 23–28°C | 23–28°C | 23–28°C | 22–31°C | 23–31°C |
| рН | 7.5–8.5 | 7.0–8.5 | 6.5–8.5 | 6.5–8.5 | 7.0–8.5 | 7.0–8.5 |
| Ammonia (TAN, Total ammonia–nitrogen) | ID | <1.0 mg/L | ID | ID | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L |
| Ammonia (NH ₃ , un– ionised form) | <0.46 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L |
| Nitrate (NO ₃) | ID | ID | <100 mg/L | ID | ID | ID |
| Nitrite (NO ₂) | <1.5 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | ID | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L |
| Salinity (extended periods) | 0–35 psu | ID | <5 psu | <5 psu | ID | <4 psu |
| Salinity bath (short term treatment) | 0–35 psu | ID | 5–10 psu for 1 hour | ID | max. 20 psu for 1 hour | ID |
| Hardness (CaCO ₃) | 50-100 mg/L | ID | >50 mg/L | >50 mg/L | >40 mg/L | >40 mg/L |
| Alkalinity | >50 mg/L | ID | 100–400 mg/L | 100-400mg/L | >40 mg/L | >40 mg/L |
| Chlorine | <0.04 mg/L | ID | ID | ID | <0.04 mg/L | ID |
| Hydrogen sulphide | <0.3 mg/L | ID | ID | ID | <0.3 mg/L | ID |
| Iron | <0.1 mg/L | ID | <0.5 mg/L | <0.5 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L |
| Spawning temperature | marine | ID | 23–28 | 23–28 | >24 for more than 3 days | ID |

Indicator: psu – practical salinity unit, ID – Insufficient data

Note: The table provides indicative water requirements for a range of aquaculture species (fresh and/or marine), recognising that not all listed species will occur in a given area, and that potential exists for changes in species under culture.

Source: Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries—Water Quality in Aquaculture—DPI Notes April 2004 (as amended) and DAF 2019-2020 technical review and advice.

Table 7 Aquaculture EV: Water quality objectives for optimal growth of particular marine species

| Water parameter | Barı | ramundi | Giant Tiger prawn (<i>Penaeus</i> <i>monodon</i>) | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| | Hatchery | Grow out | Hatchery | Grow out | |
| Dissolved oxygen | saturation | >4 mg/L | >4 mg/L | >3.5 mg/L | |
| Temperature | 28–30°C optimum 25–31°C range | 28–30°C optimum | 28-30°C | 26–32°C | |
| pH | approx. 8 | approx. 8 | 7.8-8.2 | 7.5–8.5 | |
| Ammonia (TAN, total ammonia-nitrogen) | ID | 0.1–0.5 mg/L | ID | <3 mg/L | |
| Ammonia (NH ₃ , unionised form) | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | |
| Nitrate (NO ₃) | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L <1.0 mg/L | | <1.0 mg/L | |
| Nitrite (NO ₂) | <0.2 mg/L <1.0 mg/L | | <0.2 mg/L | <0.2 mg/L | |
| Salinity | 28–31psu | 0–35psu | 30-35psu | 10–25 psu optimum | |
| Alkalinity | ID | 105-125 mg/L CaCO ₃ | ID | >80 mg/L | |
| Clarity | ID | <10mg/L | ID | 30–40cm secchi disk | |
| Hydrogen sulphide | ID | <0.3 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | |
| Iron | ID | <0.02 mg/L | <1 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | |
| Spawning temperature | ID | 28–32°C | ID | 27–32°C | |

Indicator: psu - practical salinity unit, ID - Insufficient data

Note: The table provides indicative water requirements for a range of aquaculture species (fresh and/or marine), recognising that not all listed species will occur in a given area, and that potential exists for changes in species under culture.

Source: Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries—Water Quality in Aquaculture—DPI Notes April 2004 (as amended) and DAF 2019-2020 technical review and advice.

3.4 Irrigation EV water quality objectives

The following tables outline WQOs for irrigation, based on relevant national guidelines.

Table 8 Irrigation EV: Water quality objectives for thermotolerant (faecal) coliforms in irrigation waters used for food and non-food crops¹

| Intended use | Median values of thermotolerant coliforms (colony forming units—cfu) ² |
|--|---|
| Raw human food crops in direct contact with irrigation water (e.g. via sprays, irrigation of salad vegetables) | <10 cfu/100 mL |
| Raw human food crops not in direct contact with irrigation water (edible product separated from contact with water, e.g. by peel, use of trickle irrigation); or crops sold to consumers cooked or processed | <1000 cfu/100 mL |
| Pasture and fodder for dairy animals (without withholding period) | <100 cfu/100 mL |
| Pasture and fodder for dairy animals (with withholding period of five days) | <1000 cfu/100 mL |
| Pasture and fodder (for grazing animals except pigs and dairy animals, such as cattle, sheep and goats) | <1000 cfu/100 mL |
| Silviculture, turf, cotton, etc. (restricted public access) | <10 000 cfu/100 mL |

Notes:

^{1.} Adapted from ARMCANZ, ANZECC and NHMRC (1999).

^{2.} Refer to AWQG, Volume 1, Section 4.2.3.3 for advice on testing protocols. Source: AWQG, Volume 1, Section 4.2.3.3, Table 4.2.2.

Table 9 Irrigation EV: Water quality objectives for heavy metals and metalloids in agricultural irrigation water— soil cumulative contamination loading limit (CCL), long-term trigger value (LTV) and short-term trigger value (STV)¹

| Element | Soil cumulative contaminant loading limit (CCL) ² (kg/ha) | Long-term trigger value (LTV) in irrigation water (up to 100 years) (mg/L) | Short-term trigger value (STV) in irrigation water (up to 20 years) (mg/L) |
|------------|--|--|--|
| Aluminium | ND ² | 5 | 20 |
| Arsenic | 20 | 0.1 | 2.0 |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Boron | ND | 0.5 | Refer to AWQG, Vol 3, Table 9.2.18 |
| Cadmium | 2 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| Chromium | ND | 0.1 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | 0.05 | 0.1 |
| Copper | 140 | 0.2 | 5 |
| Fluoride | ND | 1 | 2 |
| Iron | ND | 0.2 | 10 |
| Lead | 260 | 2 | 5 |
| Lithium | ND | 2.5 (0.075 for citrus crops) | 2.5 (0.075 for citrus crops) |
| Manganese | ND | 0.2 | 10 |
| Mercury | 2 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| Molybdenum | ND | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| Nickel | 85 | 0.2 | 2 |
| Selenium | 10 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| Uranium | ND | 0.01 | 0.1 |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Zinc | 300 | 2 | 5 |

Notes:

Source: AWQG, Volume 1, Section 4.2.6, Table 4.2.10.

^{1.} Concentrations in irrigation water should be less than the trigger values. Trigger values should only be used in conjunction with information on each individual element and the potential for off-site transport of contaminants (refer AWQG, Volume 3, Section 9.2.5).

^{2.} ND = Not determined; insufficient background data to calculate CCL.

3.5 Stock watering EV water quality objectives

The following tables outline WQOs for stock watering, according to stock type (cattle, sheep etc.).

Table 10 Stock watering EV: Water quality objectives for tolerances of livestock to salinity, as total dissolved solids, in drinking water¹

| Livestock | Total dissolve | Total dissolved solids (TDS) (mg/L) | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | No adverse effects on animals expected. | Animals may have initial reluctance to drink or there may be some scouring, but stock should adapt without loss of production | Loss of production and decline in animal condition and health would be expected. Stock may tolerate these levels for short periods if introduced gradually | | | |
| Beef cattle | 0–4000 | 4000–5000 | 5000–10 000 | | | |
| Dairy cattle | 0–2500 | 2500–4000 | 4000–7000 | | | |
| Sheep | 0–5000 | 5000–10 000 | 10 000–13 000² | | | |
| Horses | 0–4000 | 4000–6000 | 6000–7000 | | | |
| Pigs | 0–4000 | 4000–6000 | 6000–8000 | | | |
| Poultry | 0–2000 | 2000–3000 | 3000–4000 | | | |

Notes:

Source: ANZECC, ARMCANZ (2000), Volume 1, Section 4.3.3.5, Table 4.3.1. Note that a review of stock watering tolerances under the ANZG (2018) may lead to revised values from those in this table. Refer to ANZG (2018) for further details.

^{1.} From ANZECC (1992), adapted to incorporate more recent information.

^{2.} Sheep on lush green feed may tolerate up to 13 000 mg/L TDS without loss of condition or production.

Table 11 Stock watering EV: Water quality objectives (low risk trigger values) for heavy metals and metalloids in livestock drinking water

| Metal or metalloid | Trigger value (low risk) ^{1,2} (mg/L) |
|--------------------|--|
| Aluminium | 5 |
| Arsenic | 0.5 (up to 5 ³) |
| Beryllium | ND |
| Boron | 5 |
| Cadmium | 0.01 |
| Chromium | 1 |
| Cobalt | 1 |
| Copper | 0.4 (sheep), 1 (cattle), 5 (pigs), 5 (poultry) |
| Fluoride | 2 |
| Iron | not sufficiently toxic |
| Lead | 0.1 |
| Manganese | not sufficiently toxic |
| Mercury | 0.002 |
| Molybdenum | 0.15 |
| Nickel | 1 |
| Selenium | 0.02 |
| Uranium | 0.2 |
| Vanadium | ND |
| Zinc | 20 |

Notes:

- 1. Higher concentrations may be tolerated in some situations (further details provided in ANZECC, ARMCANZ (2000), Volume 3, Section 9.3.5).
- 2. ND = not determined, insufficient background data to calculate.
- 3. May be tolerated if not provided as a food additive and natural levels in the diet are low.

Source: ANZECC, ARMCANZ (2000), Volume 1, Section 4.3.4, Table 4.3.2. Note that a review of stock watering tolerances under the ANZG (2018) may lead to revised values from those in this table. Refer to ANZG (2018) for further details.

3.6 Recreation EV water quality objectives - cyanobacteria

When cyanobacteria are present in large numbers they can present a significant hazard, particularly to primary contact users of waters. Water quality guidelines for cyanobacteria in recreational waters are provided below. Monitoring and action requirements relative to cyanobacteria 'alert' levels are summarised below, and are explained more fully in the Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Water (NHMRC, 2008). Further details on the process to determine suitability of waters for recreation, relative to historical cyanobacterial levels and susceptibility to cyanobacterial contamination, are contained in sections 6 and 7 of the NHMRC guidelines.

Note: at time of publication the NHMRC guidelines for recreational water quality were under review, and updates may supersede the following. Refer to NHMRC website for latest information and updated guidelines.

Table 12 Recreational waters: Alert levels and corresponding actions for management of cyanobacteria

| Green level surveillance mode ¹ | Amber level alert mode ¹ | Red level action mode ¹ |
|--|--|---|
| Fresh waters | | |
| ≥ 500 to <5000 cells/mL <i>M.</i> aeruginosa or biovolume equivalent of >0.04 to <0.4 mm³/L for the combined total of all cyanobacteria. | ≥ 5000 to <50 000 cells/mL M. aeruginosa or biovolume equivalent of ≥ 0.4 to <4 mm³/L for the combined total of all cyanobacteria where a known toxin producer is dominant in the total biovolume². or³ ≥ 0.4 to <10 mm³/L for the combined total of all cyanobacteria where known toxin producers are not present. | Level 1 guideline ⁴ : ≥ 10 µg/L total microcystins or ≥ 50 000 cells/mL toxic <i>M. aeruginosa</i> or biovolume equivalent of ≥ 4 mm³/L for the combined total of all cyanobacteria where a known toxin producer is dominant in the total biovolume. or³ Level 2 guideline ⁴ : ≥ 10 mm³/L for total biovolume of all cyanobacterial material where known toxins are not present. or cyanobacterial scums are consistently present⁵. |
| Coastal and estuarine waters | | |
| Karenia brevis | | |
| ≤ 1 cell/mL | > 1- < 10 cells/mL | ≥ 10 cells/mL |
| Lyngbya majuscula, Pfiesteria spp. | | |
| History but no current presence of organism | Present in low numbers | Present in high numbers. (For Lyngbya majuscula this involves the relatively widespread visible presence of dislodged algal filaments in the water and washed up onto the beach) |
| Nodularia spumigena: See NHMRC | , Chapter 6 (Cyanobacteria and | algae in fresh water) for details. |

Notes:


- 1. Recommended actions at different alert levels are outlined below (based on NHMRC, 2008, Table 6.6—fresh waters. Similar actions are outlined for coastal/estuarine waters in NHMRC Table 7.6):
 - a. **Green**: Regular monitoring. Weekly sampling and cell counts at representative locations in the water body where known toxigenic species are present (i.e. *Microcystis aeruginosa, Anabaena circinalis, Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii, Aphanizomenon ovalisporum, Nodularia spumigena*); or fortnightly for other types including regular visual inspection of water surface for scums.
 - b. **Amber**: Notify agencies as appropriate. Increase sampling frequency to twice weekly at representative locations in the water body where toxigenic species (above) are dominant within the alert level definition (i.e. total biovolume) to establish population growth and spatial variability in the water body. Monitor weekly or fortnightly where other types are dominant. Make regular visual inspections of water surface for scums. Decide on requirement for toxicity assessment or toxin monitoring.
 - c. Red: Continue monitoring as for (amber) alert mode. Immediately notify health authorities for advice on health risk. ('In action mode the local authority and health authorities warn the public of the existence of potential health risks; for example, through the media and the erection of signs by the local authority.' NHMRC, 2008; 114). Make toxicity assessment or toxin measurement of water if this has not already been done. Health authorities warn of risk to public health (i.e. the authorities make a health risk assessment considering toxin monitoring data, sample type and variability).

Tully River, Murray River and Hinchinbrook Island Basins Environmental Values and Water Quality Objectives - Addendum Section

- 2. The definition of 'dominant' is where the known toxin producer comprises 75 per cent or more of the total biovolume of cyanobacteria in a representative sample.
- 3. This applies where high cell densities or scums of 'non toxic' cyanobacteria are present i.e. where the cyanobacterial population has been tested and shown not to contain known toxins (mycrocystins, nodularian, cylindrospermopsin or saxitoxin).
- 4. Health risks and levels: Level 1 is developed to protect against short-term health effects of exposure to cyanobacterial toxins ingested during recreational activity, whereas the Level 2 applies to the circumstance where there is a probability of increased likelihood of non-specific adverse health outcomes, principally respiratory, irritation and allergy symptoms, from exposure to very high cell densities of cyanobacterial material irrespective of the presence of toxicity or known toxins (NHMRC, 2008;114).
- 5. This refers to the situation where scums occur at the recreation site each day when conditions are calm, particularly in the morning. Note that it is not likely that scums are always present and visible when there is a high population as the cells may mix down with wind and turbulence and then reform later when conditions become stable.

Source: Based on NHMRC (2008) Guideline for Managing Risks in Recreational Water (tables 6.2, 6.6, 7.3).

Main parts of this document and what they contain



Contents

| | Main parts of this document and what they contain | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | List | of figures | iii | | | | |
| | List of tables | | | | | | |
| 1 | Introduction | | | | | | |
| | 1.1 | Purpose | 2 | | | | |
| | 1.2 | Waters to which this document applies-project waters | 2 | | | | |
| | 1.3 | Guidance on using this document | 3 | | | | |
| | 1.4 | Information about mapped areas and boundaries | 6 | | | | |
| | 1.5 | Water types and basis for boundaries | 6 | | | | |
| | 1.6 | Matters for amendment | 7 | | | | |
| 2 | Environmental values | | | | | | |
| | 2.1 | Environmental values | 9 | | | | |
| | 2.2 | Management goals | 9 | | | | |
| 3 | Wa | ter quality objectives to protect environmental values | 15 | | | | |
| | 3.1 | State planning policy: state interest – water quality | 16 | | | | |
| | 3.2 | Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystems environmental values | 16 | | | | |
| | 3.3 | Water quality objectives for human use environmental values | 31 | | | | |
| | 3.4 | Water quality objectives to protect groundwater environmental values | 46 | | | | |
| 4 | Wa | ys to improve water quality | 53 | | | | |

List of figures

| Figure 1 | Reference sites | (yellow circles) |) with samples | s considered | or used for devel | opment of |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | macroinverteb | orate objectives | s in the Wet T | ropics of Qu | eensland | 28 |

List of tables

| Table 1.1 Environment | al values for the waters of the Tully River basin (113) and adjacent coastal waters1 | 1 |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| | al values for the waters of the Murray River basin (114) and adjacent coastal12 | |
| | al values for the waters of the Hinchinbrook Island River basin (115) and adjacent ers13 | |
| | objectives for physico-chemical, nutrient, algal and water clarity indicators to aquatic ecosystems EVs under baseflow conditions19 | |
| | objectives for nutrients and suspended solids to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs flow periods22 | |
| | objectives for specific pesticides and biocides to protect aquatic ecosystem23 | |
| Table 2.4 Water quality | objectives for other ions, metals and chemical indicators in surface waters25 | |
| | nacroinvertebrate objectives for moderately disturbed waters (MD) of the Tully River basins29 | |
| Table 3.1 Water quality | objectives to protect human use environmental values31 | |
| 9 | er EV – Water quality objectives for raw drinking water supply in the vicinity of off- ding groundwater, before treatment34 | |
| Table 3.3 Aquaculture | EV – Water quality objectives for tropical aquaculture36 | |
| Table 3.4 Aquaculture | EV – Water quality objectives for optimal growth of freshwater species37 | |
| Table 3.5 Aquaculture | EV – Water quality objectives for optimal growth of particular marine species38 | |
| | Water quality objectives for thermotolerant (faecal) coliforms in irrigation water and non-food crops ¹ 39 | |
| water1 – lo | Water quality objectives for heavy metals and metalloids in agricultural irrigation ng term trigger value (LTV), short-term trigger value (STV) and soil cumulative ion loading limit (CCL) | |
| | ng EV – Water quality objectives for tolerances of livestock to total dissolved nity) in drinking water ¹ 41 | |
| | ng EV – Water quality objectives (low risk trigger values) for heavy metals and n livestock drinking water42 | |
| | al waters – Alert levels and corresponding actions for management of eria43 | |
| | objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group an WQ1083) – Wet Tropical Alluvial – 21 Herbert Johnstone volcanics47 | |
| | objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group an WQ1083) – Wet Tropical Alluvial – 22 Maadi Bingle47 | |
| | objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group an WQ1083) – Wet tropical alluvial – 23 Basalt uplands and slopes | |

| Table 4.4 Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group (refer to Plan WQ1083) – Sodic – 10 Granitic uplands and slopes49 |
|--|
| Table 4.5 Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group (refer to Plan WQ1083) – Sodic – 12 Leverdale Cardwell |
| Table 4.6 Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group (refer to Plan WQ1083) – Coastal and floodplain – 9 Low salinity coastal floodplains51 |

Introduction and guidance on using this document

1 Introduction

This document is made under the provisions of the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009 (EPP Water), which is subordinate legislation under the *Environmental Protection Act 1994* (EP Act).

The EPP Water and the EP Act provide a framework for:

- establishing environmental values (EVs) and management goals for Queensland waters, and deciding the water quality objectives (WQOs) to protect or enhance those EVs
- listing the identified EVs, management goals and WQOs under Schedule 1 of the EPP (Water).

This document contains the EVs, management goals, WQOs and map products for the waters of the Tully, Murray and Hinchinbrook Island River basins (113, 114 and 115)¹ and the adjacent coastal waters, to the limit of Queensland waters.

The document listed under Column 2 of Schedule 1 of the EPP Water for the Column 1 entry of the Tully, Murray and Hinchinbrook Island rivers basins and adjacent coastal waters.

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to identify locally relevant environmental values and water quality objectives for the region, based on local historical data and in close consultation with the local community. These water quality objectives are used to help set development conditions, influence local government planning schemes and underpin report card grades for ecosystem health monitoring programs. These water quality objectives have been refined from national and state water quality guidelines and present a truer picture of the values and water quality of local waterways. This ensures the values the community holds for its waterways can be maintained and improved into the future, without imposing unrealistic standards from national guidelines that may be inappropriate for local conditions.

1.2 Waters to which this document applies-project waters

This document applies to all surface waters and groundwaters of the Tully, Murray and Hinchinbrook Island River basins and adjacent coastal waters, as indicated in the accompanying maps WQ1131—surface waters, WQ1082—coastal waters and WQ1083—groundwaters.

The surface waters and groundwaters include the:

- Hull River and coastal tributaries
- Tully River and tributaries (comprising Upper Tully River and Nitchaga Creek, Lower Tully River tributaries, Davidson Creek and Echo Creek, Jarra Creek and Banyan Creek)
- Murray River and tributaries
- Dallachy, Meung and Kennedy Creeks and tributaries
- Coastal creeks draining into Hinchinbrook Channel and Hinchinbrook Island coastal creeks
- All other tributaries of the above river catchments
- Lakes and drinking water storages; including Koombooloomba Dam
- The nationally important wetlands: Licuala Palms Forest, Edmund Kennedy wetlands, Tully-Murray Floodplain, wetlands adjoining Hinchinbrook Channel and Missionary Bay

¹ Queensland Drainage Division number and river basin names are published at Geoscience Australia's website www.ga.gov.au.

- Tully, Murray and Hinchinbrook Island rivers basins groundwaters
- Tully, Murray and Hinchinbrook Island enclosed coastal waters and open coastal waters to the limit
 of Queensland waters, including declared Fish Habitat Areas, seagrass meadows, the GBR Marine
 Park and World Heritage Area

The geographical extent of waters shown in the accompanying maps is:

- north to the Johnstone (112) River basin
- west and south to the Herbert (116) River basin
- east to the jurisdictional limit of Queensland waters.

1.3 Guidance on using this document

1.3.1 List of acronyms and terms

ADWG means the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (2011)-updated December 2013, prepared by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC)².

AWQG or ANZECC guidelines means the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (October 2000) prepared by the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC) and the Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand (ARMCANZ)³.

Aquatic ecosystem means the animals, plants and micro-organisms that live in water, and the physical and chemical environment and climatic regime in which they interact. The physical components (e.g. light, temperature) and chemical components (e.g. oxygen, nutrients), and to a lesser extent biological interactions, determine what lives and breeds in the aquatic ecosystem and the food web structure.

Basin means hydrologic drainage basin. Refer to the Geoscience Australia website www.ga.gov.au.

Catchment means the land area draining into a watercourse. The limits of a catchment are the heights of land (watershed) separating it from neighbouring catchments.

Developed fresh waters (or waters in developed areas) are waters in areas impacted through some form of development e.g. urban, industrial, rural residential or agricultural development and land uses. These waters are generally assigned the Moderately Disturbed (MD) level of protection.

Ecological health or condition of an aquatic ecosystem means the ability to maintain key ecological processes and organisms so that their species compositions, diversity and functional organisations are as comparable as possible to those occurring in natural habitats. There are four levels of aquatic ecosystems protection—High Ecological Value (HEV), Slightly Disturbed (SD), Moderately Disturbed (MD) and Highly Disturbed (HD). See **Management intent** for waters under the EPP Water (section 14).

Environmental values means the EVs at Section 2. EVs for waters are the qualities of water that make it suitable for supporting aquatic ecosystems and human uses. EVs under the EPP Water are shown below.

² The Australian Drinking Water Guidelines are available on the National Health and Medical Research Council website at www.nhmrc.gov.au.

³ The ANZECC guidelines are available on the Australian Government's National Water Quality Management Strategy website.

| Environmental values (EVs) | Potentially applicable to: | |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| | Tidal waters | Fresh (non-tidal) waters, including ground water |
| Aquatic ecosystem EV | | |
| Environmental values may be stated for four levels of aquatic ecosystems protection | ✓ | ✓ |
| high ecological value waters (effectively unmodified) | | |
| slightly disturbed waters (slightly modified) | | |
| moderately disturbed waters (adversely affected to a relatively small but measurable degree) | | |
| highly disturbed waters (measurably degraded). | | |
| Human use EVs | | |
| Suitability of the water for agricultural use (e.g. crop irrigation, stock watering, farm use) | | ✓ |
| Suitability of the water for aquaculture (e.g. prawns, barramundi) | ✓ | ✓ |
| Suitability of the water for producing aquatic foods (e.g. fish, crustaceans) for human consumption | ✓ | ✓ |
| Suitability of the water for supply as drinking water (i.e. raw water, before treatment) | | ✓ |
| Suitability of the water for industrial use (e.g. mining, minerals refining/processing) | | |
| Suitability of the water for recreation: | | Y |
| primary contact (e.g. swimming) | | ./ |
| secondary contact recreation (e.g. boating) | v | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| visual (no contact) recreation | v | , , |
| The cultural and spiritual values of the water | ∨ ✓ | · · |

GBRMPA guidelines means the Water Quality Guidelines for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority 2010, published at the GBRMPA website.

Management goals means the goals stated in Section 2.2 of this document. Management goals are used to assess whether the corresponding environmental value is being maintained. They reflect the desired levels of protection for the aquatic system and any relevant environmental problems.

Management intent for waters—see Section 2.2.

Monitoring and Sampling Manual 2009 means the protocol document under the EP Act published on the department's website at www.ehp.gld.gov.au

Queensland waters means waters within the state (i.e. headwaters to the three nautical mile jurisdiction limit).

QWQG means the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines, published at www.ehp.qld.gov.au

Soil degradation, for the purposes of the objective for irrigation water in section 2.2.3, means reduced permeability and soil structure breakdown caused by the level of sodium in the irrigation water, assessed using the sodium adsorption ratio.

Undeveloped fresh waters (or waters in undeveloped areas) are waters within protected areas such as National Park, Regional Park and forest reserves or in other undisturbed states. These waters are given High Ecological Value (HEV) or Slightly Disturbed (SD) levels of protection.

Water quality indicator for an environmental value, under the EPP Water, means a physical, chemical, biological or other property that can be measured or decided in a quantitative way. For example:

- the concentration of nutrients and pH value are examples of chemical indicators,
- Secchi disc water clarity measure is an example of a physical indicator, and
- seagrass depth range, macro-invertebrate family richness are examples of biological indicators.

Water quality guidelines under the EPP Water means the quantitative measures (expressed as contaminant concentrations, loads or narrative statements) for indicators which protect a stated EV. For a particular water, the indicators and water quality guidelines for an EV are decided using the following documents (in order of priority):

- site specific documents for the water
- the QWQG
- the AWQG
- other relevant documents published by a recognised entity.

Water quality guidelines may be modified by economic and social impact assessments of protecting the EVs for waters.

Water quality objectives (WQOs) means the WQOs at Section 3 which protect the EVs at Section 2. WQOs are the quantitative measures of the various water quality indicators that protect receiving waters aquatic ecosystem and human use EVs. WQOs are:

- numerical concentration levels, sustainable loads measures or narrative statements of indicators
- based on water quality guidelines, but may be modified by economic and social inputs
- receiving water quality objectives—not individual point source objectives or emission standards
- long-term goals for water quality management.

WQOs compliance assessment means the compliance assessment at Appendix D of the QWQG. **Water type** means the grouping of waters within which water quality is sufficiently consistent that a single guideline value can be applied to all waters within each group (or water type). See section 1.5.

1.3.2 Use of this document

Section 1 – Introduction and guidance on using this document.

Section 2 – lists the identified EVs for protection for particular waters.

Section 3 – lists the WQOs to protect the corresponding aquatic ecosystems and human use EVs for each water type, including both surface waters and groundwaters.

This document refers to a number of water quality guidelines, codes and other reference sources. In particular, the QWQG provide detailed information on water types, water quality indicators, derivation of local water quality guidelines, monitoring and assessing compliance. ANZECC guidelines contain national level water quality guidelines, for example water quality guidelines for toxicants.

Section 4 – lists documents relevant to the improvement of water quality in the Tully, Murray and Hinchinbrook Island rivers basins.

1.4 Information about mapped areas and boundaries

The boundaries in the accompanying pdf plans are indicative only. The corresponding GIS datasets are available as part of the Wet Tropics Environmental Values Schedule 1 Geodatabase November 2014—held at the department's offices at Level 10, 400 George Street Brisbane.

The GIS datasets may be downloaded free of charge from the Queensland Spatial Catalogue (QSpatial) at http://qldspatial.information.qld.gov.au/catalogue/custom/index.page

For further information, please email the department at epa.ev@ehp.gld.gov.au

1.5 Water types and basis for boundaries

1.5.1 Water types

Water types in this document are identified in Section 3 and the accompanying plans. Water types include (see the QWQG and GBRMPA guidelines):

- upland fresh waters—smaller upper catchments freshwater streams, above 150 metres altitude, moderate to fast flowing with steeper gradients than lowland fresh waters, downstream limit lowland fresh waters
- lowland fresh waters—larger slow moving freshwater streams and rivers, below 150 metres altitude, downstream limit—upper estuary
- freshwater lakes/reservoirs—(deleted text) deep water habitat situated in dammed river channels
- upper/mid estuary waters:
 - upstream tidal limit—determined from EHP wetland mapping, declared downstream freshwater limit, mean high water springs or limiting structure
 - downstream limit—lower estuary
- enclosed coastal/lower estuary waters—(deleted) occur at the downstream end of estuaries and include shallow coastal waters (<6m depth) in (deleted) enclosed bays
- open coastal waters—extend from the seaward limit of the enclosed coastal water body to the jurisdictional limit of Queensland waters⁴
- groundwaters—sub-artesian waters that occur (deleted) in an aquifer
- wetlands—palastrine, lacustrine and estuarine—see EHP mapping at Wetlandsinfo website
- marinas, boat harbours, tidal canals and constructed estuaries.

1.5.2 Water type boundaries

The boundaries of different water types are mapped in the accompanying plans using the following attributes, see QWQG for definitions, including:

- altitude (from Australian Height Datum, Geoscience Australia)
- catchment or sub catchment boundaries
- coastline mapping
- downstream or tidal limit—structure (limiting), declared downstream limit or mean high water springs
- enclosed coastal waters (GBRMPA 2014)
- · geographic coordinates
- highest/lowest astronomical tide

⁴ Beyond the jurisdictional limit of Queensland waters, mid-shelf marine waters extend from open coastal waters to 24 km offshore and offshore marine waters extend from mid-shelf waters to 170 km offshore. See GBRMPA guidelines.

- jurisdiction or defined coastal waters limits
- · maritime mapping conventions
- plume line—seaward limit of detection of terrestrial impact—chlorophyll-a mapping (GBRMPA 2014)
- surveyed terrestrial and maritime boundaries.

1.6 Matters for amendment

Under section 12 (2) (b) of the EPP (Water), amendments of the following type may be made to this schedule 1 document for the purposes of a replacement document:

- changes to EVs
- · changes to management goals
- changes to WQOs
- changes to management intent (level of protection) categories
- changes to water type boundaries/descriptions
- updates to information/data sources, websites and email contact details, agency/departmental names, other institutional names, references.

Environmental values for waters of the Tully Murray and Hinchinbrook Island River basins and adjacent coastal waters

2 Environmental values

2.1 Environmental values

The EVs for the surface waters and groundwaters of the Tully, Murray and Hinchinbrook Island rivers basins and adjacent coastal waters are listed at tables 1.1 to 1.3 and mapped in the accompanying plans and the GIS datasets.

The EVs were established during stakeholder consultation undertaken by the department and Terrain NRM – see Consultation Report: Environmental Values for Wet Tropics Basins, (Terrain NRM, September 2012).

2.2 Management goals

2.2.1 Management intent for waters – under the EPP Water

It is the management intent for waters that the decision to release waste water or contaminants to the waters must ensure the following:

- for high ecological value (HEV) waters—the measures for the indicators for all EVs are maintained
- for slightly disturbed (SD) waters—the measures for the slightly modified physical or chemical indicators are progressively improved to achieve the WQOs for HEV waters
- for moderately disturbed (MD) waters:
 - if the measures for indicators of the EVs achieve the water quality objectives for the water the measures for the indicators are maintained at levels that achieve the WQOs for the water or
 - if the measures for indicators of the EVs do not achieve the water quality objectives for the water—the measures for indicators of the EVs are improved to achieve the WQOs for the water
- for highly disturbed (HD) waters—the measures for the indicators of all environmental values are progressively improved to achieve the water quality objectives for the water.

The mapping of HEV waters, SD waters and HD waters in the accompanying plans (or GIS datasets) informs the determination of Management Intent for particular waters.

- Note 1 All other waters in the accompanying plans are MD.
- Note 2 See the Environmental Protection Regulation 2008, section 51.
- Note 3 See the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009, section 14.

2.2.2 Raw water for treatment for human consumption

- Minimise the risk that the quality of raw water taken for treatment for human consumption results in adverse human health effects.
- Maintain the palatability rating of water taken for treatment for human consumption at the level of good, as set out in the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG).
- Minimise the risk that the quality of raw water taken for treatment for human consumption results in the odour of drinking water being offensive to consumers.

2.2.3 Irrigation water

The management goal for irrigation water is that the quality of surface water, when used in accordance with the best irrigation and crop management practices and principles of ecologically sustainable development, does not result in crop yield loss or soil degradation.

2.2.4 Recreational water quality

The management goal for recreational water quality is to achieve a low risk to human health from water quality threats posed by exposure through ingestion or contact during recreational use of water resources.

Table 1.1 Environmental values for the waters of the Tully River basin (113) and adjacent coastal waters

| Tully River basin (113) | Environ | ımental va | llues ^{1, 2, 3} | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | Aquatic ecosystems | Irrigation | Farm supply/use | Stock water | Aquaculture | Human consumer | Primary recreation 4 | Secondary recreation ⁴ | Visual recreation | Drinking water | Industrial use | Cultural and spiritual values |
| | | | •••• | | | | | 1 | (| | | Ü |
| Surface fresh waters (rivers, creeks, streams) in de | eveloped | areas (e | .g. urban | , industr | ial, rural ı | residentia | al, agricult | ure, farm | lands) | | | |
| Tully River | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Hull River | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Koombooloomba Dam | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Surface fresh waters in undeveloped areas (e.g. Na | tional Pa | arks, for | est reserv | /es) | | | | | | | | |
| Fresh waters in undeveloped areas | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| Groundwaters | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Estuaries/bays, coastal and marine waters | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Estuarine waters | ✓ | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Tully coastal waters | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Offshore marine waters | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |

Table 1.2 Environmental values for the waters of the Murray River basin (114) and adjacent coastal waters

| Murray River basin (114) | Enviro | nmental va | alues ^{1, 2, 3} | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | Aquatic ecosystems | Irrigation | Farm supply/use | Stock water | Aquaculture | Human consumer | Primary recreation ⁴ | Secondary recreation ⁴ | Visual recreation | Drinking water | Industrial use | Cultural and spiritual values |
| | | | •••• | | | | 4 | | (i) | | | Ü |
| Surface fresh waters (rivers, creeks, streams) in | developed | l areas (e | e.g. urban | , industr | ial, rural | residentia | al, agricult | ure, farm | lands) | | | |
| Murray River | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| Dallachy, Meunga and Kennedy creeks | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| Surface fresh waters in undeveloped areas | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fresh waters in undeveloped areas | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| Groundwaters | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Estuaries/bays, coastal and marine waters | <u>.</u> | - | • | | • | | | | | | • | • |
| Estuarine waters | ✓ | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Murray coastal waters | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Offshore marine waters | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |

Table 1.3 Environmental values for the waters of the Hinchinbrook Island River basin (115) and adjacent coastal waters

| Hinchinbrook Island River basin (115) | Environ | mental va | lues ^{1, 2, 3} | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | Aquatic ecosystems | Irrigation | Farm supply/use | Stock water | Aquaculture | Human consumer | Primary recreation 4 | Secondary recreation ⁴ | Visual recreation | Drinking water | Industrial use | Cultural and spiritual values |
| | | | ••• | | | | | 1 | | | | Ü |
| Surface fresh waters in undeveloped areas (e.g. N | lational Pa | arks, for | est reser | ves) | | | | | | | | |
| Fresh waters in undeveloped areas | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| Groundwaters | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | ✓ |
| Estuaries/bays, coastal and marine waters | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Estuarine waters | ✓ | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Hinchinbrook Channel | ✓ | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Hinchinbrook coastal waters | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Offshore marine waters | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |

- 1. ✓ means the EV is selected for protection.
- 2. Refer to the accompanying maps for the spatial locations of the EVs.
- 3. Blank indicates that the EV is not chosen for protection.
- 4. The selection of recreational EVs for waters does not mean that these waters are free of dangerous aquatic organisms, for example venomous organisms (e.g. marine stingers including box jellyfish, irukandji jellyfish), crocodiles, and sharks. Direct contact with dangerous aquatic organisms should be avoided. Refer to EHP CrocWatch, council, www.health.qld.gov.au, www.beachsafe.org.au, www.marinestingers.com.au and other information sources for further details on swimming safety and information on specific waters.

Water quality objectives to protect environmental values

3 Water quality objectives to protect environmental values

This section provides WQOs to protect the EVs for the waters at Section 2.

- Section 3.1 information for reference to the State Planning Policy: state interest water quality.
- Section 3.2 states the surface waters WQOs to protect the aquatic ecosystem EV.
- Section 3.3 states the surface waters WQOs to protect the human use EVs.
- Section 3.4 states the groundwater WQOs to protect the groundwater EVs.

3.1 State planning policy: state interest – water quality

The State Planning Policy (SPP) defines the Queensland Government's policies about matters of state interest in land use planning and development. (A state interest is defined under the *Sustainable Planning Act 2009.*)

Water quality is a state interest. The SPP (state interest – water quality) seeks to ensure that 'the environmental values and quality of Queensland waters are protected and enhanced'. It includes provisions relating to planning schemes, acid sulfate soils and water supply buffer areas.

The provisions of the SPP are operationalised through the SPP code – water quality (Appendix 3 of the SPP). The purpose of the code is to 'ensure development is planned, designed, constructed and operated to manage stormwater and wastewater in ways that support the protection of environmental values identified in the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 2009'. The code contains detailed performance objectives for planning schemes, development and land use activities to implement the code's purpose. These include stormwater management design objectives by climatic region (construction and post-construction phases).

The SPP (state interest – water quality) is supported by the State Planning Policy—state interest guideline – water quality. The SPP (including SPP code) and supporting guideline are available from the DSDIP website.

3.2 Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystems environmental values

This section lists the WQOs for the various water types at the stated levels of protection to protect the aquatic ecosystems environmental values stated for the surface waters of the Tully, Murray and Hinchinbrook Island rivers basins and adjacent coastal waters at section 2.

Procedures for the application of WQOs for aquatic ecosystem protection, and compliance assessment protocols can be found in Section 5 and Appendix D of the QWQG. For the comparison of test site monitoring data against WQOs, the median water quality value (e.g. concentration) of a number (preferably five or more) of independent samples at a particular monitoring ('test') site should be compared against the water quality objective of the same indicator, water type and level of aquatic ecosystem protection, as listed in table 2 below. For WQOs based on GBRMPA data, where single value WQOs are given for specified indicators (e.g. particulate N, Secchi depth), these should be compared to annual mean (rather than median) values. Relevant seasonal adjustments can be referenced in GBRMPA (2010) Water Quality Guidelines for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park 2010. Also refer to notes after the tables.

WQOs for metals and other toxicants in sediments, in all cases reference is made to the ANZECC quidelines.

WQOs for metals and other toxicants in waters, where not stated in this document, are referred to the ANZECC guidelines. In the case of aluminium, reference is made to a recent peer reviewed study of toxicity of aluminium in marine waters by Golding et al. (2014). This study used ANZECC protocols to derive a marine guideline value of 24 μ g/L of aluminium (that applies to the measured concentration in seawater that passes through an 0.45 μ m filter) to protect 95% of species that applies to slightly to moderately disturbed waters, and 2.1 μ g/L to protect 99% of species which applies to HEV waters. This supersedes the existing low reliability guideline of 0.5 μ g/L that was derived using conservative safety margins from limited data.

Golding, L.A., Angel, B.M., Batley, G.E., Apte, S.C., Krassoi, R. and Doyle, C.J. 2014. Derivation
of a water quality guideline for aluminium in marine waters. Environmental Toxicology and
Chemistry (Accepted) (DOI: 10.1002/etc.2771).

Water quality objectives for surface waters to protect the aquatic ecosystem environmental values

3.2.1 Surface water quality objectives

Tables 2.1 to 2.5 include the following information for the surface waters of the various catchments and adjacent coastal waters:

- Water quality objectives for physico-chemical, nutrient, algal and water clarity indicators under baseflow conditions—Table 2.1
- Water quality objectives for nutrients and suspended solids during high flow periods—Table 2.2
- Water quality objectives for specific pesticides and biocides—Table 2.3
- Water quality objectives for other ions, metals and chemical indicators in surface waters—Table 2.4
- Freshwater macroinvertebrate objectives for moderately disturbed waters—Table 2.5

Note: Event flow WQOs are provided in table 2.2. Unless otherwise stated all other WQOs provided are for application only during baseflow conditions.

Table 2.1 Water quality objectives for physico-chemical, nutrient, algal and water clarity indicators to protect the aquatic ecosystems EVs under baseflow conditions

| | | | | | | | Wate | r quality objec | ctives | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Level of | Motortune | Physico-ch | emical | | | | Nutrier | nts | | | | Algal growth | Wa | ter clarity | |
| protection | Water type | DO | рН | Ammonia N | Oxidised N | Particulate N | Organic N | Total N | FRP | Particulate P | Total P | Chl-a | Turbidity | Secchi | TSS |
| | | % Saturation | | | | | | μg/L | | | | | NTU | m | mg/L |
| | | range of 20th a | and 80 th perc | centiles (i.e. 8 | 85–105). | · | , | | | | · | |). DO and pH n h limit. Minimu | · | own as a |
| Tabl | le notes | requirement for | or seagrass e harbour co | is a PAR two enditions. It d | week movii oes not inclu | ng average of our of the second of the secon | greater than | n 6 mol m ⁻² da; enthic microa | y ⁻¹ . This Igae and | is minimum re I phytoplankto | quirement | t only for seght level. (| eagrass health Objective based | and is ger | |
| | | Mangroves: 0 assessment to | | | | area. The Que | ensland He | rbarium cond | lucts bie | nnial mapping | of mangro | ove cover a | and this could b | e used as | an |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | of High Ecolog Also refer to Se | | |
| | Undeveloped upland fresh water (HEV3081) | 90-95-100 ¹ | 6-6.5- 7.5 ¹ | 3-4-6 ¹ | 10-15- 30 ¹ | nd | 75-100- 125 ¹ | 90-120- 150 ¹ | 3-4- 5 ¹ | nd | 5-7-10 ¹ | <0.51 | <1-2-5 ¹ | nd | 2 ³ |
| | Undeveloped fresh water (HEV3081/ HEV3123) | 85-120 ¹ | 6.0-8.0 ¹ | 10¹ | 30 ² | nd | 200¹ | 240¹ | 41 | nd | 10¹ | 1.5 ¹ | 15¹ | nd | 2 ³ |
| High ecological value waters/ | Freshwater lakes/ reservoirs (HEV3081) | 90-120 ¹ | 6.0-8.0 ¹ | 10¹ | 17 ³ | nd | 330¹ | 350¹ | 5 ¹ | nd | 10¹ | 31 | 2-200¹ | nd | nd |
| slightly disturbed waters | Wetlands (HEV3081/ SD3081) | 90-120 ¹ | 6.0-8.0 ¹ | 10 ¹ | 17³ | nd | 330- 1180¹ | 350-1200 ¹ | 5-25 ¹ | nd | 10-50 ¹ | 10 ¹ | 2-200 ¹ | nd | nd |
| | Mid estuarine and tidal canals, constructed estuaries, marinas and boat harbours (HEV3081/SD3081) | 80-85-105 | 6.5-7.3- 8.4 | 5-10-15 | 2-15-30 | nd | 100-100- 200 | 110-130- 250 | 2-3-5 | nd | 10-15- 20 | 1-2-3 | 2-5-10 | 2-1.5-1 | nd |

| | | | | | | | Wate | er quality object | ctives | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Level of | Water type | Physico-ch | emical | | | | Nutrier | nts | | | | Algal growth | Wa | ter clarity | |
| protection | water type | DO | рН | Ammonia N | Oxidised N | Particulate N | Organic N | Total N | FRP | Particulate P | Total P | Chl-a | Turbidity | Secchi | TSS |
| | | % Saturation | | | | | | μg/L | | | | | NTU | m | mg/L |
| | Enclosed coastal/lower estuary (HEV3081/ SD3121) | 85-105 | 6.5-7.3- 8.4 | <15 | nd | nd | 135¹ | 160 ¹ | 5 ¹ | nd | 20 ¹ | 2.01 | 10 | 1.0 | nd |
| Himb | | 95-100-105 ² | 8.1-8.3- 8.4 ² | 1-3-7 ² | 0-0-12 | ≤20 ² | nd | 76-105- 140 ² | 0-2- 3 ² | ≤2.8² | 8-14- 22 ² | <0.45 ² | 0.6-0.9-1.82 | ≥10 ² | ≤2 ² |
| High ecological value waters/ slightly disturbed | Open coastal ² (HEV3121/ SD3121) | Total dissolved Silicate: 90-165 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| waters | | 95-105 ² | 8.1-8.3- 8.4 ² | 1-4-10 ² | 0-1-22 | 10-13-172 | nd | 71-96-1222 | 0-1- 3 ² | 1.2-1.9-2.62 | 4-6-9 ² | 0.2-0.3- 0.5 ² | <12 | 10-13- 16 ² | 0.3-0.6- 1.1 ² |
| | waters ² (HEV3122) | Total dissolved Silicate: 28-52-2 | al dissolved N: 54-74-97 μg/L al dissolved P: 2-4-8 μg/L cate: 28-52-104 μg/L nperature: <1°C increase above long term (20 year) average maximum | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Developed fresh water | 85-120 ¹ | 6.0-8.0 ¹ | <10³ | <140³ | nd | nd | <340 ³ | <8 ³ | nd | <25³ | <1.5 ¹ | <15 ¹ | nd | <8 ³ |
| | Freshwater lakes/ reservoirs | 90-120¹ | 6.0-8.0 ¹ | <10¹ | <101 | nd | <330 ¹ | <350 ¹ | <5 ¹ | nd | <10¹ | <31 | 2-200¹ | nd | nd¹ |
| Moderately | Wetlands | 90-120 ¹ | 6.0-8.0 ¹ | <10¹ | <10 ¹ | nd | 330- 1180¹ | 350-1200¹ | 5-25 ¹ | nd | 10-50 ¹ | <10 ¹ | 2-200 ¹ | nd | nd |
| disturbed waters | Mid estuarine and tidal canals, constructed estuaries, marinas and boat harbours | 80-1051 | 6.5-8.41 | <151 | <301 | nd | <200 ¹ | <250 ¹ | <5 ¹ | nd | <201 | <31 | <101 | >1¹ | nd |
| | Enclosed coastal/lower estuary | 85-105¹ | 6.5-8.4 ¹ | <15 ¹ | <101 | nd | <135¹ | <1601 | <5 ¹ | nd | <201 | <21 | <101 | >1¹ | nd |

| | | | | | | | Wate | r quality obje | ctives | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Level of | Water time | Physico-ch | emical | | | | Nutrien | ts | | | | Algal growth | Wa | ter clarity | |
| protection | Water type | DO | рН | Ammonia N | Oxidised N | Particulate N | Organic N | Total N | FRP | Particulate P | Total P | Chl-a | Turbidity | Secchi | TSS |
| | | % Saturation | | | | | | μg/L | | | | | NTU | m | mg/L |
| Slightly – moderately disturbed waters | Open coastal ² | Total dissolved Silicate: ≥165 μ | ≤20 ² ≤2.8 ² | | | | | | | | | | ≤1² | ≥10² (annual mean) | ≤2² (annual mean) |
| Highly disturbed waters | | Assess existin | ng water qua | lity at the high | nly disturbed can be set ba | test site. Initial ised on (a) 95 th p ly disturbed but | objective is t | eference valu | es from a | a slightly disturb | ed referen | ce site or (b | | • | |

- DO: dissolved oxygen, FRP: filterable reactive phosphorus, Chl-a: chlorophyll-a, TSS: total suspended solids. nd: no (or insufficient) data.
- Units % saturation: percent saturation, µg/L: micrograms per litre, NTU: nephelometric turbidity units, m: metres, mg/L: milligrams per litre.

Sources:

- 1. Queensland Water Quality Guidelines 2009.
- GBRMPA analysis of Reef Rescue Marine Monitoring Program and/or Long Term Monitoring Program datasets.
 Analysis of DSITIA water quality monitoring data and Great Barrier Reef Catchment Loads Monitoring Program.

Table 2.2 Water quality objectives for nutrients and suspended solids to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs during high flow periods

| Water quality objectives | Ammonia N | Oxidised N | Particulate N | DON | TN | FRP | Particulate P | DOP | TP | TSS | |
|---|-----------|------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------|---------|--|
| Units | | μg/L | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 th -50 th -80 th percentiles | | , | WQOs apply to al | I fresh waters dur | ring high flow perio | ods where disch | arge is above lo | ocal baseflow. | | | |
| | 4-8-13 | 5-66-101 | 50-153-384 | 72-106-148 | 229-370-668 | 1-3-4 | 5-10-45 | 5-5-10 | 10-20-70 | 4-20-52 | |

Notes:

- 1. High flow WQOs are based on measured data from high flow periods at a reference site on the Tully River in Tully Gorge National Park (gauging station 113015A).
- 2. DON: dissolved organic nitrogen, TN: total nitrogen, FRP: filterable reactive phosphorous, DOP: dissolved organic phosphorous, TP: total phosphorous, TSS: total suspended solids.

Source:

Orr, D., Turner, R.D.R., Huggins, R., Vardy, S., Warne, M. St. J. 2014. Wet Tropics water quality statistics for high and base flow conditions. Great Barrier Reef Catchment Loads Monitoring Program, Department of Science, Information Technology, Innovation and the Arts, Brisbane.

Table 2.3 Water quality objectives for specific pesticides and biocides to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs

| | | | | | W | ater quali | ty objectiv | res | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|-------|----------|-------------------------|
| Level of | | | | | | | Pesticides | } | | | | | Biocide |
| aquatic ecosystems protection | Water type | Diuron | Atrazine | Chlor- pyrifos | Endo- sulfan | Ametryn | Simazine | Hexa- zinone | 2,4-D | Tebu- thiuron | MEMC | Diazinon | Tributlyltin (as Sn) |
| | | | | | | | ŀ | ug/l | | | | | |
| High ecological value waters | All (HEV3081/ HEV3121/ HEV3122/ HEV3123) | | | | | No dete | ction of an | thropogeni | ic toxicants | 3 | | | |
| | Undeveloped fresh water | nd | 0.7 | 0.00004 | 0.03 | nd | 0.2 | 75 | 140 | 0.2 | nd | 0.00003 | nd |
| | Freshwater lakes/ reservoirs | nd | 0.7 | 0.00004 | 0.03 | nd | 0.2 | 75 | 140 | 0.2 | nd | 0.00003 | nd |
| | Wetlands (SD3081) | nd | 0.7 | 0.00004 | 0.03 | nd | 0.2 | 75 | 140 | 0.2 | nd | 0.00003 | nd |
| Slightly disturbed waters | Mid estuarine and tidal canals, constructed estuaries, marinas and boat harbours (SD3081) | nd | 0.7 | 0.00004 | 0.03 | nd | 0.2 | 75 | 140 | 0.2 | nd | 0.00003 | nd |
| | Enclosed coastal/lower estuary (SD3121) | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0005 | 0.005 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.00003 | 0.0004 |
| | Open coastal (SD3121) | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0005 | 0.005 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.00003 | 0.0004 |

| | | | | | W | ater quali | ty objectiv | /es | | | | | |
|--|--|--------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|------------------|-------|----------|-------------------------|
| Level of | | | | | | | Pesticides | ; | | | | | Biocide |
| aquatic ecosystems protection | Water type | Diuron | Atrazine | Chlor- pyrifos | Endo- sulfan | Ametryn | Simazine | Hexa- zinone | 2,4-D | Tebu- thiuron | MEMC | Diazinon | Tributlyltin (as Sn) |
| | | | | | | | I | ug/l | | | | | |
| | Developed fresh water | nd | 13 | 0.01 | 0.03 | nd | 3.2 | 75 | 280 | 2.2 | nd | 0.01 | nd |
| | Freshwater lakes/ reservoirs | nd | 13 | 0.01 | 0.03 | nd | 3.2 | 75 | 280 | 2.2 | nd | 0.01 | nd |
| Moderately | Wetlands | nd | 13 | 0.010 | 0.03 | nd | 3.2 | 75 | 280 | 2.2 | nd | 0.01 | nd |
| disturbed and highly disturbed waters | Mid estuarine and tidal canals, constructed estuaries, marinas and boat harbours | nd | 13 | 0.01 | 0.03 | nd | 3.2 | 75 | 280 | 2.2 | nd | 0.01 | nd |
| | Enclosed coastal/lower estuary | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 30.8 | 2 | 0.002 | 0.01 | 0.006 |
| | Open coastal | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 30.8 | 2 | 0.002 | 0.01 | 0.006 |

- 1. nd = no data
- 2. For all other contaminants in waters, including **metals** —see ANZECC guidelines. For aluminium, refer to: Golding, L.A., Angel, B.M., Batley, G.E., Apte, S.C., Krassoi, R. and Doyle, C.J. 2014. Derivation of a water quality guideline for aluminium in marine waters. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (Accepted) (DOI: 10.1002/etc.2771).
- 3. Comply with the Code of Practice for Antifouling and In-water Hull Cleaning and Maintenance, ANZECC (Re Tributyltin and Dibutyltin)

Source:

Freshwater and Mid estuarine WQOs derived from ANZECC (2000). Enclosed coastal/Lower estuary and Open coastal WQOs derived from GBRMPA (2010)

Table 2.4 Water quality objectives for other ions, metals and chemical indicators in surface waters

| | Na | a | Ca | a | M | g | НС | O ₃ | С | I | so | 4 | EC | s _ | | ن | L-1) | L-1) | L-1) | L-1) | L-1) | |
|------------|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|---------|-----------------------|---------|----|---------|---|--------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Percentile | mg. L⁴ | % | mg∙ L¹ | % | mg∙ L¹ | % | mg∙ L⁻¹ | % | mg. L⁻¹ | % | mg∙ L⁻¹ | % | µS· cm | Hardness (mg· L ⁻¹) | lini L | SiO ₂ (mg· | F (mg. L | Fe (mg. | Mn (mg. | Zn (mg· | Cu (mg· | SAR |
| 20th | 5 | 40 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 17 | 14 | 47 | 6 | 28 | 1 | 2 | 47 | 8 | 11 | 10.1 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.60 |
| 50th | 7 | 51 | 3 | 22 | 2 | 26 | 25 | 59 | 9 | 36 | 1 | 3 | 72 | 17 | 20 | 14.1 | 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.01 | 0.70 |
| 80th | 11 | 66 | 5 | 28 | 4 | 34 | 40 | 68 | 14 | 48 | 2 | 6 | 106 | 29 | 33 | 21.1 | 0.110 | 0.200 | 0.010 | 0.020 | 0.03 | 0.95 |

- 1. These values are based on local data collected across the Wet Tropics region. ANZECC guidelines apply for some elements, however these locally observed data are below the guideline values and should be maintained.
- 2. EC = electrical conductivity; SAR = sodium adsorption ratio.

Source:

Queensland Wet Tropics and Black and Ross catchments: Regional chemistry of the groundwater. Queensland Government (Raymond, M. A. A. and V. H. McNeil, 2013).

3.2.2 Riparian and groundcover water quality objectives

The clearing of native vegetation in Queensland is regulated by the *Vegetation Management Act 1999* the *Sustainable Planning Act 2009* and associated policies and codes. This includes the regulation of clearing in water and drainage lines.

For vegetation management relating to waterways, reference should be made to:

- State Development Assessment Provisions (SDAP) Module 8: Native vegetation clearing. This
 module includes performance requirements relating to clearing of native vegetation and a table
 relating to watercourse buffer areas and stream order. To review the SDAP modules, contact the
 Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning website.
- SDAP Module 11: Wetland protection area
- Relevant self-assessable codes under the Vegetation Management Act 1999. These codes are
 activity based, some applying to different regions, and include performance requirements relating to
 watercourses and wetlands, aimed at maintaining water quality, bank stability, aquatic and terrestrial
 habitat. Codes include vegetation clearing controls that vary according to stream order. To review the
 latest applicable self-assessable code (and other explanatory information), contact the Department of
 Natural Resources and Mines website.

To review the current vegetation management laws contact the Queensland Government website or Department of Natural Resources and Mines website.

To review the SDAP Modules, contact the Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning website.

Local Government Planning schemes under the *Sustainable Planning Act 2009* may also specify riparian buffers (for example under catchment protection or waterway codes). Contact the Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning website and local government websites for further information about planning schemes.

The **riparian vegetation** target up to 2018 in the Reef Water Quality Protection Plan (Reef Plan) 2013 is that 'The extent of riparian vegetation is increased' and the **groundcover target** is for a 'Minimum 70 per cent late dry season groundcover on grazing lands'.

3.2.3 Wetlands water quality objectives

The Environmental Protection Regulation section 81A defines Environmental values for wetlands.

The State assesses impacts from earth works that may have impacts on freshwater wetlands of High Ecological Significance in Great Barrier Reef Catchments against State Development Assessment Provisions (SDAP) Module 11: Wetland protection area.

This module includes performance requirements to ensure

- adverse effects on hydrology, water quality and ecological processes of a wetland are avoided or minimised.
- Any significant adverse impacts on matters of state environmental significance and on riparian areas or wildlife corridors in strategic environmental areas are avoided.

Note: refer to the guideline 'Protecting Wetlands of High Ecological Significance in Great Barrier Reef Catchments', and the Queensland wetland buffer planning guideline, available from the department's website.

3.2.4 Freshwater macroinvertebrate objectives

Locally derived objectives for freshwater macroinvertebrate indices are listed in table 2.5, based on reference sites shown at Figure 1. Aquatic macroinvertebrates are common and widespread throughout many aquatic ecosystems, are easily sampled and can provide an integrated measure of stream condition. Specific sampling protocols have been used and their training and accreditation requirements (see http://ausrivas.ewater.com.au/training-and-accreditation3) mean that sample results from a number of programs can be combined for use in derivation of objective values. In determining macroinvertebrate objectives, 10m of either edge or riffle habitats were sampled with standard protocols. Indices included in these macroinvertebrates objectives are:

- SIGNAL index (Stream Invertebrate Grade Number Average Level) was developed for the bioassessment of water quality in rivers in Australia. A SIGNAL score is calculated by grading each detected macroinvertebrate family based upon its sensitivity to pollutants from 1 (tolerant) to 10 (sensitive) and averaging the grades. These guidelines used SIGNAL version 2.iv (Chessman 2003, available at www.environment.gov.au).
- Taxa richness is the number of different aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa collected in a sample.
- PET taxa richness is the number of aquatic macroinvertebrate families collected from three orders of aquatic insects; Ephemeroptera (mayflies), Plecoptera (stoneflies) and Trichoptera (caddisflies).
 These orders are considered to be sensitive to changes in their environment and therefore useful to assess stream condition.
- % sensitive taxa in an index based on the proportion of taxa with 'sensitive' SIGNAL grades of 8-10 (SIGNAL version 2.iv).
- % tolerant taxa in an index based on the proportion of taxa with 'tolerant' SIGNAL grades of 1-3 (SIGNAL version 2.iv).

Samples for the macroinvertebrate objectives were identified in the laboratory to family level, except Chironimidae (non-biting midges) that are identified to sub-family, and lower Phyla (Porifera, Nematoda, Nemertea, etc.), Oligochaeta (freshwater worms), Acarina (mites), and microcrustacea (Ostracoda, Copepoda, Cladocera) that are not identified further. The taxonomy used to calculate the objective indices are based on those used in SIGNAL version 2.iv.




Figure 1 Reference sites (yellow circles) with samples considered or used for development of macroinvertebrate objectives in the Wet Tropics of Queensland

Table 2.5 Freshwater macroinvertebrate objectives for moderately disturbed waters (MD) of the Tully and Murray River basins

| | | Tully | basin | | | Murray | / basin | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Index | Edge h | nabitat ¹ | Riffle h | nabitat ² | Edge h | nabitat ¹ | Riffle h | nabitat ² |
| | 20 th percentile | 80 th percentile |
| SIGNAL index | 4.18 ³ | 5.00 ³ | 5.04 ³ | 5.92 ³ | 4.00 ³ | 4.75 ³ | 4.86 ³ | 5.38 ³ |
| Taxa richness | 17³ | 24 ³ | 21 ³ | 28 ³ | 13 ³ | 18 ³ | 19³ | 23 ³ |
| PET taxa richness | 43 | 93 | 7 ³ | 11 ³ | 3^3 | 5 ³ | 5 ³ | 7 ³ |
| % sensitive taxa | 5.56 ³ | 12.50 ³ | 14.29 ³ | 30.00 ³ | 5.56 ³ | 12.50 ³ | 4.76 ³ | 21.74 ³ |
| % tolerant taxa | 19.23³ | 34.7 ³ | 12.00 ³ | 18.52 ³ | 18.75 ³ | 33.33 ³ | 15.79 ³ | 23.81 ³ |

- 1. Edge Habitat is located along the stream bank.
- 2. Riffle Habitat is characterised as a reach with relatively steep, shallow (<0.3m), fast flowing (>0.2m/s) and broken water over stony beds.
- 3. Indicates a limited number of samples were used to develop the guideline value and this should be considered an interim value until further data is available.

Source:

Negus P, Steward A & Blessing J. 2013. Queensland interim biological guidelines for Wet Tropics coastal streams: Aquatic macroinvertebrates, April 2013 – Draft for Comment. Brisbane: Department of Science, Information Technology, Innovation and the Arts, Queensland Government.

Water quality objectives to protect the human use environmental values

3.3 Water quality objectives for human use environmental values

This section outlines the WQOs to protect human use EVs, e.g. recreation, stock watering, aquaculture and crop irrigation. Tables 3.1 to 3.10 list the WQOs to protect the human use EVs for the waters of the Tully, Murray and Hinchinbrook Island River basins and adjacent coastal waters.

The WQOs in these tables are based on national water quality guidelines, including ANZECC (2000), the National Health and Medical Research Council Guidelines for managing risks in recreational water, the Food Standards Australia New Zealand and the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines⁵.

Where national guidelines are the source for the stated WQOs, reference is necessary to obtain comprehensive listings of all indicators, corresponding WQOs and up-to-date information.

Table 3.1 Water quality objectives to protect human use environmental values

| Environmental value | Water type— refer attached pdf mapping or GIS datasets | Water quality objectives to protect the stated EV |
|---|---|---|
| Suitability for raw drinking water supply (before treatment) | Fresh waters and groundwaters | WQOs for drinking water supply are at table 3.2. Note: For water quality after treatment or at point of use refer to legislation and guidelines, including: Public Health Act 2005 and Regulations Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008, including any approved drinking water quality management plan under the Act Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 2011—updated December 2013. |
| Protection of the human consumer (oysters, fish crustaceans) | All fresh, estuarine and coastal waters | WQOs as per ANZECC guidelines and Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code ⁶ , Food Standards Australia New Zealand, 2007 and updates. |
| Protection of cultural and spiritual values | All waters | Protect or restore indigenous and non-indigenous cultural heritage consistent with any relevant policies and plans. |
| Suitability for industrial use (includes mining, minerals processing, chemical process industries etc.) | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | No WQOs are stated for industrial uses of water. Water quality requirements for industry vary within and between industries. Where there are specific intake water quality requirements e.g. power station cooling water, the EV is protected by WQOs for other EVs, such as the aquatic ecosystem requirements. |

⁵ The AWQG are available on the National Water Quality Management Strategy website.

The ADWG are available on the NHMRC website.

⁶ The Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code is available on the Food Standards Australia and New Zealand website.

| Environmental value | Water type— refer attached pdf mapping or GIS datasets | Water quality objectives to protect the stated EV | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Suitability for aquaculture | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | WQOs as per: tables 3.3 to 3.5 ANZECC guidelines and Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code, Food Standards Australia New Zealand, 2007 and updates. | |
| Suitability for irrigation | Fresh waters and groundwaters | WQOs for pathogens and metals are provided in tables 3.6 and 3.7 For other indicators, such as salinity, sodicity and herbicides, see ANZECC guidelines | |
| Suitability for stock watering | Fresh waters and groundwaters | WQOs as per ANZECC guidelines, including median faecal coliforms <100 organisms per 100 mL WQOs for total dissolved solids and metals are provided in tables 10 and 11 For other objectives, such as cyanobacteria and pathogens, see ANZECC guidelines | |
| Suitability for farm supply/use | All fresh waters including groundwaters | WQOs as per ANZECC guidelines | |
| Suitability for primary contact recreation | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | Objectives as per NHMRC (2008)⁷, including: water free of physical (floating and submerged) hazards temperature range: 16–34°C pH range: 6.5–8.5 DO: >80% faecal contamination: designated recreational waters are protected against direct contamination with fresh faecal material, particularly of human or domesticated animal origin. Two principal components are required for assessing faecal contamination: assessment of evidence for the likely influence of faecal material counts of suitable faecal indicator bacteria (usually <i>enterococci</i>) These two components are combined to produce an overall microbial classification of the recreational water body. intestinal enterococci: 95th percentile ≤ 40 organisms per 100mL (for healthy adults) (NHMRC, 2008; table 5.7) direct contact with venomous or dangerous aquatic organisms should be avoided. Recreational water bodies should be reasonably free of, or protected from, venomous organisms (e.g. box jellyfish and bluebottles) waters contaminated with chemicals that are either toxic or irritating to the skin or mucous membranes are unsuitable for recreational purposes. | |

_

 $[\]overline{}^7$ Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Water are available on the NHMRC website.

| Environmental value | Water type— refer attached pdf mapping or GIS datasets | Water quality objectives to protect the stated EV |
|--|---|---|
| Suitability for primary contact recreation – continued | Fresh waters | cyanobacteria / algae: Recreational water bodies should not contain: level 1¹: ≥ 10 µg/L total microcystins; or ≥ 50 000 cells/mL toxic Microcystis aeruginosa; or biovolume equivalent of ≥ 4 mm³/L for the combined total of all cyanobacteria where a known toxin producer is dominant in the total biovolume or level 2¹: ≥ 10 mm³/L for total biovolume of all cyanobacterial material where known toxins are not present or cyanobacterial scums consistently present. Further details are contained in NHMRC (2008) and table 3.10. |
| | Estuarine, coastal waters | cyanobacteria / algae: Recreational water bodies should not contain ≥ 10 cells/mL <i>Karenia brevis</i> and/or have <i>Lyngbya majuscula</i> and/or <i>Pfiesteria</i> present in high numbers². Further details are contained in NHMRC (2008) and table 3.10. |
| Suitability for secondary contact recreation | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | Objectives as per NHMRC (2008), including: intestinal enterococci: 95th percentile ≤ 40 organisms per 100 mL (for healthy adults) (NHMRC, 2008; table 5.7) cyanobacteria / algae—refer objectives for primary recreation, NHMRC (2008) and table 3.10. |
| Suitability for visual recreation | Fresh waters, estuarine and coastal waters | Objectives as per NHMRC (2008), including: recreational water bodies should be aesthetically acceptable to recreational users. The water should be free from visible materials that may settle to form objectionable deposits; floating debris, oil, scum and other matter; substances producing objectionable colour, odour, taste or turbidity; and substances and conditions that produce undesirable aquatic life. Cyanobacteria / algae—refer objectives for primary recreation, NHMRC (2008) and table 3.10. |

- Level 1 recognises the probability of adverse health effects from ingestion of known toxins, in this case based on the toxicity of microcystins. Level 2 covers circumstances in which there are very high cell densities of cyanobacterial material, irrespective of the presence of toxicity or known toxins. Increased cyanobacterial densities increase the likelihood of non-specific adverse health outcomes, principally respiratory, irritation and allergy symptoms. (NHMRC, 2008; 8).
- 2. The NHMRC states that its guidelines are concerned 'only with risks that may be associated with recreational activities in or near coastal and estuarine waters. This includes exposure through dermal contact, inhalation of sea-spray aerosols and possible ingestion of water or algal scums, but does not include dietary exposure to marine algal toxins.' (NHMRC, 2008; 121).

Sources:

The WQOs were determined from:

- Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (NHMRC, 2011).
- Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code (Australian Government).
- Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZECC & ARMCANZ, 2000).
- Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Water (NHMRC, 2008).

Table 3.2 Drinking water EV – Water quality objectives for raw drinking water supply in the vicinity of off-takes, including groundwater, before treatment

WQOs for drinking water **before treatment** are derived from the Office of the Water Supply Regulator (Department of Energy and Water Supply) and Queensland Health.

Note: For water quality after treatment or at the point of use, refer to relevant legislation and guidelines, including *Public Health Act 2005* and Regulations, *Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008*, including any approved drinking water management plan under the Act, *Water Fluoridation Act 2008*, and the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG (2011), 2013 update).

| Indicator | Water quality objective |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Giardia | 0 cysts (Office of Water Supply Regulator) |
| | If <i>Giardia</i> is detected in drinking water then the health authorities should be notified immediately and an investigation of the likely source of contamination undertaken (ADWG). |
| Cryptosporidium | 0 cysts (Office of Water Supply Regulator) |
| | If <i>Cryptosporidium</i> is detected in drinking water then the health authorities should be notified immediately and an investigation of the likely source of contamination undertaken (ADWG). |
| E. coli | <50 cfu/100mL |
| | Treatment plants with effective barriers and disinfection are designed to address faecal contamination. |
| | E. coli or thermotolerant coliforms should not be present in any 100 mL sample of (treated) drinking water (ADWG). |
| Blue-green algae (cyanobacteria) | <100 cells/mL |
| Algal toxin | <1 μg/L Microcystin |
| рН | 5.5–8 |
| Total dissolved solids | <600 mg/L |
| | The concentration of total dissolved solids in treated drinking water should not exceed 600 mg/L (ADWG 2011, based on taste considerations). |
| Sodium | <180 mg/L |
| | The concentration of sodium in reticulated drinking water supplies should not exceed 180 mg/L (ADWG, based on threshold at which taste becomes appreciable). |
| Sulfate | <250 mg/L |
| | The concentration of sulfate in drinking water should not exceed 250 mg/L (ADWG 2011, based on taste/aesthetic considerations). |
| | ADWG 2011 health guideline: <500mg/L |
| Dissolved oxygen | 5.5–7 mg/L |

| Indicator | Water quality objective |
|--|--|
| Pesticides | Raw supplies: Below detectable limits. Treated drinking water: Refer to ADWG. |
| Other indicators (including physico-chemical indicators) | Refer to ADWG. |

Table 3.3 Aquaculture EV – Water quality objectives for tropical aquaculture

| Water parameter | Recommended range | | Water parameter | Recommended range | |
|---|-------------------|------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | Fresh water | Marine | | General aquatic | |
| Dissolved oxygen | >4 mg/L | >4 mg/L | Arsenic | <0.05 mg/L | |
| Temperature °C | 21–32 | 24–33 | Cadmium | <0.003 mg/L | |
| pH | 6.8–9.5 | 7–9.0 | Calcium/Magnesium | 10–160 mg/L | |
| Ammonia (TAN, total ammonia- nitrogen) | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | Chromium | <0.1 mg/L | |
| Ammonia (NH ₃ , un-ionised form) | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | Copper | <0.006 mg/L in soft water | |
| Nitrate (NO ₃) | 1–100 mg/L | 1–100 mg/L | Cyanide | <0.005 mg/L | |
| Nitrite (NO ₂) | <0.1 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | Iron | <0.5 mg/L | |
| Salinity | 0-5 ppt | 15–35 ppt | Lead | <0.03 mg/L | |
| Hardness | 20-450 mg/L | | Manganese | <0.01 mg/L | |
| Alkalinity | 20–400 mg/L | >100 mg/L | Mercury | <0.00005 mg/L | |
| Turbidity | <80 NTU | | Nickel | <0.01 mg/L in soft water <0.04 mg/L in hard water | |
| Chlorine | <0.003 mg/L | | Tin | <0.001 mg/L | |
| Hydrogen sulphide | <0.002 mg/L | | Zinc | 0.03–0.06 mg/L in soft water 1–2 mg/L in hard water | |

Source: Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries: Water Quality in Aquaculture—DPI Notes April 2004.

Table 3.4 Aquaculture EV – Water quality objectives for optimal growth of freshwater species

| Water parameter | Barramundi | Eel | Silver perch | Jade perch | Sleepy cod | Redclaw |
|--|------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| Dissolved oxygen | 4–9 mg/L | >3 mg/L | >4 mg/L | >3 mg/L | >4.0 mg/L | >4.0 mg/L |
| Temperature °C | 26–32 | 23–28 | 23–28 | 23–28 | 22–31 | 23–31 |
| рН | 7.5–8.5 | 7.0–8.5 | 6.5–9 | 6.5–9 | 7.0–8.5 | 7.0–8.5 |
| Ammonia (TAN, Total ammonia- nitrogen) | | <1.0 mg/L | | | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L |
| Ammonia (NH ₃ , unionised form)*pH dependent. | <0.46 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L |
| Nitrate (NO ₃) | | | <100 mg/L | | | |
| Nitrite (NO ₂) | <1.5 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L |
| Salinity (extended periods) | 0–35 ppt | | <5 ppt | <5 ppt | | <4 ppt |
| Salinity bath | 0–35 ppt | | 5–10 ppt for 1 hour | | max. 20 ppt for one hour | |
| Hardness (CaCO ₃) | | | >50 mg/L | >50 mg/L | >40 mg/L | >40 mg/L |
| Alkalinity | >20 mg/L | | 100-400 ppm | 100–400 ppm | >40 mg/L | >40 mg/L |
| Chlorine | <0.04 mg/L | | | | <0.04 mg/L | |
| Hydrogen sulphide | 0-0.3 mg/L | | | | 0-0.3 mg/L | |
| Iron | <0.1 mg/L | | <0.5 mg/L | <0.5 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L |
| Spawning temperature °C | Marine | | 23–28 | 23–28 | >24 for more than three days | |

Source: Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries: Water Quality in Aquaculture—DPI Notes April 2004.

Table 3.5 Aquaculture EV – Water quality objectives for optimal growth of particular marine species

| Water parameter | Barramundi | | Tiger prawn | | Kuruma prawn | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Hatchery | Grow out | Hatchery | Grow out | Grow out | |
| Dissolved oxygen | Saturation | >4 mg/L | >4 mg/L | >3.5 mg/L | >4 mg/L | |
| Temperature °C | 28–30 optimum 25–31 range | 28–30 optimum | | 26–32 | 24 | |
| рН | ~8 | ~8 | ~8 | 7.5–8.5 | 7.5–8.5 | |
| Ammonia (TAN, total ammonia-nitrogen) | | 0.1–0.5 mg/L | | | | |
| Ammonia (NH ₃ , unionised form) | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | <0.1 mg/L | |
| Nitrate (NO ₃) | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | |
| Nitrite (NO ₂) | <0.2 mg/L | <1.0 mg/L | <0.2 mg/L | <0.2 mg/L | <0.2 mg/L | |
| Salinity | 28–31 ppt | 0–35 ppt | | 10–25 ppt optimum | 30–35 ppt optimum | |
| Alkalinity | | 105–125 mg/L CaCO₃ | | | | |
| Clarity | | | | 30–40 cm Secchi disk | 30–40 cm Secchi disk | |
| Hydrogen sulphide | | <0.3 mg/L | | | | |
| Iron | | <0.02 mg/L | | <1.0 mg/L | | |
| Spawning temperature °C | | 28–32 | | 27–32 | | |

Source: Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries—Water Quality in Aquaculture—DPI Notes April 2004 (as amended).

Table 3.6 Irrigation EV – Water quality objectives for thermotolerant (faecal) coliforms in irrigation water used for food and non-food crops¹

| Intended use | Median values of thermotolerant coliforms (colony forming units—cfu) ² |
|--|---|
| Raw human food crops in direct contact with irrigation water (e.g. via sprays, irrigation of salad vegetables) | <10 cfu/100 mL |
| Raw human food crops not in direct contact with irrigation water (edible product separated from contact with water, e.g. by peel, use of trickle irrigation); or crops sold to consumers cooked or processed | <1000 cfu/100 mL |
| Pasture and fodder for dairy animals (without withholding period) | <100 cfu/100 mL |
| Pasture and fodder for dairy animals (with withholding period of five days) | <1000 cfu/100 mL |
| Pasture and fodder (for grazing animals except pigs and dairy animals, i.e. cattle, sheep and goats) | <1000 cfu/100 mL |
| Silviculture, turf, cotton, etc. (restricted public access) | <10 000 cfu/100 mL |

Notes:

- 1. Adapted from ARMCANZ, ANZECC and NHMRC (1999).
- 2. Refer to Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 2000 (AWQG), Volume 1, Section 4.2.3.3 for advice on testing protocols.

Source: AWQG, Volume 1, Section 4.2.3.3, table 4.2.2.

Table 3.7 Irrigation EV – Water quality objectives for heavy metals and metalloids in agricultural irrigation water¹ – long term trigger value (LTV), short-term trigger value (STV) and soil cumulative contamination loading limit (CCL)

| Element | Soil cumulative contaminant loading limit (CCL) (kg/ha) ² | Long-term trigger value (LTV) in irrigation water (up to 100 years) (mg/L) | Short-term trigger value (STV) in irrigation water (up to 20 years) (mg/L) |
|------------|--|--|--|
| Aluminium | ND | 5 | 20 |
| Arsenic | 20 | 0.1 | 2.0 |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Boron | ND | 0.5 | Refer to AWQG, Vol 3, table 9.2.18 |
| Cadmium | 2 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| Chromium | ND | 0.1 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | 0.05 | 0.1 |
| Copper | 140 | 0.2 | 5 |
| Fluoride | ND | 1 | 2 |
| Iron | ND | 0.2 | 10 |
| Lead | 260 | 2 | 5 |
| Lithium | ND | 2.5 (0.075 for citrus crops) | 2.5 (0.075 for citrus crops) |
| Manganese | ND | 0.2 | 10 |
| Mercury | 2 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| Molybdenum | ND | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| Nickel | 85 | 0.2 | 2 |
| Selenium | 10 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| Uranium | ND | 0.01 | 0.1 |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Zinc | 300 | 2 | 5 |

Source: AWQG, Volume 1, Section 4.2.6, table 4.2.10.

^{1.} Concentrations in irrigation water should be less than the trigger values. Trigger values should only be used in conjunction with information on each individual element and the potential for off-site transport of contaminants (refer AWQG, Volume 3, Section 9.2.5).

^{2.} ND = Not determined; insufficient background data to calculate CCL.

Table 3.8 Stock watering EV – Water quality objectives for tolerances of livestock to total dissolved solids (salinity) in drinking water¹

| Livestock | Total dissolved solid | s (TDS) (mg/L) | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| | No adverse effects on animals expected. | Animals may have initial reluctance to drink or there may be some scouring, but stock should adapt without loss of production | Loss of production and decline in animal condition and health would be expected. Stock may tolerate these levels for short periods if introduced gradually |
| Beef cattle | 0–4000 | 4000–5000 | 5000–10 000 |
| Dairy cattle | 0–2500 | 2500–4000 | 4000–7000 |
| Sheep | 0–5000 | 5000–10 000 | 10 000-13 000 ² |
| Horses | 0–4000 | 4000–6000 | 6000–7000 |
| Pigs | 0–4000 | 4000–6000 | 6000–8000 |
| Poultry | 0–2000 | 2000–3000 | 3000–4000 |

Notes:

- 1. From ANZECC (1992), adapted to incorporate more recent information.
- 2. Sheep on lush green feed may tolerate up to 13 000 mg/L TDS without loss of condition or production.

Source: AWQG, Volume 1, Section 4.3.3.5, table 4.3.1.

Table 3.9 Stock watering EV – Water quality objectives (low risk trigger values) for heavy metals and metalloids in livestock drinking water

| Metal or metalloid | Trigger value (low risk) ^{1,2} (mg/L) |
|--------------------|--|
| Aluminium | 5 |
| Arsenic | 0.5 (up to 5 ³) |
| Beryllium | ND |
| Boron | 5 |
| Cadmium | 0.01 |
| Chromium | 1 |
| Cobalt | 1 |
| Copper | 0.4 (sheep), 1 (cattle), 5 (pigs), 5 (poultry) |
| Fluoride | 2 |
| Iron | not sufficiently toxic |
| Lead | 0.1 |
| Manganese | not sufficiently toxic |
| Mercury | 0.002 |
| Molybdenum | 0.15 |
| Nickel | 1 |
| Selenium | 0.02 |
| Uranium | 0.2 |
| Vanadium | ND |
| Zinc | 20 |

Notes:

- 1. Higher concentrations may be tolerated in some situations (further details provided in AWQG, Volume 3, Section 9.3.5).
- 2. ND = not determined, insufficient background data to calculate.
- 3. May be tolerated if not provided as a food additive and natural level in the diet are low.

Source: AWQG, Volume 1, Section 4.3.4, table 4.3.2.

Table 3.10 Recreational waters – Alert levels and corresponding actions for management of cyanobacteria

The water quality objectives for water used for recreational purposes are that the values for cyanobacteria cell counts or biovolume meet the guideline values set out in Chapter 6 of the Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Water.

When cyanobacteria are present in large numbers they can present a significant hazard, particularly to primary contact users of waters. Monitoring/action requirements relative to cyanobacteria 'alert' levels are summarised below the table, and are explained more fully in the Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Water (NHMRC, 2008).

Further details on the process to determine suitability of waters for recreation, relative to historical cyanobacterial levels and susceptibility to cyanobacterial contamination, are contained in sections 6 and 7 of the NHMRC guidelines.

| Green level surveillance mode ¹ | Amber level alert mode ¹ | Red level action mode ¹ |
|---|---|--|
| Fresh waters | | |
| ≥ 500 to <5000 cells/mL <i>M. aeruginosa</i> or biovolume equivalent of >0.04 to <0.4 mm ³ /L for the combined total of all cyanobacteria. | ≥ 5000 to <50 000 cells/mL <i>M.</i> aeruginosa or biovolume equivalent of ≥ 0.4 to <4 mm³/L for the combined total of all cyanobacteria where a known toxin producer is dominant in the total biovolume². or³ ≥ 0.4 to <10 mm³/L for the combined total of all cyanobacteria where known toxin producers are not present. | Level 1 guideline ⁴ : ≥ 10 µg/L total microcystins or ≥ 50 000 cells/mL toxic <i>M. aeruginosa</i> or biovolume equivalent of ≥ 4 mm³/L for the combined total of all cyanobacteria where a known toxin producer is dominant in the total biovolume. or³ Level 2 guideline ⁴ : ≥ 10 mm³/L for total biovolume of all cyanobacterial material where known toxins are not present. or cyanobacterial scums are consistently present ⁵ . |
| Coastal and estuarine waters | | |
| Karenia brevis | | |
| ≤ 1 cell/mL | > 1- <10 cells/mL | ≥ 10 cells/mL |
| Lyngbya majuscula, Pfiesteria spp. | | |
| History but no current presence of organism | Present in low numbers | Present in high numbers. (For Lyngbya majuscula this involves the relatively widespread visible presence of dislodged algal filaments in the water and washed up onto the beach) |
| Nodularia spumigena: See NHMRC, Cha | pter 6 (Cyanobacteria and algae in fresh w | rater) for details. |

Notes:

1. Recommended actions at different alert levels are outlined below (based on NHMRC, 2008, table 6.6—fresh waters. Similar actions are outlined for coastal/estuarine waters in NHMRC table 7.6):

Green: Regular monitoring. Weekly sampling and cell counts at representative locations in the water body where known toxigenic species are present (i.e. *Microcystis aeruginosa, Anabaena circinalis, Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii, Aphanizomenon ovalisporum, Nodularia spumigena); or fortnightly for other types including regular visual inspection of water surface for scums.*

Amber: Notify agencies as appropriate. Increase sampling frequency to twice weekly at representative locations in the water body where toxigenic species (above) are dominant within the alert level definition (i.e. total biovolume) to establish population growth and spatial variability in the water body. Monitor weekly or fortnightly where other types are dominant. Make regular visual inspections of water surface for scums. Decide on requirement for toxicity assessment or toxin monitoring.

Red: Continue monitoring as for (amber) alert mode. Immediately notify health authorities for advice on health risk. ('In action mode the local authority and health authorities warn the public of the existence of potential health risks; for example, through the media and the erection of signs by the local authority.' NHMRC, 2008; 114). Make toxicity assessment or toxin measurement of water if this has not already been done. Health authorities warn of risk to public health (i.e. the authorities make a health risk assessment considering toxin monitoring data, sample type and variability).

- 2. The definition of 'dominant' is where the known toxin producer comprises 75 per cent or more of the total biovolume of cyanobacteria in a representative sample.
- 3. This applies where high cell densities or scums of 'non toxic' cyanobacteria are present i.e. where the cyanobacterial population has been tested and shown not to contain known toxins (mycrocystins, nodularian, cylindrospermopsin or saxitoxin).
- 4. Health risks and levels: Level 1 is developed to protect against short-term health effects of exposure to cyanobacterial toxins ingested during recreational activity, whereas the Level 2 applies to the circumstance where there is a probability of increased likelihood of non-specific adverse health outcomes, principally respiratory, irritation and allergy symptoms, from exposure to very high cell densities of cyanobacterial material irrespective of the presence of toxicity or known toxins (NHMRC, 2008;114).
- 5. This refers to the situation where scums occur at the recreation site each day when conditions are calm, particularly in the morning. Note that it is not likely that scums are always present and visible when there is a high population as the cells may mix down with wind and turbulence and then reform later when conditions become stable.

Source: Based on NHMRC (2008) Guideline for Managing Risks in Recreational Water (tables 6.2, 6.6, 7.3).

Water quality objectives to protect groundwater environmental values

3.4 Water quality objectives to protect groundwater environmental values

This section lists WQOs for the various groundwater types to protect the aquatic ecosystems environmental values stated for the groundwaters of the Tully and Murray rivers basins at Section 2. WQOs for groundwaters of Hinchinbrook Island River basin (basin 113) have not been developed due to a lack of available data. Refer to the QWQG for details on how to establish WQOs as data becomes available.

WQOs are provided according to their chemistry zone and depth category in tables 4.1 to 4.6.

Where groundwaters interact with surface waters, groundwater quality should not compromise identified EVs and WQOs for those waters.

The AWQG recommends that the highest level of protection should be provided to underground aquatic ecosystems, given their high conservation value.

Where groundwaters are in good condition the intent is to maintain existing water quality (20th, 50th and 80th percentiles).

3.4.1 Wet Tropics groundwater chemistry groups

The Groundwater Chemistry Zones in the Tully and Murray rivers basins are shown at Plan WQ1083. The major groups include:

Wet tropical alluvial:

- ID No. 21 Herbert Johnstone volcanics (Table 4.1)
- ID No. 22 Maadi Bingle (Table 4.2)
- ID No. 23 Basalt uplands and slopes (Table 4.3)

Sodic:

- ID No. 10 Granitic uplands and slopes (Table 4.4)
- ID No. 12 Leverdale Cardwell (Table 4.5)

Coastal and floodplain:

• ID No. 9 – Low salinity coastal floodplains (Table 4.6)

Table 4.1 Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group (refer to Plan WQ1083) – Wet Tropical Alluvial – 21 Herbert Johnstone volcanics

| Depth | Percentile | mg. L. | a % | mg. L. | a % | mg. L. | g % | mg. L. | O3 % | mg. L ⁻ | % | mg. L. |) 4 % | mg· L· | O₃ % | EC D. E. C | Hardness (mg· L ⁻¹) | Hd | Alkalinity (mg· L¹) | SiO ₂ (mg· L ⁻¹) | F (mg· L·1) | Fe (mg· L·1) | Mn (mg· L·¹) | Zn (mg· L ⁻¹) | Cu (mg· L·1) | SAR | RAH (meqL ⁻¹) | eH (mV) |
|----------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------------------|----|--------|-----------------|--------|---------|------------|------------------------------------|-----|------------------------|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|------|---------------------------|---------|
| moderate | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20th | 6 | 23 | 7 | 29 | 6 | 32 | 62 | 72 | 6 | 13 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 113 | 42 | 7.0 | 51 | 37.2 | 0.000 | - | - | - | - | 0.40 | 0.06 | - |
| deep | 50th | 6 | 26 | 11 | 40 | 6 | 37 | 67 | 80 | 8 | 14 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 135 | 53 | 7.0 | 55 | 39.0 | 0.000 | - | - | - | - | 0.40 | 0.18 | - |
| | 80th | 8 | 27 | 13 | 43 | 6 | 44 | 82 | 83 | 14 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 160 | 57 | 7.2 | 68 | 42.6 | 0.072 | - | - | - | - | 0.49 | 0.29 | - |

Table 4.2 Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group (refer to Plan WQ1083) – Wet Tropical Alluvial – 22 Maadi Bingle

| Depth | Percentile | mg∙ L⁻¹ | a % | mg· L⁻¹ | a % | mg. L⁻₁ | g % | mg· L⁻₁ | O3 % | mg. L ⁻¹ | % | mg· L⁻¹ | O4 % | mg· L·1 | O₃ % | EC T | Hardness (mg· L ⁻¹) | Нф | Alkalinity (mg· L·¹) | SiO ₂ (mg· L ⁻¹) | F (mg· L·¹) | Fe (mg· L·1) | Mn (mg· L·1) | Zn (mg· L·1) | Cu (mg· L·1) | SAR | RAH (meqL ⁻¹) | eH (mV) |
|---------|------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|------|------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----|---------------------------|---------|
| shallow | | ı | - | - | - | - | - | - | | · | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Insufficient Data for Water Quality Objectives

Table 4.3 Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group (refer to Plan WQ1083) – Wet tropical alluvial – 23 Basalt uplands and slopes

| | | N | a | С | а | М | g | НС | O 3 | C | :1 | SC | D 4 | N | O ₃ | EC | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------|---------|----|---------|----|---------------------|----|---------|------------|---------|----|---------|------------|---------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------|-----|------------------------|---|-------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------|---------------------------|---------|
| Depth | Percentile | mg· L·1 | % | mg· L-1 | % | mg. L ⁻¹ | % | mg· L-1 | % | mg· L-1 | % | mg· L-1 | % | mg· L-1 | % | µS· cm⁻¹ | Hardness (mg· L¹) | Hd | Alkalinity (mg· L¹) | SiO ₂ (mg· L ⁻¹) | F (mg· L·1) | Fe (mg· L·1) | Mn (mg· L ⁻¹) | Zn (mg· L ⁻¹) | Cu (mg· L·1) | SAR | RAH (meqL ⁻¹) | eH (mV) |
| | 20th | 4 | 32 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 22 | 11 | 39 | 6 | 20 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 58 | 9 | 5.9 | 10 | 10.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 466.3 |
| shallow | 50th | 9 | 43 | 3 | 23 | 3 | 30 | 29 | 55 | 11 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 75 | 18 | 6.6 | 28 | 32.0 | 0.020 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.01 | 0.85 | 0.11 | 566.5 |
| | 80th | 16 | 64 | 11 | 29 | 9 | 40 | 89 | 76 | 17 | 47 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 18 | 202 | 61 | 7.5 | 74 | 54.5 | 0.256 | 0.030 | 0.019 | 0.021 | 0.02 | 1.60 | 0.84 | 575.7 |
| | 20th | 6 | 26 | 3 | 17 | 3 | 29 | 16 | 49 | 7 | 15 | - | - | - | 0 | 79 | 18 | 6.2 | 15 | 16.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 425.5 |
| moderate | 50th | 9 | 36 | 7 | 26 | 5 | 37 | 54 | 74 | 10 | 22 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 128 | 38 | 6.8 | 50 | 37.5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.01 | 0.60 | 0.12 | 526 |
| | 80th | 14 | 50 | 13 | 32 | 10 | 43 | 100 | 81 | 14 | 39 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 200 | 73 | 7.5 | 85 | 51.1 | 0.100 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.060 | 0.02 | 0.80 | 0.33 | 564.3 |
| | 20th | 7 | 25 | 3 | 18 | 3 | 26 | 30 | 62 | 7 | 11 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 97 | 20 | 6.4 | 26 | 22.3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 397.8 |
| deep | 50th | 9 | 31 | 8 | 28 | 7 | 39 | 69 | 76 | 10 | 20 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 159 | 48 | 7.0 | 59 | 41.5 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.01 | 0.60 | 0.08 | 519.5 |
| | 80th | 16 | 53 | 17 | 32 | 11 | 45 | 137 | 86 | 14 | 30 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 257 | 89 | 7.6 | 114 | 53.0 | 0.100 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.050 | 0.01 | 0.90 | 0.38 | 549 |
| | 20th | 10 | 24 | 6 | 23 | 4 | 29 | 56 | 70 | 8 | 12 | - | - | - | - | 136 | 35 | 6.7 | 47 | 30.5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.07 | 332.3 |
| very deep | 50th | 11 | 30 | 12 | 30 | 9 | 40 | 91 | 80 | 11 | 16 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 196 | 69 | 7.3 | 79 | 46.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.01 | 0.60 | 0.16 | 449 |
| СССР | 80th | 17 | 45 | 16 | 33 | 11 | 44 | 123 | 85 | 14 | 23 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 257 | 80 | 8.0 | 107 | 52.0 | 0.070 | 0.021 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.02 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 532.1 |

Table 4.4 Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group (refer to Plan WQ1083) – Sodic – 10 Granitic uplands and slopes

| | | N | а | С | а | M | g | НС | O ₃ | С | i | S | D ₄ | N | D ₃ | EC | ss (| | _ | . L-1) | . | [-1] | L-1) | L-1) | L-1) | | ıqL' | |
|----------|------------|---------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|----------------|--------|----|---------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|-----------------|---------|
| Depth | Percentile | mg· L-1 | % | mg· L-1 | % | mg. L-1 | % | mg. L-1 | % | mg∙ L¹ | % | mg· L-1 | % | mg. L-1 | % | μS· c m⁻ | Hardnes (mg· L⁻¹) | 표 | Alkalinity (mg. L ⁻¹) | SiO ₂ (mg | F (mg. L | Fe (mg. | Mn (mg· | Zn (mg· | Cu (mg· | SAR | RAH (meqL ¹) | eH (mV) |
| | 20th | 16 | 46 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 68 | 33 | 13 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 38 | 6.9 | 56 | 30.6 | 0.100 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.00 | 1.10 | 0.13 | - |
| shallow | 50th | 109 | 55 | 16 | 21 | 7 | 15 | 194 | 45 | 125 | 52 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 800 | 72 | 7.6 | 161 | 70.0 | 0.200 | 0.020 | 0.010 | 0.030 | 0.02 | 3.15 | 1.07 | - |
| | 80th | 168 | 84 | 45 | 33 | 21 | 24 | 254 | 66 | 175 | 59 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 997 | 195 | 7.9 | 208 | 101.1 | 0.550 | 0.422 | 0.037 | 0.054 | 0.02 | 8.47 | 2.04 | - |
| | 20th | 64 | 46 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 135 | 33 | 47 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 440 | 46 | 7.3 | 113 | 82.0 | 0.270 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.01 | 2.00 | 0.30 | - |
| moderate | 50th | 102 | 63 | 21 | 20 | 10 | 17 | 200 | 50 | 103 | 41 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 772 | 95 | 7.7 | 165 | 96.0 | 0.375 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.020 | 0.05 | 4.25 | 1.67 | - |
| | 80th | 160 | 85 | 46 | 30 | 24 | 23 | 280 | 67 | 210 | 62 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1,003 | 210 | 8.2 | 230 | 110.0 | 0.500 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.023 | 0.05 | 8.40 | 2.70 | - |
| | 20th | 27 | 41 | 12 | 16 | 5 | 13 | 118 | 66 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1 | - | 0 | 257 | 53 | 6.7 | 97 | 79.0 | 0.280 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.01 | 1.30 | 0.43 | - |
| deep | 50th | 32 | 49 | 18 | 31 | 7 | 20 | 147 | 74 | 26 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 76 | 7.0 | 120 | 93.0 | 0.360 | 0.020 | 0.010 | 0.020 | 0.05 | 1.60 | 0.72 | - |
| | 80th | 113 | 72 | 24 | 35 | 10 | 24 | 219 | 79 | 54 | 31 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 572 | 99 | 7.8 | 182 | 107.0 | 0.600 | 0.100 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.05 | 5.81 | 2.16 | - |

Table 4.5 Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group (refer to Plan WQ1083) – Sodic – 12 Leverdale Cardwell

| | | Na | a | С | а | М | g | НС | О3 | С | I | S | D ₄ | N | O ₃ | EC | | | | F. | | | | | | | (| |
|--------------|------------|---------------------|----|---------|----|---------------------|----|--------|----|---------|----|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------|---------------------------|---------|
| Depth | Percentile | mg· L ⁻¹ | % | mg· L-1 | % | mg· L ⁻¹ | % | mg∙ L¹ | % | mg· L-¹ | % | mg. L ⁻¹ | % | mg· L·1 | % | µS· cm⁻¹ | Hardness (mg· L⁻¹) | Hd | Alkalinity (mg· L·1) | SiO ₂ (mg· L | F (mg· L·1) | Fe (mg· L ⁻¹) | Mn (mg· L ⁻¹) | Zn (mg· L ⁻¹) | Cu (mg· L·1) | SAR | RAH (meqL ⁻¹) | eH (mV) |
| | 20th | 9 | 61 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 48 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 74 | 6 | 6.2 | 15 | 25.0 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 0.13 | - |
| shallow | 50th | 16 | 77 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 46 | 68 | 8 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 11 | 6.8 | 38 | 38.0 | 0.120 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.01 | 2.10 | 0.44 | - |
| | 80th | 26 | 89 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 69 | 82 | 12 | 39 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 156 | 20 | 7.7 | 57 | 54.7 | 0.290 | 0.235 | 0.020 | 0.050 | 0.02 | 4.20 | 0.86 | - |
| | 20th | 13 | 76 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 19 | 37 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 1 | | - | 88 | 5 | 6.3 | 16 | 36.6 | 0.075 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 2.48 | 0.18 | - |
| moderate | 50th | 30 | 90 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 68 | 51 | 12 | 32 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 7 | 7.6 | 56 | 40.0 | 1.130 | 0.020 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.00 | 4.50 | 0.89 | - |
| | 80th | 62 | 93 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 16 | 98 | 76 | 44 | 53 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 337 | 15 | 7.9 | 81 | 60.7 | 2.089 | 0.247 | 0.020 | 0.123 | 0.05 | 8.38 | 1.42 | - |
| | 20th | 22 | 85 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 49 | 68 | 8 | 17 | - | - | | - | 92 | 5 | 7.3 | 40 | 45.9 | 0.404 | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 3.33 | 0.70 | - |
| deep | 50th | 24 | 87 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 58 | 77 | 9 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 8 | 7.5 | 48 | 48.5 | 0.470 | 0.125 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 3.65 | 0.79 | - |
| | 80th | 25 | 91 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 67 | 81 | 10 | 25 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 139 | 11 | 7.6 | 55 | 58.8 | 0.704 | 0.931 | 0.286 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 4.25 | 0.89 | - |
| very deep | Samples | , | - | | - | - | - | , | 1 | - | - | - | - | | - | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20th | 19 | 80 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 47 | 71 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 3 | - | - | 113 | 9 | 6.0 | 39 | 58.7 | 0.230 | 0.027 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.00 | 2.60 | 0.60 | |
| artesian | 50th | 24 | 83 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 66 | 76 | 9 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 11 | 6.5 | 54 | 62.0 | 0.300 | 0.110 | 0.030 | 0.010 | 0.02 | 3.10 | 0.80 | |
| | 80th | 31 | 87 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 85 | 81 | 9 | 22 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 15 | 7.7 | 71 | 75.0 | 0.369 | 0.332 | 0.063 | 0.020 | 0.02 | 3.98 | 1.14 | - |

Table 4.6 Water quality objectives to protect aquatic ecosystem EVs for Groundwater Chemistry Group (refer to Plan WQ1083) – Coastal and floodplain – 9 Low salinity coastal floodplains

| | | N | а | С | а | М | g | нс | O ₃ | C | :1 | S | D ₄ | N | O ₃ | EC | | | | L-1) | | | | | | | ۲-) | |
|-----------|------------|---------|----|---------------------|----|---------|----|--------|----------------|---------------------|----|---------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------|----------|-----|--------------------------------------|--------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|------|-----------|---------|
| Depth | Percentile | mg· L-1 | % | mg· L ⁻¹ | % | mg· L-1 | % | mg∙ L¹ | % | mg. L ⁻¹ | % | mg· L-1 | % | mg· L-1 | % | µS∙ cm⁻¹ | Hardness | 된 | Alkalinity (mg· L ⁻¹) | · (mg· | F (mg· L·1) | Fe (mg· L ⁻¹) | Mn (mg· L⁴) | Zn (mg· L·1) | Cu (mg· L-1) | SAR | RAH (meqL | eH (mV) |
| | 20th | 6 | 57 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 18 | 8 | 39 | - | - | - | - | 51 | 7 | 5.8 | 6 | 9.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | - |
| shallow | 50th | 13 | 67 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 18 | 18 | 32 | 17 | 54 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 96 | 14 | 6.6 | 15 | 19.5 | 0.050 | 0.008 | 0.018 | 0.020 | 0.01 | 1.50 | 0.02 | - |
| | 80th | 24 | 81 | 5 | 21 | 4 | 27 | 41 | 52 | 28 | 70 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 156 | 26 | 7.3 | 34 | 30.0 | 0.150 | 0.040 | 0.094 | 0.075 | 0.02 | 2.90 | 0.28 | - |
| | 20th | 6 | 50 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 32 | - | - | 1 | 0 | 64 | 8 | 6.0 | 5 | 11.1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.00 | - |
| moderate | 50th | 10 | 67 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 21 | 14 | 27 | 12 | 46 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 85 | 15 | 6.5 | 12 | 18.0 | 0.020 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.020 | 0.01 | 1.20 | 0.00 | - |
| | 80th | 25 | 75 | 7 | 22 | 4 | 28 | 62 | 50 | 28 | 64 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 29 | 199 | 34 | 7.2 | 52 | 27.0 | 0.200 | 0.020 | 0.040 | 0.039 | 0.02 | 2.10 | 0.22 | - |
| | 20th | 6 | 53 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 19 | 8 | 22 | - | - | - | 0 | 59 | 6 | 5.5 | 5 | 11.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.00 | - |
| deep | 50th | 9 | 65 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 18 | 16 | 35 | 10 | 43 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 82 | 12 | 6.5 | 14 | 17.0 | 0.050 | 0.002 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.01 | 1.30 | 0.10 | - |
| | 80th | 18 | 76 | 6 | 25 | 3 | 24 | 64 | 68 | 15 | 65 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 22 | 163 | 34 | 7.2 | 52 | 35.0 | 0.180 | 0.030 | 0.060 | 0.030 | 0.02 | 1.65 | 0.49 | - |
| | 20th | 7 | 54 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 13 | 21 | 7 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 64 | 9 | 6.1 | 11 | 16.0 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.00 | - |
| very deep | 50th | 9 | 59 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 16 | 29 | 46 | 9 | 39 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 95 | 19 | 6.9 | 24 | 23.0 | 0.100 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.02 | 1.30 | 0.13 | - |
| | 80th | 78 | 74 | 18 | 26 | 8 | 25 | 103 | 65 | 65 | 60 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 511 | 67 | 7.5 | 85 | 43.7 | 0.610 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.030 | 0.02 | 5.25 | 1.47 | - |

Notes:

- 1. Refer to Plan WQ1083 to locate relevant groundwater chemistry zones.
- 2. Within each chemistry zone, groundwater quality values are provided for different depths (Shallow: <15m, Moderate: 15–40m, Deep: 40–65m, Very deep: >65m, Artesian: all artesian).
- 3. The management intent is to maintain 20th, 50th and 80th percentile values. Values are provided for each of these percentiles.
- 4. Abbreviations: EC: Electrical conductivity, CaCO₃: Calcium carbonate, Ca: Calcium, Mg: Magnesium, Na: Sodium, Cl: Chloride, SO₄: Sulfate, HCO₃: Bicarbonate, NO₃: Nitrate, SiO₂: Silica, F: Fluoride, Fe: Iron, Mn: Manganese, Zn: Zinc, Cu: Copper, SAR: Sodium adsorption ratio, RAH: Residual alkali hazard, EH: Redox (oxidation/reduction) potential, '-': insufficient data to perform statistical summaries, or the parameter was not tested.

Source: Queensland Wet Tropics and Black and Ross catchments: Regional chemistry of the groundwater. Queensland Government (Raymond, M. A. A. and V. H. McNeil, 2013).

Ways to improve water quality

4 Ways to improve water quality

The following documents are relevant in considering ways to improve water quality in the Tully, Murray and Hinchinbrook Island basins.

Regional plans

 Wet Tropics Water Quality Improvement Plan, Terrain NRM 2015, in publication. See Terrain website

Queensland and Australian Government plans

- Reef Water Quality Protection Plan 2013
- Reef 2050 Long-Term Sustainability Plan
- Reef Program—The Australian Government Reef Program will be delivered as a component of the National Landcare Program and will build on the success of the first phase of Reef Rescue. <u>More about the Australian Government Reef Program</u>