



GEMINI PROJECT
Site-Specific EA Application

MAGNETIC SOUTH PTY LTD
December 2020

VOLUME 2:

- Appendix C: Groundwater Impact Assessment
- Appendix D: Groundwater Monitoring Data
- Appendix E: Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan
- Appendix F: Groundwater Dependent Ecosystem Assessment



BRISBANE OFFICE
Suite 5, 1 Swann Road
Taringa, QLD 4068
P +61 7 3217 8772

CAIRNS OFFICE
PO Box 4887
Cairns, QLD 4879
P +61 7 4057 9402

E info@aacrc.net.au
AARC.NET.AU
ACN. 620 818 920
ABN. 71 620 818 920

Appendix C Groundwater Impact Assessment

MAGNETIC SOUTH PTY LTD

**GROUNDWATER IMPACT ASSESSMENT
GEMINI COAL PROJECT**

October 2019



JBT01-071-003

RECORD OF ISSUE

File Name	Version	Issued to:	Date Issued	Method of Delivery
JBT-071-003	Rev 1	G Bramston	7 October 2019	email

JBT Consulting Pty Ltd

John Bradley
PRINCIPAL HYDROGEOLOGIST

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 CLIMATIC DATA.....	3
3.0 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY	5
3.1 Stratigraphy	5
3.2 Igneous Rocks and Intrusions	8
3.3 Structure.....	8
4.0 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATIONS AND DATA	9
4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Bores	9
4.2 Groundwater Levels.....	12
4.3 Groundwater Quality.....	15
4.4 Hydraulic Conductivity data from Monitoring Bores.....	18
4.5 Use of Groundwater Quality Data for Estimating Groundwater Recharge	20
4.6 Summary of Observations from Site Data	21
4.7 Regional Groundwater Use.....	22
5.0 CONCEPTUAL GROUNDWATER MODEL.....	25
6.0 GROUNDWATER MODELLING	27
6.1 Choice of Numerical Model	27
6.2 Model Locations and Scenarios	28
6.3 Hydraulic Properties	36
6.3.1 Hydraulic Conductivity.....	36
6.3.2 Volumetric Water Content	36
6.3.2.1 Specific Yield	36
6.3.2.2 Specific Storage.....	36
6.4 Representation of Faulting.....	37
6.5 Boundary Conditions	37
6.5.1 Recharge.....	37
6.5.2 Starting Phreatic Surface	38
6.5.3 Groundwater Seepage to Voids	38
6.6 Model Results.....	38
6.6.1 Groundwater Level Impacts	38
6.6.2 Groundwater inflow to the Mined Voids	41
6.7 Uncertainty Analysis	42
6.7.1 Introduction.....	42
6.7.2 Results	43
7.0 GROUNDWATER IMPACTS FROM MINING.....	47
7.1 Impacts on Existing Groundwater Users.....	47
7.2 Groundwater Dependant Ecosystems	48
7.2.1 Area of Mapped Potential GDE to East of MLA	48
7.2.2 GDE's Associated with Watercourses and Floodplains	52
7.3 Cumulative Impacts	54
7.4 Impacts on Groundwater Quality	54
8.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	57
8.1 Review of Project and Site Data.....	57
8.2 Groundwater Modelling.....	58
8.3 Groundwater Impacts from Mining	59
9.0 REFERENCES	62

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Average Monthly Rainfall and Evaporation (SILO Data)	3
Table 3-1: Summary of Regional and Site Stratigraphy	5
Table 4-1: Summary Details of Groundwater Monitoring Bores	11
Table 4-2: Hydraulic Conductivity and Air Lift Yield Data for Monitoring Bores.....	18
Table 4-3: Summary of Hydraulic Conductivity and Air-Lift Yield Data per Groundwater Unit.....	19
Table 4-4: Calculated Recharge via CMB Method.....	20
Table 4-5: Summary Data from DNRM Groundwater Database for Bores within 10km of EPC Boundary	24
Table 6-1: Hydraulic Properties Used in Model	36
Table 6-2: Specific Yield Values used in Model.....	36
Table 6-3: Calculated Rates of Inflow to the AB Pit and C Pit.....	42
Table 6-4: Results of Uncertainty Analysis	45
Table 7-1: Bores from DNRM Groundwater Database within 2m Drawdown Zone.....	48

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Project Location.....	2
Figure 2-1: Climatograph for the Gemini Project Site	4
Figure 2-2: Monthly Rainfall and Rainfall Residual Mass Curve.....	4
Figure 3-1: Project Location and Surface Geology (1:100,000 Scale Digital Geology)	6
Figure 3-2: Project Location and Bowen Basin Solid Geology	7
Figure 4-1: Groundwater Monitoring Bore Locations	10
Figure 4-2: Water Level Data for Coal Seam and Tertiary Groundwater Units	13
Figure 4-3: Water Level as Elevation (mAHD-top plot) and Depth to Water (mbgl-bottom plot).....	14
Figure 4-4: Water Level Data for Quaternary Alluvium Bore DW7076W	15
Figure 4-5: Relationship between Hydraulic Conductivity and Depth	19
Figure 4-6: Aquifer Data and Groundwater EC Data from DNRM Groundwater Database	23
Figure 6-1: Representation of Mining Schedule for Selected Years	30
Figure 6-2: Representation of Mining, Long-Section Model, A-B Pit – Start of Mining to Year 7	31
Figure 6-3: Representation of Mining, Long-Section Model, AB Pit – Mining Year 8 to Final Landform.....	32
Figure 6-4: Representation of Mining in Long-Section Model – Pit C	33
Figure 6-5: Representation of Mining in Cross Section Model through Final Void for AB Pit.....	34
Figure 6-6: Representation of Mining in Cross Section Model for C Pit.....	35
Figure 6-7: Extent of 2 m and 5 m Water Level Drawdown – End of Mining.....	39
Figure 6-8: Extent of 2 m and 5 m Water Level Drawdown – Post-Mining Equilibrium	40
Figure 6-9: Uncertainty Analysis	46
Figure 7-1: Assessment of Potential GDE to East of the Gemini Project MLA.....	51
Figure 7-2: Alluvium Bore DW7076W and Charlevue Creek adjacent to Bore	53
Figure 7-3: Base of Tertiary Contours and AB Pit Final Void Water Levels.....	55
Figure 7-4: Base of Tertiary Contours and C Pit Final Void Water Levels	56

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Groundwater Monitoring Bore Constuction Logs
Appendix B	Summary Groundwater Chemistry Data
Appendix C	Slug Test Analysis Sheets

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Magnetic South Pty Ltd is the project proponent and the applicant for the Mining Lease (ML) and Environmental authority (EA) to develop the Gemini Project, a greenfield open cut mine to produce Pulverised Coal Injection (PCI) coal and Coking Coal products for export for steel production. The Project term is anticipated to be 25 years from grant of the ML with this term including initial construction, mine operation and rehabilitation activities. Mining at the Gemini Project is projected to occur over approximately 20 years as a truck and shovel operation at an average of 1.8 million tonnes per annum (Mtpa) ROM coal.

The Project is located approximately 150 km to the east of Rockhampton and 8 km west of the town of Dingo and is accessed by the Capricorn Highway, which transects the northern part of the tenement (Figure 1-1).

This report provides a comprehensive assessment of:

- The regional and mine-scale geology and hydrogeology;
- The installation of a groundwater monitoring bore network that has been designed to provide water level and water quality data from all groundwater units at site;
- A program of hydraulic testing that has been carried out on the groundwater monitoring bores at site in order to provide site-specific hydraulic conductivity data for groundwater modelling;
- Water level and water quality data obtained to date from the site groundwater monitoring bores;
- Regional groundwater occurrence and use;
- A conceptual groundwater model for the site; and,
- Groundwater modelling that has been undertaken to provide predictions of:
 - the rate of groundwater inflow to the mined voids; and
 - the extent of groundwater level impacts from mining, which are used to provide prediction of the potential for impact on sensitive environmental receptors such as landholder bores and groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs).




Figure 1-1: Project Location

2.0 CLIMATIC DATA

The climatic description of the region in which the project site is located has been compiled using data from the DNRM SILO Data Drill. The Data Drill accesses grids of climate data available from surrounding Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) point observations and then creates interpolated climate values for the requested location. The SILO climate data was obtained for coordinates that correspond to the approximate centre of the Gemini Project site.

Summary data for rainfall and evaporation is shown in Table 2-1 and indicates that:

- Mean annual rainfall for the site is approximately 678 mm; and,
- Mean annual evaporation is approximately 2024 mm and exceeds rainfall for every month of the year.

The data has been utilised to produce a climatograph for the Gemini Project site (Figure 2-1), which shows that:

- rainfall is highly seasonal, with the dry season from April to September-October, and a wet season from November through to March;
- The coldest month of the year is July, with a mean minimum temperature of 7.7 °C and a mean maximum temperature of 23.2 °C; and,
- The hottest month of the year is January, with a mean minimum temperature of 21.6 °C and a mean maximum temperature of 33.8 °C.

Figure 2-2 shows the total monthly rainfall for the period 2000 to July 2019 and also presents a rainfall residual mass (RRM) curve for the data. The RRM is calculated by subtracting the long-term average monthly rainfall from the actual monthly rainfall, to provide a monthly “departure” from average conditions. If the monthly rainfall is above average, the resulting rainfall departure number is positive, whereas if the rainfall is below average, the number is negative. A number of below-average rainfall months will result in a falling RRM curve, while a number of above average rainfall months will result in a rising RRM curve. The RRM curve is used extensively in groundwater investigations due to the strong correlation in many locations between the RRM and groundwater level trends. The RRM curve shows a downward trend from 2000 to 2007, an upward trend from 2008 to 2013 and a relatively stable trend from 2013 to present. The downward trend from 2013 to present indicates a potential for falling groundwater levels over that period for shallow aquifers where rainfall recharge is the dominant factor that affects water level rise and water levels tend to fall during periods of below-average rainfall.

Table 2-1: Average Monthly Rainfall and Evaporation (SILO Data)

Month	Average Rainfall (mm)	Average Evaporation (mm)
January	109.9	229.5
February	105.7	186.5
March	76.0	184.2
April	35.8	151.3
May	33.5	117.9
June	34.7	94.2
July	27.5	101.9
August	21.4	129.6
September	23.9	164.1
October	45.7	206.9
November	63.0	220.0
December	101.1	238.1
Total	678.2	2024.2




Figure 2-1: Climatograph for the Gemini Project Site




Figure 2-2: Monthly Rainfall and Rainfall Residual Mass Curve

3.0 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

3.1 Stratigraphy

The Gemini coal deposit is hosted within the Permian Rangal Coal Measures and within the Yarrabee Structural Zone. Seven seams or seam groups have been identified at the Gemini Project site, which belong to either the Rangal Coal Measures or the underlying Burngrove Formation (Boyd 2019). In descending stratigraphic order the seams include the Aries, Castor, Pollux, Orion, Pisces, Virgo and Leo seams. The seams contain a number of individual plies that have identified for mining at site; the main coal seams that are encountered at site and their typical thickness are shown below in Table 3-1.

The surface geology at site is shown in Figure 3-1. It predominantly comprises sediments of the Tertiary Daringa Formation and Quaternary alluvium associated with ephemeral creeks including Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek. At one location north of Pit C a small area of remnant basalt has been identified from drilling, measuring approximately 600 m long and 200 m wide with a thickness of approximately 20 m (JTB 2019). Bore DW7105W1 (Section 4.1) is located within the basalt and is dry.

Figure 2-2 shows the project location in relation to the underlying Bowen Basin solid geology (i.e. the surficial unconsolidated Quaternary and Tertiary units have been removed, revealing the relationship between the underlying Triassic and Permian sediments as well as the prevalence of regional-scale faults). The two mining areas (A-B Pit and C Pit) are located in areas where folding has brought the coal seams close to surface at depths that can be economically mined. Figures 3-1 and 3-2 also show the locations of east-west cross sections through each mining area and a long section through both mining areas. Data from these sections has been utilised to create cross sectional groundwater models, which are discussed below in Section 6.0.

Table 3-1: Summary of Regional and Site Stratigraphy

Geological Age	Unit	Coal Seams	Description	Typical Thickness at Site (m)
Quaternary	Alluvium		Unconsolidated soil, silt clay, sand and gravel associated with current surface drainage systems, e.g. Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek	1.5
Tertiary	Daringa Formation		Mudstone, sandstone, conglomerate, siltstone	15 - 30
	Basalt		Minor basalt at one location north of Pit C.	20
Triassic	Rewan Group		Lithic sandstone, pebbly lithic sandstone, green to reddish brown mudstone and minor volcanilithic pebble conglomerate at base	0 - 50
Permian	Rangal Coal Measures	Aries Upper	Feldspathic and lithic sandstone, carbonaceous mudstone, siltstone, tuff and coal seams. Includes the Aries, Castor and Pollux Coal Seam, which are the target coal seam for mining at the Gemini Project	2.1
		Aries Lower		4
		Castor Upper		1.6
		Castor Lower		2
		Pollux Upper		1.9
		Pollux Lower Upper		2.9
		Pollux Lower Lower		3.5
		Orion		6.1
		Pisces Upper		1.7
		Yarrabee Tuff		0.9
	Pisces Lower	0.7		
	Burngrove Formation	Virgo	Mudstone, siltstone, sandstone, coal, tuff	2.8
		Leo		4.4
Gyranda Formation		Siltstone and shale with minor tuff and volcanilithic sandstone and rare coal	0 to 100 m+	




Figure 3-1: Project Location and Surface Geology (1:100,000 Scale Digital Geology)




Figure 3-2: Project Location and Bowen Basin Solid Geology

3.2 Igneous Rocks and Intrusions

A minor occurrence of Tertiary basalt has been identified from geological drilling to the north of Pit C. The area of basalt is approximately 600 m long, 200 m wide and 20 m thick and has been interpreted as a localised basalt paleochannel (JTB 2019). One groundwater monitoring bore has been located within the basalt (bore DW7105W1, Section 4.1, Figures 4-1 and 4-2); the bore is 23 m deep and the basalt is dry at the bore location. The basalt flow is interpreted to be dry (as it is above the regional groundwater level) and of limited extent and is therefore not an important groundwater feature within the project area.

Extensive geological drilling across the project area has shown no other evidence of basaltic flows or intrusions (JTB 2019).

3.3 Structure

The Permian coal measures have undergone intense structural deformation, resulting in folding and faulting of the unit. Multiple reverse angle faults are present within the deposit, with displacements on some faults estimated to be in excess of 100 m (Boyd 2019). The location of mapped faults that are included in the site geological model are shown in Figure 3-2. With respect to the potential for impacts on groundwater occurrence and movement, the potential impacts are assessed to be:

- The fault zones may provide localised increases in hydraulic conductivity and storage that is associated with the shear zones of each fault; and,
- The faults may act as barriers to groundwater flow at locations where the faults disrupt individual coal seams, which are the main conduits for groundwater flow, especially at locations where the entire thickness of coal is displaced so that the coal seam terminates against lower-permeability interburden (the impacts of faults on groundwater are discussed further in Sections 4.6, 6.0 and 6.4).

4.0 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATIONS AND DATA

4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Bores

The groundwater monitoring bore network at the Project site comprises 38 monitoring bores at 17 sites; bore locations are shown below in Figure 4-2, with summary details for the bores provided in Table 4-1. The monitoring bore network was designed to allow hydraulic testing as well as water level and water quality monitoring of all groundwater units encountered at site and includes:

- Two (2) bores within Quaternary alluvium;
- Ten (10) bores within Tertiary deposits (9 bores within Tertiary sediments and 1 bore (DW7105W1) in Tertiary basalt);
- Twenty-three (23) bores within Permian coal seams; and,
- Three (3) bores within the Permian overburden/interburden sediments that occur above/between the coal seams.

Bore construction logs for the monitoring bores are provided in Appendix A.

The development and utilisation of the monitoring bore network is summarised as follows:

- The network has been developed in two stages, comprising:
 - Stage 1 – installation of 11 bores at 5 sites (Sites 1 to 5 – refer Figure 4-2 and Table 4-1), with the bores installed in April 2018; and,
 - Stage 2 – Installation of a further 27 bores at 12 sites (Sites 6 to 17), with the bores drilled from May to June 2019.
- The bores at sites 1 to 5 have been monitored for water level and water quality on approximately a monthly basis since December 2018, with data available for this report from 8 sampling events between December 2018 and August 2019. Water level and water quality data is summarised below in Sections 4.2 and 4.3 respectively.
- Bores at Sites 6 to 17 were utilised for hydraulic testing (falling-head slug tests). Hydraulic tests were performed on a total of 25 bores, which provided data for all groundwater units present at site. Results from the hydraulic testing program are presented and discussed in Section 4.4.

Table 4-1: Summary Details of Groundwater Monitoring Bores

Site	Bore ID	Unit Monitored	Easting (GDA94)	Northing (GDA94)	RL (mTOC)*	Bore Depth (m)	Gravel Pack		Slotted Interval		Water Level	
							From*	To*	From*	To*	mbgl*	mAHD
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	730860	7382307	136.65	77.27	70.3	77.3	47.3	77.3	46.62	89.35
	DW7066W	Tertiary sediments	730863	7382304	137.19	17.35	10.35	17.35	Dry	17.35	Dry	-
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	730781	7382394	134.81	100.14	96.1	100.1	45.05	100.1	44.16	89.76
	DW7068W	Tertiary sediments	730785	7382391	134.94	47.5	42	47.5	45.68	47.5	44.74	89.26
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	730397	7382699	133.46	71.38	64.4	71.4	42.73	71.4	41.84	90.73
	DW7071W	Aries 3 Seam	730394	7382703	133.22	31.59	27.6	31.6	Dry	31.6	Dry	-
	DW7072W	Tertiary sediments	730403	7382687	133.14	14.01	10	14	Dry	14	Dry	-
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	729926	7382666	123.04	82.1	78.1	82.1	32.71	82.1	31.76	90.33
	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	729922	7382666	122.94	55.78	53.3	55.8	32.72	55.8	31.82	90.22
	DW7075W	Tertiary sediments	729918	7382666	122.83	14.03	10	14	Dry	14	Dry	-
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	729750	7382723	120.82	12	8	12	9.78	12	8.77	111.04
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	731543	7383768	125.44	45.23	38	44.99	30.98	44.99	29.94	94.46
	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	731546	7383773	125.46	74.77	72	74.5	30.02	74.5	29.01	95.44
	DW7033W3	Interburden	731548	7383777	125.47	81	78.5	81	29.97	81	28.93	95.50
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	730957	7384050	117.73	48.47	45.9	48.44	22.81	48.44	21.75	94.92
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	728989	7378746	136.34	40.58	38.1	40.64	17.99	40.64	16.91	118.35
	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	728986	7378742	136.32	59.17	57.6	59.17	18.03	59.17	17.04	118.29
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	730096	7378974	140.14	87.3	84.5	87.3	29.58	87.3	28.44	110.56
	DW7093W2	Interburden	730092	7378973	140.14	99.2	97.5	99.2	29.54	99.2	28.45	110.60
	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	730088	7378974	140.17	123.25	120.7	123.25	29.51	123.25	28.46	110.66
10	DW7105W1	Tertiary Basalt	730192	7380733	129.62	23.04	19	23.04	Dry	23.04	Dry	-
	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	730193	7380729	129.72	69.25	61.7	64.2	32.2	64.2	31.18	97.52
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	732174	7383260	129.62	51.15	43	48.5	38.68	48.5	37.71	90.94
	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	732174	7383256	129.66	58.69	54.4	58.4	39.47	58.4	38.45	90.19
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	729775	7379648	129.72	26.5	22.5	26.5	16.42	26.5	15.38	113.30
	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	729775	7379651	129.62	38.4	34.4	38.4	20.23	38.4	19.25	109.39
	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	729774	7379655	129.67	75.08	72.5	75.04	20.03	75.04	19.04	109.64
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	729846	7379745	130.34	50.43	46.4	50.43	21.52	50.43	20.50	108.82
	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	729845	7379742	130.32	72.36	69.8	72.36	21.57	72.36	20.50	108.75
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	730467	7378359	141.70	37	30	37	33.43	37	32.37	108.27
	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	730466	7378355	141.76	78.9	74.2	78.9	33.17	78.9	32.10	108.59
	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	730465	7378351	141.74	112.8	107	112.8	32.64	112.8	31.60	109.10
15	DW7264W1	Tertiary	733392	7382915	113.16	14	11.5	14	Dry	14	Dry	-
	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	733391	7382921	113.22	104.21	101.7	104.21	22.57	104.21	21.59	90.65
	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	733391	7382925	113.24	136.7	134.2	136.7	22.58	136.7	21.58	90.66
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	732119	7381433	116.84	43.03	36	43	27.25	43	26.25	89.59
	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	732123	7381433	116.82	89.91	87.4	89.91	27.31	89.91	26.32	89.51
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	732905	7381108	114.41	15	11	15	12.02	15	11.19	102.39

* RL (mTOC) = elevation in mAHD of the top of bore casing; mbgl = metres below ground level; gravel pack and slotted interval from/to = from/to mbgl

4.2 Groundwater Levels

Available groundwater level data from the site groundwater monitoring bores is summarised as follows:

- For bores at sites 1 to 5 (refer Table 4-1), data is available to date for 9 sampling events between December 2018 and August 2019;
- For bore DW7076W, which is constructed within Quaternary alluvium adjacent to Charlevue Creek (Figure 4-2), a data logger has been fitted to the bore that records data at 3-hourly intervals. A barometric logger is also installed at the site to allow barometric correction of the data;
- For bores at sites 6 to 17, which were drilled in May/June 2019, water level data is available from field testing that was undertaken in July/August 2019.

Table 4-1 provides the most recent water level data (July/August 2019) for all monitoring sites and is summarised as follows:

- Six of the monitoring bores are dry; five of these bores are constructed within Tertiary units at depths of between 14 and 23 m and one bore is constructed with the Aries seam at a depth of 31.6 m;
- Available data for bores within the Tertiary sediments are shown below in Figure 4-2, which is summarised as follows:
 - Data shown in Figure 4-2 includes contours for RL base of Tertiary (mAHD), bore ID, water level (mAHD) and base of bore (mAHD);
 - At a number of sites where the bore is dry, the bore has not been constructed to the full depth of Tertiary sediments. These sites include DW7075W, DW7072W, DW7066W;
 - Two sites that are dry (DW7105W1 and DW7264W1) have been drilled to base of Tertiary, indicating that the Tertiary is dry at these locations. Bore DW7105W1 is constructed within the small area of remnant basalt north of C Pit that has been identified from geological drilling (section 3.1); the bore is 23 m deep and is dry;
 - There is a significant reduction in the level of the base of Tertiary to the west and north-west of AB Pit, where the level of base of Tertiary reduces from approximately 100 mAHD to 70-80 mAHD. The bores within the lower elevation area of base of Tertiary tend to record water levels in the order of 90 to 95 mAHD, whereas the bores in the range of 105 to 113 mAHD; and,
 - The presence of dry bores within the Tertiary, as well as the variation in water level between the topographically elevated base of Tertiary and topographically lower base of Tertiary, suggest that a continuous water surface does not exist in the Tertiary sediments and that the elevation of the base of Tertiary will be a control on the presence of groundwater within the sediments. From review of available data it is assessed that it is probable that the Tertiary sediments are dry above 120 mAHD and likely dry above 110 mAHD (refer Figure 4-2).
- Available data for bores within the coal seams is also shown below in Figure 4-2. In summary:
 - From the data shown in Table 4-1 it is evident that, where multiple coal seam bores exist at the one location, the water level (as mAHD) is almost identical. This suggests that there is no significant trend for upward or downward movement of groundwater between the coal seams at this location;
 - Figure 4-2 also shows groundwater level contours for the coal measures; these indicate a trend for groundwater movement within the coal seams from the southwest to the northeast, and also from the northwest to the southeast, towards a depression that is centred on the area where the AB Pit is proposed to be developed.




Figure 4-2: Water Level Data for Coal Seam and Tertiary Groundwater Units

For bores at Sites 1 to 5, where water level data is available from December 2018 to August 2019, the data is plotted on bore hydrographs. These are presented below and are summarised as follows:

- The bores shown on the plots below are located to the west of the AB Pit (Figure 4-2);
- When presented as water elevation data (i.e. as water level in mAHD) the data plots within a relatively tight range between approximately 88 to 90 mAHD;
- When presented as depth to water (m below ground level - mbgl), the range is from approximately 33 to 47 mbgl. This serves to highlight that the variability in the depth to groundwater is mainly related to the variation in surface topography, with the groundwater elevation in a particular area being relatively consistent; and,
- Figure 4-2 shows the location of bore DW7073W to the west of the AB Pit. This site also includes bore DW7074W, which is not shown in Figure 4-2. This is because only one coal seam bore was selected for generation of the water level contours shown in Figure 4-2, due to the similarity between the water levels within different coal seams at each site (refer water level data in Table 4-1). This relationship is highlighted in the water level data for bores DW7073W and DW7074W in the depth to water plot below; for these bores it can be seen that the water level is the same (within 0.1 m) for each monitoring event.




Figure 4-3: Water Level as Elevation (mAHD-top plot) and Depth to Water (mbgl-bottom plot)

Bore DW7076W (refer Figure 4-1 for bore location) is screened within Quaternary alluvium adjacent to Charlevue Creek. The bore has been fitted with a data logger that records water level at 3-hourly intervals, to allow the relationship between creek flow and water level to be established over time. To date the water level has been relatively stable, displaying a slightly downward water level trend between 9 and 10 mbgl. It is uncertain at this stage whether the reduction in water level is related to the ongoing removal of groundwater from the bore during sampling events (with the reduction in water level following sampling being evident in the bore hydrograph. Further data will be required at this site to establish the long-term water level trend.




Figure 4-4: Water Level Data for Quaternary Alluvium Bore DW7076W

4.3 Groundwater Quality

Groundwater quality data is available for 8 sampling events that have occurred at approximately monthly intervals between December 2018 and August 2019. Available data includes:

- pH (field and laboratory data);
- Electrical Conductivity (EC – field and laboratory data);
- Total Dissolved Solids (TDS);
- Major ions (sodium, calcium, magnesium, potassium, chloride, sulphate, alkalinity); and,
- Total and dissolved metals/metalloids (aluminium, arsenic, barium, beryllium, boron, cadmium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, selenium, silver, uranium, vanadium, zinc).

Available data is included as summary tables in Appendix B. Observations from review of the data area summarised as follows:

- pH (Field)
 - Quaternary alluvium - the pH ranges from 7.05 to 7.49, with a mean of 7.30 and median of 7.33;

- Tertiary sediments - the pH ranges from 6.78 to 7.06, with a mean of 6.93 and median of 6.94; and,
- Coal seams - the pH ranges from 6.21 to 6.84, with a mean of 6.44 and median of 6.42.
- Electrical Conductivity (EC – lab)
 - Quaternary alluvium - the EC at bore DW7076W (Charlevue Creek alluvium) ranges from 15,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 16,600 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, with a mean of 15,788 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ and median of 15,700 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (8 samples). A single field value from bore DW7292W1 (Springton Creek alluvium) recorded an EC of 5,948 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$;
 - Tertiary sediments - the EC ranges from 20,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 21,900 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, with a mean of 20,843 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ and median of 20,800 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$; and,
 - Coal seams - the EC ranges from 22,100 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 28,500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, with a mean of 25,693 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ and median of 25,600 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.
- Sulphate (SO_4). Due to the high salinity of the groundwater, samples are also relatively high in sulphate, especially for the coal seams, with data summarised as:
 - Quaternary alluvium - the sulphate concentration ranges from 204 mg/L to 249 mg/L, with a mean of 217 mg/L and median of 212 mg/L;
 - Tertiary sediments - the sulphate concentration ranges from 291 mg/L to 635 mg/L, with a mean of 367 mg/L and median of 334 mg/L; and,
 - Coal seams - the sulphate concentration ranges from 341 mg/L to 841 mg/L, with a mean of 622 mg/L and median of 642 mg/L.
- Dissolved metal/metalloid data has been analysed with reference to the ANZECC 2000 95% freshwater ecosystem protection trigger values for parameters where guideline values exist; these include aluminium, arsenic, boron, cadmium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, selenium, silver and zinc. Observations of note include:
 - All groundwater samples are above the guideline limit for boron of 0.37 mg/L, with data summarised as:
 - Quaternary alluvium – the boron concentration ranges from 0.56 to 4.56 mg/L, with mean of 3.50 mg/L and median of 3.81 mg/L;
 - Tertiary sediments – the boron concentration ranges from 1.14 to 1.52 mg/L, with mean of 1.28 mg/L and median of 1.26 mg/L; and,
 - Coal seams - the boron concentration ranges from 0.88 to 1.49 mg/L, with mean of 1.23 mg/L and median of 1.25 mg/L.
 - The majority of groundwater samples are above the guideline limit for copper of 0.0014 mg/L, with data summarised as:
 - Quaternary alluvium – the copper concentration ranges from 0.002 to 0.023 mg/L, with mean of 0.013 mg/L and median of 0.011 mg/L (9 samples, all samples >LOR);
 - Tertiary sediments – the copper concentration ranges from 0.001 to 0.014 mg/L, with mean of 0.004 mg/L and median of 0.003 mg/L (7 samples, 4 samples >LOR); and,
 - Coal seams - the copper concentration ranges from 0.001 to 0.081 mg/L, with mean of 0.011 mg/L and median of 0.003 mg/L (45 samples, 25 samples >LOR).
 - The majority of groundwater samples are above the guideline limit for zinc of 0.008 mg/L, with data summarised as:

-
- Quaternary alluvium – the zinc concentration ranges from 0.007 to 0.028 mg/L, with mean of 0.014 mg/L and median of 0.011 mg/L (9 samples, 7 samples >LOR);
 - Tertiary sediments – the zinc concentration ranges from 0.017 to 0.096 mg/L, with mean of 0.049 mg/L and median of 0.035 mg/L (7 samples, all >LOR); and,
 - Coal seams - the zinc concentration ranges from 0.025 to 0.21 mg/L, with mean of 0.086 mg/L and median of 0.075 mg/L (45 samples, all >LOR).
- A number of samples exceed the guideline values for aluminium, arsenic, lead and nickel.

The samples collected to date represent background water quality for the site. It can therefore be summarised that:

- Groundwater at site records very high EC for all groundwater units (Quaternary alluvium, Tertiary sediments and Permian coal measures). It is noted that the ANZECC 2000 livestock limit for beef cattle is 4,000 mg/L; this corresponds to an EC of approximately 6,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at a conversion factor of $\text{EC } (\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}) \times 0.67 = \text{TDS } (\text{mg}/\text{L})$ (ANZECC 2000). It is therefore concluded, based on EC data, that groundwater at site is unsuited to stock watering; and,
- Groundwater at site is above the ANZECC 2000 freshwater ecosystem protection trigger value (95% species protection) for boron (all samples), copper and zinc (majority of samples) as well as aluminium, arsenic, lead and nickel (a number of samples for each analyte).

4.4 Hydraulic Conductivity data from Monitoring Bores

Falling head (slug) tests were undertaken on 25 monitoring bores in order to obtain site-specific hydraulic conductivity (K) data from all groundwater units that are encountered at site. The results for each bore are shown below in Table 4-2. Summary data for each groundwater unit are discussed further below and are provided in Table 4-3 and the slug test analysis sheets are included in Appendix C.

Table 4-2: Hydraulic Conductivity and Air Lift Yield Data for Monitoring Bores

Hole	Groundwater Unit	Centre of Screened Interval (mbgl)	SWL (mBTC)	Hydraulic Conductivity (K) (m/day)	Air Lift Yield (L/s)*
DW7292W1	Alluvium	13.5	12.02	0.097	
DW7220W1	Tertiary	25.0	16.42	0.045	0.010
DW7225W1	Tertiary	34.0	33.43	0.444	0.010
DW7282W1	Tertiary	40.0	27.25	0.027	0.010
DW7033W1	Tertiary	42.0	30.98	0.703	2.250
DW7178W1	Tertiary	46.3	38.68	3.805	0.460
DW7220W2	Castor	35.4	20.23	0.012	0.010
DW7082W1	Castor Lower	39.8	17.99	5.387	2.180
DW7035W3	Orion 1	47.7	22.81	1.593	0.010
DW7221W1	AR3	48.9	21.52	0.286	0.010
DW7178W2	PLU2	56.9	39.47	0.532	0.330
DW7082W2	Pollux Upper	58.4	18.03	1.855	1.840
DW7105W2	PLU1	63.5	32.20	0.066	0.010
DW7221W2	Castor	71.6	21.57	0.243	1.530
DW7033W2	Orion 5	73.8	30.02	0.061	2.180
DW7220W3	PLU1	74.3	20.03	0.293	1.530
DW7225W2	AR3	77.4	33.17	2.141	7.730
DW7093W1	Pollux Upper 2	86.6	29.58	0.022	0.610
DW7282W2	AR3	89.2	27.31	0.245	0.220
DW7264W2	AR1	103.5	22.57	0.009	0.220
DW7225W3	Castor	111.3	32.64	0.002	
DW7093W3	PLL2	122.5	29.51	0.039	0.330
DW7264W3	AR3	136.0	22.58	0.011	0.220
DW7033W3	Interburden	80.3	29.97	0.002	2.250
DW7093W2	Interburden	98.5	29.54	0.001**	

* Air Lift Yield data was obtained from the base of bore prior to bore construction and therefore represents the yield of the entire open interval

** Data could not be analysed due to lack of recovery over test period – K set at low value of 0.001 m/day

The data was also reviewed in association with data from air-lift yield testing of the groundwater monitoring bores, which was undertaken at the completion of drilling (i.e. at the base of the hole) and prior to bore construction. From review of the combined dataset of hydraulic conductivity data and air-lift yield data, and with reference to information contained in Table 4-2, Table 4-3 and Figure 4-2, the following observations are made:

- A total of 17 slug tests were performed on bores that are screened within the coal seams. From review of the data it is evident that the K decreases with depth and that the difference becomes apparent when comparing data for coal seam bores that are screened at a depth of less than 80 metres below ground level (mbgl) to data for bores that are screened at a depth greater than 80 mbgl;

- Table 4-3 contains summary statistics (minimum value, maximum value and geometric mean) for the K of the coal seams, which is summarised as follows:
 - For data for all coal seams (17 data points), the K range is from 0.002 to 5.4 m/day, with a geometric mean of 0.13 m/day
 - For coal seam bores that are screened above 80 mbgl (11 data points) the K range is from 0.012 to 5.4 m/day, with a geometric mean of 0.37 m/day
 - For coal seam bores that are screened below 80 mbgl (6 data points) the K range is from 0.002 to 0.24 m/day, with a geometric mean of 0.02 m/day;
- The relationship between hydraulic conductivity and depth is shown graphically in Figure 4-2. Of particular interest is the data for the coal seam bores, where the trend for the lower K with depth is shown via the trend line and the 95% confidence interval that has been applied to the data (curves have been automatically fit within the software program Grapher).

Table 4-3: Summary of Hydraulic Conductivity and Air-Lift Yield Data per Groundwater Unit

Groundwater Unit	No. of Tests	Hydraulic Conductivity (m/day)			Average Air-Lift Yield (L/s)
		Min	Max	Geometric Mean	
Quaternary Alluvium	1	0.097		-	-
Tertiary	5	0.027	3.805	0.27	0.548
Permian Coal Seams	17	0.002	5.387	0.13	1.185
Coal Seams <80 mbgl	11	0.012	5.387	0.37	1.578
Coal Seams >80 mbgl	6	0.002	0.245	0.02	0.320
Permian Interburden	2	0.001	0.002	-	-




Figure 4-5: Relationship between Hydraulic Conductivity and Depth

4.5 Use of Groundwater Quality Data for Estimating Groundwater Recharge

Groundwater data from site has been utilised to provide an estimate of groundwater recharge based on the chloride mass balance (CMB) method, which utilises the concentration of chloride in rainfall and the concentration of chloride in groundwater to provide an estimate of the net recharge rate to groundwater. The CMB equation is given as:

$$R = \frac{PCp}{Cg}$$

Where:

R = Recharge (mm/year).

P = Rainfall (mm/year).

Cp = Chloride concentration in rainfall (mg/L).

Cg = Chloride concentration in groundwater (mg/L).

Utilising the above formula, the recharge rates for each groundwater unit were calculated using the following input data:

- Mean annual rainfall for the Gemini project site of 678 mm (from SILO data).
- Mean chloride concentration in rainfall for the Gemini project site of 4.9 mg/L (CSIRO 2014¹).
- Mean chloride concentration of groundwater (refer Appendix B) of:
 - 4,088 mg/L for the alluvium;
 - 7,440 mg/L for Tertiary sediments; and,
 - 9,081 mg/L for the Permian coal seams.

The calculated recharge rates to groundwater are very low, being less than 1 mm of rainfall recharge for each groundwater unit at site and corresponds to approximately 0.05 to 0.12% of average annual rainfall reporting as recharge to the groundwater units.

While the calculated recharge rates are assessed to be low, the low recharge rates are consistent with the high salinity of the groundwater, which is observed for even the shallow alluvial units at site.

Table 4-4: Calculated Recharge via CMB Method

Parameter	Description	Groundwater Unit		
		Alluvium	Tertiary	Coal Seams
Cg	Chloride concentration in groundwater (mg/L)	4088	7440	9081
Cp	mg/L chloride in rainfall	4.9	4.9	4.9
P	Annual average rainfall (mm)	678	678	678
R	Annual average recharge (mm)	0.78	0.43	0.35
	Recharge as % of average annual rainfall	0.12	0.07	0.05

¹ CSIRO 2014 - Australian Chloride Deposition Rate <https://doi.org/10.4225/08/545BEE54CD4FC>

4.6 Summary of Observations from Site Data

The main observations from review of site data are as follows:

- Groundwater occurs within three main groundwater units at site, including:
 - Quaternary alluvium associated with Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek;
 - Tertiary sediments of the Duinga Formation; and,
 - The Permian coal measures, where groundwater occurs preferentially within the coal seams.
- The site is heavily faulted and the faults may act to influence groundwater occurrence and movement as follows:
 - Shear zones associated with faulting may act as a store of water and as locally higher hydraulic conductivity zones. As discussed below, recharge to the groundwater system is assessed to be low; therefore the faults may provide initial relatively high inflow rates to the workings (in the order of several L/s), but the total storage within the faults is anticipated to be relatively low, with the initial rates of inflow not able to be sustained in the long-term; and,
 - Where the faults completely disrupt the coal seams, especially for cases where the coal seam terminates against lower-hydraulic conductivity interburden, the faults will act to disrupt groundwater flow.
- Available hydraulic conductivity and air-lift yield data indicates that there is notable reduction in hydraulic conductivity and bore yield for bores that are deeper than 80 mbgl compared to bores that are shallower than 80 mbgl.
- Groundwater level data at site are summarised as:
 - The water level within the alluvium ranges from 8.77 m to 11.19 m below ground level for bores adjacent to the creek channels;
 - The water level with the Tertiary sediments ranges from dry (5 bores, ranging in depth from 14 to 23 m) to 15.38-44.74 mbgl (where water is present). The presence of water within the Tertiary sediments is related to the RL of the base of Tertiary and from review of available data it is assessed that it is probable that the Tertiary sediments are dry above 120 mAHD and likely dry above 110 mAHD; and,
 - The water level in the coal measures ranges from 16.91 to 46.62 mbgl for bore depths of between 38.4 and 136.7 m, with one bore dry at a depth of 31.59 m.
- Groundwater quality data is summarised as:
 - All groundwater units at site record high EC groundwater, as follows:
 - Quaternary alluvium – bore DWDW7076W (Charlevue Creek alluvium) records an EC range from 15,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 16,600 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (8 samples). A single field value for bore DW7292W (Springton Creek alluvium) records an EC of 5,948 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$;
 - Tertiary sediments - the EC ranges from 20,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 21,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$; and,
 - Coal seams - the EC ranges from 22,100 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 28,500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.
 - Groundwater at site is above the ANZECC 2000 freshwater ecosystem protection trigger value (95% species protection) for boron (all samples), copper and zinc (majority of samples) as well as aluminium, arsenic, lead and nickel (a number of samples for each analyte).
 - All groundwater samples collected to date are assessed to represent the background water quality for the site.

- The recharge rate to the groundwater units at site, which has been calculated via the chloride mass balance method, indicates an extremely low recharge rate of less than 1 mm/year for each groundwater unit. The low rate of calculated recharge is consistent with the observation of highly saline groundwater at site, which is present for even the shallow alluvial units.
- The observation of a low recharge rate for groundwater suggests that, even though relatively high rates of groundwater inflow may be observed from faults/shear zones as mining progresses, the inflow rates are likely to be of short duration due to the relatively low volume that can be stored within fractures/faults and the very low rates of recharge observed at site (i.e. once the fault storage is depleted the faults are unlikely to be recharged).
- Because the faults may act as conduits for groundwater movement, the control of surface water around the site will be of particular importance (i.e. water that ponds at surface may recharge the underlying sediments and report as seepage to the pits via movement along faults/fractures. This type of flow would represent infiltrated surface water rather than groundwater.

4.7 Regional Groundwater Use

Data from DNRM groundwater database within a distance of 10 km from the EPC boundary is shown below in Figure 4-6 and summary data is provided in Table 4-4. With reference to the information in Table 4-4 and Figure 4-6 the following observations are made:

- There are 48 registered bores within 10km of EPC881 that are listed as being either existing or abandoned by useable.
- The majority of bores are screened within Tertiary units (26 bores) or Permian coal measures (15 bores).
- The right-hand plot in Figure 4-6 shows the available data classed according to EC range, being:
 - Bores that record an EC <1000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. This includes four bores in Tertiary sediments to the east or south of the project area;
 - Bores that record an EC between 1,000 and 6,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. A limit of 6,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ was assessed as this EC equates to 4,000 mg/L^2 , which is the ANZECC 2000 livestock drinking limit for beef cattle (assessed to the most likely stock use for the area); and,
 - Bores that record an EC >6,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (assessed to be of limited or no use for stock watering).
- The majority of Tertiary bores outside the tenement area record an EC of < 6,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, whereas the majority of bores within or close to the tenement area record an EC in excess of 6,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (from Table 4-4 it is noted that the EC of groundwater within the Tertiary Duinga Formation is often in excess of 10,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ and at some sites in excess of 20,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$; this observation is consistent with water quality data from site).

Data from private groundwater bores is discussed further in Sections 7.1 and 7.2.1.




Figure 4-6: Aquifer Data and Groundwater EC Data from DNRM Groundwater Database

Table 4-5: Summary Data from DNRM Groundwater Database for Bores within 10km of EPC Boundary

RN	EASTING GD94	NORTHING GDA94	FACILITY_STATUS	Aquifer	DRILLED_DATE	EC (µS/cm)	Water Quality Description	YIELD (L/s)	SWL (mbgl)	Original Bore Name
38284	735584	7396485	Existing	Unknown						SANDY CREEK BORE
38682	739791	7381024	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	31-Oct-72	2370		0.19	-5.3	
88373	746081	7381702	Existing	Unknown	01-Jan-15					GOOWARRA WELL
88681	731897	7385429	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	28-Nov-92	10000		2.5		
88747	742541	7384432	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	15-Feb-93	10360		1.1		
88791	736137	7384353	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	06-Apr-93	19200		0.78	-20	NEW BORE
88821	737932	7383029	Existing	RANGAL COAL MEASURES	03-Apr-93	4510		0.63	-8	FITZGERALDS PLACE
88824	725449	7390299	Existing	GYRANDA SUBGROUP	05-Apr-93	3800		0.78	-15	BORE 3
88825	735685	7384385	Existing	Unknown						WINDMILL
89025	718817	7394577	Existing	BASALT	01-Jan-64			0.4		
91000	735078	7386067	Abandoned but Usable	DUARINGA FORMATION	07-Apr-93	14660		0.75	-20	MACKENZIE OLO
91001	740865	7388678	Abandoned but Usable	DUARINGA FORMATION	18-Apr-93	42000		1.5	-24	MACKENZIE OLO
91002	739796	7387438	Abandoned but Usable	DUARINGA FORMATION	19-Apr-93		SALTY	2.1		MACKENZIE OLO
91406	724894	7387470	Existing	GYRANDA SUBGROUP	04-Aug-94	9240		0.32	-30.5	
91434	738947	7382824	Existing	RANGAL COAL MEASURES	16-Aug-94	1080		2.14		DINGO RACECOURSE
91897	724724	7389881	Existing	GYRANDA SUBGROUP	31-Dec-99	15330		0.65		NAUGHTON (OLO)
97558	716925	7380734	Existing	REWAN GROUP	09-Jul-96	7600		0.36	-27.4	SIMON CATTLE CO
111570	736059	7380966	Existing	TERTIARY - UNDEFINED	02-Nov-01	240		1.2	-16	WARD
111643	741998	7383678	Existing	BLACKWATER GROUP - UNDIFF.	03-Oct-01	4120		4.4	-27.4	G SMITH
111662	728169	7374811	Existing	TERTIARY - UNDEFINED	29-Dec-01	750		2.5	-17	SMITH
122470	726594	7386438	Existing	TERTIARY - UNDEFINED						
136131	707571	7381259	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	27-Mar-06	900		1.3	-18	
136952	742978	7380858	Existing	TERTIARY - UNDEFINED	27-Jan-07	848		0.1	-17.37	
136955	729388	7378869	Existing	TERTIARY - UNDEFINED	30-Sep-08	10300		2.2	-21	
151664	741024	7379579	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	14-Feb-10	1250	POTABLE	0.25	-26	
151799	724194	7391110	Existing	GYRANDA SUBGROUP	22-Jan-13		POTABLE	2.1	-71	
151800	724628	7390671	Existing	BLACKWATER GROUP	23-Jan-13		POTABLE	0.31	-20	
151940	724627	7390671	Existing	GYRANDA SUBGROUP	28-Sep-13		POTABLE	0.31	-20	
151941	724194	7391113	Existing	Unknown	28-Sep-13		POTABLE	2.1	-71	
161041	732247	7385838	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	28-Apr-14		BRACKISH	1	-29	
161093	736592	7380908	Existing	TERTIARY MAFIC VOLCANICS	31-Aug-14	710	FRESH	0.61	-19.5	
161169	735022	7374342	Existing	TERTIARY - UNDEFINED	12-Oct-13	3300		0.25	-15	
161220	724858	7388061	Existing	BANANA FORMATION	21-Dec-14	2400		1.2	-32	
161264	724639	7388396	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	30-Mar-15	960		1.5	-54	
161560	737046	7383758	Existing	Unknown	28-Nov-16	28102				DINGO LANDFILL MW2
161561	737159	7383502	Existing	Unknown	29-Nov-16					DINGO LANDFILL MW1
161645	725283	7389134	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	06-Jul-17		BRACKISH	1	-27.64	MB01
161646	724053	7390267	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	07-Jul-17	2942				MB02
161647	725283	7389134	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	09-Jul-17	29000	BRACKISH		-36.87	MB03
161799	725034	7390748	Existing	GYRANDA SUBGROUP	16-Apr-18	4420	BRACKISH	0.4		MB04C (AQ090A)
161800	725026	7390758	Existing	GYRANDA SUBGROUP	16-Apr-18	5459	SALTY	0.6		MB04B (AQ090B)
161801	725020	7390764	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	16-Apr-18					AQ090C
161802	726308	7389681	Existing	GYRANDA SUBGROUP	16-Apr-18	1380	SALTY	7.5		MB06 (AQ091)
161803	725061	7387160	Existing	GYRANDA SUBGROUP	16-Apr-18		SALTY-BRACKISH	0.4		QQ092
161915	738258	7381843	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	10-Sep-18			1.62	-4.5	
13010007	735835	7395478	Abandoned but Usable	BLACKWATER GROUP	28-Oct-04	1534				
13010008	724410	7385071	Existing	DUARINGA FORMATION	31-Oct-04	16100				
13010009	741076	7381232	Abandoned but Usable	DUARINGA FORMATION	02-Nov-04	3690				

5.0 CONCEPTUAL GROUNDWATER MODEL

Essential elements of the conceptual model that have informed numerical modelling include:

- Quaternary alluvium is present within ephemeral water courses to the east and west of the mining area (Springton Creek and Charlevue Creek respectively);
- Tertiary deposits are present across the project area that comprise mainly sediments of the Duaringa Formation. The Tertiary sediments are variably saturated the elevation of the base of Tertiary being a control on the occurrence of water within the sediments; it is assessed that Tertiary sediment above approximately 110 m AHD are likely to be unsaturated;
- A minor area of Tertiary basalt is present to the north of Pit C; the basalt is interpreted to be dry and of limited extent and is therefore not considered as a groundwater unit at site;
- Recharge to alluvium and Tertiary sediments is via direct rainfall recharge. The rate of recharge to the alluvium and Tertiary sediments is calculated by the chloride mass balance method (Section 4.5) to be in the order of 0.4 to 0.8 mm/year (0.07 to 0.12 % of annual average recharge respectively). The low rate of recharge is consistent with the observation of elevated salinity in the shallow sediments, with an EC range for alluvial sediments from 15,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 16,600 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ and for Tertiary sediments from 20,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 21,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. The high EC that is recorded for the shallow sediments (alluvium and Tertiary) is interpreted to be reflective of a low rate of groundwater recharge as well as high residence times for groundwater;
- The coal measures are heavily faulted and folded and mining occurs where folding and faulting has brought the coal seams close to surface, as shown below in Figures 6-2 to 6-6;
- The coal seams are recharged in subcrop areas where the coal seams directly underlay Tertiary and/or Quaternary sediments. The rate of recharge to the coal seams has been calculated by the CMB method (Section 4.5) to be in the order of 0.05% of average annual rainfall. The extremely high salinity of groundwater within the coal measures (range from 22,100 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 28,500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) supports an interpretation of a low rate of recharge and high groundwater residence times for these units;
- Within the Permian coal measures the coal seams are the primary conduits for groundwater flow. This interpretation is supported by hydraulic conductivity data from site testing, which indicates a much lower hydraulic conductivity of interburden/ overburden units relative to the hydraulic conductivity of the coal seams;
- Hydraulic conductivity data (from testing of completed monitoring bores) and air-lift yield data (from air-lift testing undertaken at the base of the bore on completion of drilling and prior to bore construction) indicates that the shallow coal seams (shallower than approximately 80 mbgl) have a higher hydraulic conductivity and are higher yielding than the coal seams below 80 mbgl;
- The relatively high rates of groundwater flow (from air-lift yield testing) for bores shallower than 80 m may be related in some cases to proximity to faults, as the hydraulic conductivity calculated for some of the coal seams (in excess of 1.5 m/day at four sites) is assessed to be greater (by approximately an order of magnitude) than the geometric mean of the coal seam data;
- However, taken together with the interpreted low rate of recharge and high groundwater salinity it is interpreted that, while the faults may locally increase hydraulic conductivity and storage within the secondary porosity of the shear zones, the faults also act to compartmentalise the groundwater system (for example by truncating the coal seams so that they terminate against lower hydraulic

conductivity interburden); this leads to high residence times for groundwater that contributes to the elevated groundwater salinity;

- The direction of groundwater flow within the coal seams is generally from southwest to northeast; and,
- It is interpreted that a continuous water surface does not exist in the Tertiary sediments and that the elevation of the base of Tertiary will be a control on the presence of groundwater within the sediments. From review of available data it is assessed that it is probable that the Tertiary sediments are dry above 120 mAHD and likely dry above 110 mAHD.

6.0 GROUNDWATER MODELLING

6.1 Choice of Numerical Model

To estimate the extent of water level impact from the proposed project, 2-dimensional seepage modelling has been undertaken using the program Seep/W. The choice of model code has been based on an assessment of the model platform that would be appropriate to the study requirements.

A number of factors are assessed when choosing the appropriate modelling platform for a particular groundwater modelling study. Factors that are relevant to the Gemini Project include:

- The ability of the model to represent the essential elements of the conceptual groundwater model. At the Gemini Project this includes the ability of the model to accurately represent the complexity of the geology including faulting of strata, which acts to compartmentalise the geological and hydrogeological units, as faulting has the potential to significantly impact groundwater occurrence and flow; and,
- The ability of the model to adequately address the requirements of the scope of work. At the Gemini Project this includes assessment of the extent of groundwater level impact from mining, assessment of the potential impact of groundwater level changes on any groundwater dependant ecosystems, and assessment of the rate of groundwater inflow to the active mining area and final voids.

Based on assessment of the model requirements, including representation of the essential elements of the conceptual groundwater model, it was concluded that 2-dimensional cross-section modelling would be appropriate for the Gemini project and on that basis the model Seep/W was selected. The use of a 2-dimensional Seep/W cross-section model was assessed to be appropriate to this investigation for the following reasons:

- The geology of the mining area is complex, and includes a number of local-scale and regional-scale faults which significantly disrupt the strata (refer Figure 2-2 for solid geology). It is possible within a 2-dimensional model to reproduce complex cross-sectional geology, whereas such detail could not be included practically within a 3-dimensional model;
- Seep/W is designed to simulate flow in both the saturated zone and the unsaturated zone. When mining occurs below the phreatic surface¹ an unsaturated zone is induced in the pit walls as seepage to the excavation occurs. Seep/W is well suited to investigation of groundwater level impacts resulting from seepage to open pits, particularly for projects such as Gemini where mine dewatering via bores does not occur, and seepage to the excavation is the only means via which the mine removes water from the groundwater system;
- In open cut mines groundwater storage conditions transition from confined to unconfined in the zone adjacent to the pit walls. Seep/W models the rate of drainage to an excavation via a property called the volumetric water content (refer Section 6.3.2), which is able to accurately account for the rate of groundwater flow and the rate of change of the phreatic surface as groundwater conditions transition from confined to unconfined and gravity drainage of groundwater occurs to the excavation;
- One of the main purposes of the model is to investigate the rate and extent of groundwater level drawdown in response to mining, especially in areas of potentially connected surface water and

¹ The phreatic surface is a line of zero pore water pressure below which all pore spaces are saturated with water, and is analogous to the water table. The term phreatic surface is used throughout this report for consistency with Seep/W modelling terminology.

groundwater systems. This can be readily (and potentially more accurately) achieved through the use of a 2-dimensional cross-section models that are able to accurately represent faulting, relatively thin coal seams and seepage conditions within the mined void;

- The use of 2-dimensional models is valid in cases where the section can be oriented along a groundwater flow line so that all groundwater flow is along the section rather than across it. In open-cut mines where mining occurs below the water table, groundwater flow towards the excavation tends to dominate over the previous regional flow patterns, making it possible to orient a section along a groundwater flow line. Therefore the use of 2-dimensional cross-section models is assessed to be valid for the purposes of this investigation.

The selected modelling platform (Seep/W) is an industry-standard finite-element model capable of modelling groundwater movement and pressure distribution within the saturated/unsaturated zone of porous materials such as soil and rock. Seep/W has been used in this study to predict the rate and extent of change to the phreatic surface in response to the ongoing mining of the already approved Central North Mine, as well as the proposed extension of the operation into the extension area.

Three models were prepared for this study including west-east cross-sectional models through each of the AB and C Pits as well as a long section model that is orientated approximately north-south and represents mining at both pits; the models are described below in Section 6.2. Other details of the models (e.g. hydraulic parameters, boundary conditions, representation of faulting etc.) are discussed in subsequent sections.

6.2 Model Locations and Scenarios

Three models were generated for the study, including:

- A west-east cross section through the C Pit;
- A west-east cross section through the AB Pit; and,
- A long section that runs through the final void of both the C Pit and the AB Pit.

The locations of the sections are shown on Figures 3-1 and 3-2 as well as in Figure 6-1 below.

The models include representation of the mining schedule, including progressive backfilling of the mined areas with spoil, development of the final voids, partial backfilling of the final voids with spoil, and development of the pit void lakes to a level as modelled by WRM (2019). Selected stages in mining are shown below in Figure 6-1 and include:

- Year 1 – Mining commences in the AB Pit in the southwest region of the mining area, with mining progressing to approximately RL-45 in the deepest area, representing a depth of mining of approximately 165 m;
- Year 5 – Mining of the AB Pit has progressed from west to east, to a deepest mined level of approximately -65 mAHD, representing a depth of mining of approximately 185 m. Progressive backfilling of the mined area with spoil has occurred, in conjunction with the development of an out of pit dump;
- Year 9 – Mining of the AB Pit has progressed to the north, with the southern area of mining backfilled with spoil and progressively rehabilitated. The deepest mined area is approximately -65 mAHD, representing a depth of mining of approximately 185 m;
- Year 12 - Mining of the AB Pit has reached the full extent to the north and the floor of the pit is at a level of approximately -45 mAHD, representing a depth of mining in that area of approximately 165 m. Mining of the C Pit has commenced in the west of the mining area;

- Year 16 – Mining of the AB Pit is complete and the final landform has been developed. The final landform includes the partial backfilling of the final void area to a level of approximately 42 mAHD, representing a depth below original ground level of approximately 75 m. Development of the C Pit has progressed to the east and north and the floor is at approximately -50 mAHD, representing a depth below original ground surface of approximately 190 m at that location. Backfilling of the mined area with spoil and development of an out of pit dump has been progressively occurring; and,
- Final Landform (Years 18-20). The final voids are developed for each pit area. The final void levels for the AB Pit are as described above. The final void for C Pit has been partially backfilled with spoil to approximately 65 mAHD, representing a depth below original ground level of approximately 65 m.

The representation of mining in the Seep/W section models is shown on figures from the models and includes:

- Figure 6-2 shows detail of the long section model in the location of the AB Pit, from start of mining to Year 7. Detail from Figure 6-2, which is common to each section model, includes:
 - The coal seams are represented as distinct material types in the model, with the average thickness of each seam represented;
 - Faults are included in the model as they are shown in the sections from the geological model. As discussed in Sections 4.6 and 6.4, the main impact of the faults on groundwater flow occurs when the faults completely truncate the coal seams so that they terminate against lower hydraulic conductivity interburden;
 - The coal measures and overburden are progressively removed from the models in accordance with the mining schedule; and,
 - The mined voids are backfilled with spoil at the rate defined by the mining schedule.
- Figure 6-3 shows detail of the long section model in the location of the AB Pit, from mining Year 8 to end of mining and the final landform. Detail from Figure 6-3, which is common to all model stages that simulate the final void and final landform, include:
 - The final void is partially backfilled with spoil as a means of reducing groundwater inflow to the final void and reducing the long-term impact on regional groundwater levels (as the water level adjacent to the final void will be to the groundwater level within the spoil and/or final void lake, rather than long-term groundwater drawdown to the base of the final void); and,
 - The average modelled water level within the AB final void of 53.7 mAHD (WRM 2019) has been included as a boundary condition for the post-mining period.
- Figure 6-4 shows detail of the long section model in the location of the C Pit, from commencement of mining in Mining Year 12 to end of mining and the final landform. Detail shown in the model is as described above, with the exception that the final void water level for the C Pit is represented at the average modelled water level of 70.3 mAHD (WRM 2019).
- Figure 6-5 shows the modelled mining sequence at the location of the west-east cross section model through Pit AB, with model detail as described above.
- Figure 6-6 shows the modelled mining sequence at the location of the west-east cross section model through Pit C, with model detail as described above.




Figure 6-1: Representation of Mining Schedule for Selected Years




Figure 6-2: Representation of Mining, Long-Section Model, A-B Pit – Start of Mining to Year 7




Figure 6-3: Representation of Mining, Long-Section Model, AB Pit – Mining Year 8 to Final Landform




Figure 6-4: Representation of Mining in Long-Section Model – Pit C




Figure 6-5: Representation of Mining in Cross Section Model through Final Void for AB Pit




Figure 6-6: Representation of Mining in Cross Section Model for C Pit

6.3 Hydraulic Properties

6.3.1 Hydraulic Conductivity

Based on review and analysis of data discussed in Section 4-4, hydraulic conductivity values have been applied to the base-case model as shown below in Table 6-1.

Table 6-1: Hydraulic Properties Used in Model

Groundwater Unit	K (m/day)	Comment
Quaternary Alluvium	0.1	Value from slug test of bore DW7292W1.
Tertiary	0.27	Geometric mean of data from slug tests (Section 4.4)
Rewan Group	0.002	Estimated K value from other Bowen Basin projects. The Rewan Group is not present in over most of the tenement area but is present in the model area
Coal Seams <80 mbgl	0.37	Geometric mean of slug test data from bores with screened intervals less than 80mbgl
Coal Seams >80 mbgl	0.02	Geometric mean of slug test data from bores with screened intervals greater than 80mbgl
Permian Interburden <80 mbgl	0.01	Value set an order of magnitude higher than the value for interburden below 80 mbgl
Permian Interburden >80 mbgl	0.001	Estimate based on values from slug testing of DW7033W3 (0.002 m/day) and DW7093W2 (recovery rate so slow that the test data could not be analysed)
Mined Spoil	1	Estimate based on professional experience

6.3.2 Volumetric Water Content

6.3.2.1 Specific Yield

Seep/W represents the water content and drainage properties modelled units via a property called volumetric water content, which describes the transition from fully saturated porosity to fully drained porosity. Total porosity comprises specific yield (the volume that will drain from a material under gravity) and specific retention (the volume that will remain within the material following gravity drainage). The specific yield applied to each model unit is shown below in Table 6-2; the specific yield component of the volumetric water content curve is significant for areas close to the open cut void, as previously confined aquifers (such as the Permian coal measures) become unconfined as they drain to the mined void.

Table 6-2: Specific Yield Values used in Model

Lithology	Specific Yield (Sy)
Quaternary Alluvium	0.08 (8%)
Duaringa Formation	0.01 (1%)
Rewan Group	0.01 (1%)
Permian Overburden (weathered)	0.01 (1%)
Permian Overburden (unweathered)	0.01 (1%)
Coal Seams	0.02 (2%)
Permian Interburden	0.01 (1%)
Burngrove Formation and Gyranada Subgroup	0.01 (1%)
Mined Spoil	0.08 (8%)

6.3.2.2 Specific Storage

In Seep/W the specific storage (S_s) of the aquifer is accounted for via a related property called the coefficient of volume compressibility (mv). In areas where groundwater is draining to the pit void, the

model utilises the specific yield (S_y) portion of the volumetric water content curve (as discussed above). With increasing distance from the pit wall the groundwater storage conditions become increasingly confined, Seep/W automatically transitions from unconfined to confined conditions (i.e. from the portion of the volumetric water content curve where pore pressures are at or below atmospheric pressure (and draining to the pit void) to the portion of the curve where pore pressures are positive) using the properties of the Coefficient of Volumetric Compressibility (mv). The relationship between the coefficient of volume compressibility (mv) and specific storage (S_s), can be established from the following equation (Geoslope 2012):

$$S_s = \rho_w g (\alpha + n\beta) = \rho_w g (mv)$$

Where:

S_s	=	Specific Storage
mv	=	Coefficient of volume compressibility
ρ_w	=	The density of water
g	=	Acceleration due to gravity
α	=	Compressibility of the aquifer skeleton
n	=	The porosity of the aquifer
β	=	Compressibility of water

The value for mv generally ranges from 1×10^{-6} /kPa to 1×10^{-3} /kPa and for confined aquifers a value of 1×10^{-5} /kPa is generally appropriate (Geoslope 2012). An mv of 1×10^{-5} /kPa has therefore been applied to all groundwater units in the model.

6.4 Representation of Faulting

Faults are represented in the models as follows:

- The site geological model conforms to the solid geology as shown in Figure 2-2. For areas of the model that are beyond the boundaries of the site geological model the solid geology, including fault locations, is consistent with the geology shown on the solid geology map (Figure 2-2).
- Where faults are shown on the sections produced from the site geological model or regional geological data, the location of the faults has been accurately reproduced in the Seep/W models;
- The faults have not been assigned any hydraulic properties, as no quantitative data exists to indicate whether individual faults act as groundwater conduits or as barriers to groundwater flow. Rather, the faults will act as described above, i.e. to allow transmission of groundwater across the fault if more permeable units are connected (such as coal seam to coal seam), and will tend to act as barriers to flow if a conductive unit such as a coal seam is terminated against lower permeability interburden material.

6.5 Boundary Conditions

6.5.1 Recharge

The recharge rate that was applied to the model was based on the recharge calculated via the chloride mass balance (CMB) method, as described above in Section 4.5. The recharge value used was equivalent to 0.1% of average annual rainfall, as an average of the CMB-calculated values for Quaternary sediments of 0.12% of average annual rainfall and the Tertiary sediments of 0.07% of average annual rainfall.

Recharge was applied to transient models as a flux boundary condition applied to the upper layer of the model (representing the ground surface). Rainfall was applied uniformly to the surface formation

(alluvium and Tertiary). Rainfall was not applied to the steady-state model as the starting phreatic surface was generated based on fixed head boundary conditions at the edges of the model.

Rainfall was applied at a rate of 0.678 mm/year, which corresponds to 0.1% of average annual rainfall of 678 mm/year, so that the flux was assigned as:

- $678 \text{ mm/year} \times 0.1\% = 0.678 \text{ mm/year of recharge} = 1.86 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m/day}$

6.5.2 Starting Phreatic Surface

The initial phreatic surface was generated in the steady state model by applying fixed heads at the boundaries of the model. The boundaries were set at a distance of approximately 10 km from the edge of mining in order that the boundary conditions did not interfere with the groundwater response to mining, with the boundary conditions set to place the water level within the Tertiary sediments at levels observed from the groundwater monitoring bores for each mining area.

6.5.3 Groundwater Seepage to Voids

Seep/W requires the setting of seepage face review boundary conditions to allow water to leave the model and flow to the mine void. The seepage face boundary a flux boundary with total flux (Q) set at 0 m/day. The area of the mined void is set as a material type with no hydraulic properties; in practice the void is modelled as a zone into which groundwater flow can occur unimpeded through the seepage face boundaries.

6.6 Model Results

6.6.1 Groundwater Level Impacts

The modelled drawdown at the end of mining is shown in Figure 6-7, with the extent of drawdown at post-mining equilibrium (i.e. steady-state post-mining drawdown) shown in Figure 6-8. The contours are shown as the extent of 5 m and 2 m drawdown, based on extrapolation of data points from each of the cross section models (with the location of the data points also shown on Figures 6-7 and 6-8).

These contours have been utilised (refer Section 7.1) to estimate the potential impact on existing groundwater users, based on the definition of bore trigger thresholds for the Queensland *Water Act 2000*. The *Water Act* defines a “bore trigger threshold” (section 362) as *a decline in the water level in the aquifer that is-*

- (a) *If a regulation prescribes the bore trigger threshold for an area in which the aquifer is situated – the prescribed threshold for the area; or*
- (b) *Otherwise-*
 - i. *For a consolidated aquifer – 5 m; or*
 - ii. *For an unconsolidated aquifer – 2 m.*

The potential for impact on existing groundwater users is discussed in Section 7.1.

The potential for water level impact on environmental values (e.g. groundwater dependent ecosystems) is discussed in Section 7.2.




Figure 6-7: Extent of 2 m and 5 m Water Level Drawdown – End of Mining




Figure 6-8: Extent of 2 m and 5 m Water Level Drawdown – Post-Mining Equilibrium

6.6.2 Groundwater inflow to the Mined Voids

The rate of groundwater inflow to the mined voids during the operational period of mining has been calculated via the following methodology:

- The seepage rate through the pit walls and floor was calculated within the Seep/W models from the two cross section models (AB Pit Section and C Pit Section) and the long section model for both the AB Pit and C Pit.
- The seepage rate was calculated as the mine development progressed and included the rate of seepage to the mining operation from the backfilled spoil
- The seepage rate, which was calculated on a per-metre basis in the models, was multiplied over the length of pit, using data from the model that was appropriate to each area;
- The modelled inflow rates for each mining year and for each pit are shown below in Table 7-1, in units of both litres per second (L/s) and cubic metres per day (m³/day);
- For the purpose of water balance modelling, the effects of evaporation on pit inflows was calculated to provide a value for inflow rates less evaporation (Table 7-1). The evaporation rate was applied using “Morton’s estimate of wet environment areal evaporation over land” that was obtained for the project area from the SILO datadrill. This evaporation dataset was used for calculating evaporation from the pit walls and floor, based on advice from the project hydrologist WRM (M Batchelor pers. comm.). Evaporation was applied to the modelled inflow using a pan factor of 0.8 for the surface of the pit, decreasing linearly to a pan factor of 0.5 at the base of the pit (with the lower evaporation at the base of the pit due to shading etc.). The net inflow rate to each pit (modelled inflow less evaporation) is shown in Table 7-1.

Other observations/ notes with respect to the calculated inflow rates are as follows:

- The increase in the modelled and net inflow rate in years 11 and 12 of the AB pit is due to groundwater from the spoil reporting to the final void area. The spoil was modelled as being placed dry (fully-drained but with a residual water content); the groundwater level in the spoil increased over time due to inflow from the floor and walls of the mined area, as this inflow rate was not subject to evaporation. In addition, the rate of recharge to the spoil occurs at a rate that is higher than the natural ground, allowing a water table to develop within the spoil. By mining years 11 and 12 the water level within the spoil had developed to a level that allowed relatively significant rates of inflow to occur.
- For the purpose of future associated water reporting it is concluded that it would be more reasonable to assume the rate of inflow prior to development of the spoil aquifer (i.e. ~5.7 L/s or ~500 m³/day) as the water that is developed from the spoil is derived mainly from rainfall recharge to the spoil and does not represent water from the natural formation.
- The modelled inflow rate and net inflow rate (less evaporation) reduces significantly in mining years 17 and 18 for the AB Pit and at mining year 18 for the C Pit. This is due to the partial backfilling of the final void area with spoil.
- For the post-mining years the net groundwater inflow rate is zero, as the rate of inflow from the pit walls above the backfilled area of spoil and the final void lake occurs at such a low rate that the rate of evaporation is significantly greater than the modelled rate of inflow.

Table 6-3: Calculated Rates of Inflow to the AB Pit and C Pit

Year	AB Pit				C Pit			
	Modelled Inflow Rate		Less Evaporation		Modelled Inflow Rate		Less Evaporation	
	L/s	m3/day	L/s	m3/day	L/s	m3/day	L/s	m3/day
1	7.2	626	1	86				
2	7.2	626	1	86				
3	5.0	433	1	86				
4	5.0	433	1	86				
5	5.9	508	1	86				
6	5.9	508	1	86				
7	11.0	946	1	86				
8	11.0	946	1	86				
9	5.7	493	1	86				
10	5.7	493	1	86				
11	18.0	1554	7	605				
12	18.0	1554	7	605	1.4	121	0	0
13	13.7	1181	6	518	1.4	121	0	0
14	13.7	1181	6	518	2.8	241	0.5	43
15	5.2	453	0.5	43	2.8	241	0.5	43
16	5.2	453	0.5	43	2.8	239	0.5	43
17	2.9	248	0.5	43	2.8	239	0.5	43
18	2.9	248	0.5	43	1.9	163	1	86

6.7 Uncertainty Analysis

6.7.1 Introduction

A sensitivity analysis of the groundwater model developed for the Gemini Project has been undertaken with reference to the following documents:

- Barnett et al. (2012) *Australian Groundwater Modelling Guidelines*. Sinclair Knight Merz and National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training, Waterline Report Series No. 82, June 2012; and,
- Middlemis, H. & Peeters, L.J.M. (2018) *Explanatory Note, Uncertainty Analysis in Groundwater Modelling*. Report prepared for the Independent Expert Scientific Committee on Coal Seam Gas and Large Coal Mining Development through the Department of the Environment and Energy (Draft).
- Reilly, T.E. & Harbaugh, A.W. (2004) *Guidelines for Evaluation of Groundwater Flow Models*. United States Geological Survey, Scientific Investigations Report 2004-5038.

A groundwater model sensitivity analysis involves the evaluation of model input parameters to see how much they affect model outputs, which are heads and flows (Reilly & Harbaugh 2004). The process of sensitivity analysis can be conducted manually or automatically; in the manual approach, multiple model simulations are made in which ideally a single parameter is adjusted by an arbitrary amount (Reilly & Harbaugh 2004). The emphasis of sensitivity modelling is on determining how sensitive the model is to each parameter tested, using a non-technical interpretation of “sensitive” (Barnett et al. 2012).

The explanatory notes for uncertainty analysis that were prepared for the IESC (Middlemis & Peeters 2018) outline three general approaches to uncertainty analysis; these are, in order of increasing complexity:

1. Scenario analysis with subjective probability;
2. Deterministic modelling with linear probability quantification; and,
3. Stochastic modelling with Bayesian probability.

The first method (scenario analysis with subjective probability) has been applied to this modelling study. This methodology is judged to be appropriate to the analysis of a Seep/W model, which utilises a single set of parameters for each material type. A sensitivity analysis of the Gemini Project model was undertaken as follows:

- The base-case models were used to establish the extent of 5 m drawdown from the edge of the final voids to the north, south, east and west of the mining area. The location of the section models, as well as detail from the models pre and post-mining, are shown in Figures 3-1 and 3-2. The sections highlight the relationship between the various groundwater units, including the degree to which faulting and folding compartmentalises the units (as shown from Figures 6-1 to 6-6, which show detail of the cross section models in the area of mining);
- The base-case model was altered to make changes to specific parameters (discussed below) and to assess the impact that the change in parameters had on the location of the extent of the 5 m drawdown contour at the post-mining equilibrium.
- The parameters that were selected for the sensitivity analysis are summarised below in Table 6-4 and include:
 - Horizontal hydraulic conductivity (K_h);
 - Vertical hydraulic conductivity (K_z);
 - Specific yield (S_y) and coefficient of volume compressibility (mv) (which is related to the aquifer specific storage (S_s), as described in Section 6.3.2.2); and,
 - Recharge.

6.7.2 Results

The results of the sensitivity analysis are discussed below and are presented in Table 6-4 and on Figure 6-8, which shows the extent of the 5 m post-mining equilibrium drawdown contour for each uncertainty analysis parameter. Results are summarised as follows:

- The model is assessed to be most sensitive to changes in horizontal hydraulic conductivity (K_h) and recharge, with results as follows;
 - An increase in the K_h of the Permian coal measures (coal seams and interburden) by a factor of 10 results in an increase in the extent of the 5 m drawdown contour at post-mining equilibrium years post-mining of between 800 m (to the east of C Pit) and 2,000 m (to the south of C Pit), as shown on Figure 6-8. The variability in the extent of the 5 m drawdown contour is related to dominant rock type in each direction and the variability in faulting, folding and dip direction of the coal measures. The drawdown contours also extend further to the north/south than to the east/west. This is interpreted to be related to:
 - The more continuous extension of coal seams along geological strike, as the coal seams have a higher permeability than the interburden and are the main conduits for groundwater flow within the coal measures; and,

- The steep dip of the coal seams to the east and west, as a component of the drawdown will be acting in a direction that includes a combination of the K_h and the lower hydraulic conductivity K_z of the unit.
- An increase in the recharge by a factor of 10, from 0.1% of average annual rainfall to 1% of average annual rainfall, results in a reduction in the extent of drawdown of between -550 m (to the west of Pit C) and -1,300 m (to the east of Pit C). The variability in the impact of the change in recharge is interpreted to be related to factors such as the thickness of Tertiary sediments and the weathered Permian sediments, in conjunction with the factors such as folding and faulting that also impact the other parameters tested.
- The model is relatively sensitive to changes in vertical hydraulic conductivity (K_z); an increase in the K_z of the Permian coal measures (interburden and coal seams) by a factor of 10 results in an increase in the extent of 5 m drawdown of between approximately 100 m (to the west of C Pit) to 670 m (to the south of C Pit);
- An increase in the storage properties (specific yield (S_y) by a factor of 2 and coefficient of volume compressibility (m_v) by a factor of 10) results in a decrease in the extent of the 5 m drawdown contour relative to the base case of between -330 m (to the north of AB Pit) and -1,200 m (to the east of C Pit).

Because the specific yield component of storage only acts locally (i.e. close to the walls of the open cut), the main impact on drawdown is related to the change in the coefficient of volume compressibility (m_v). A lower value for m_v (and hence S_s) indicates a geotechnically stiffer (less compressible) aquifer; by contrast an increase in the aquifer m_v (and hence S_s), as undertaken in the uncertainty analysis, will result in a more compressible aquifer, which in turn will act to decrease the extent of drawdown.

Table 6-4: Results of Uncertainty Analysis

Scenario	Description	Base Case	Sensitivity Model	Change (m) in extent of 5 m drawdown contour*	
Long Section Model through AB Pit and C Pits				North of AB Pit	South of C Pit
1	Increase Kh of Permian Interburden <80 mbgl x 10	0.01 m/day	0.1 m/day	1,800	2,000
	Increase Kh of Coal Seams < 80 mbgl x 10	0.37 m/day	3.7 m/day		
	Increase Kh of Permian Interburden >80 mbgl x 10	0.001 m/day	0.01 m/day		
	Increase Kh of Coal Seams >80 mbgl x 10	0.02 m/day	0.2 m/day		
2	Increase Kz of Permian Interburden <80 mbgl x 10	0.001 m/day	0.01 m/day	450	670
	Increase Kz of Coal Seams < 80 mbgl x 10	0.037 m/day	0.37 m/day		
	Increase Kz of Permian Interburden >80 mbgl x 10	0.0001 m/day	0.001 m/day		
	Increase Kz of Coal Seams >80 mbgl x 10	0.002 m/day	0.02 m/day		
3	Increase specific yield (Sy) of Permian Interburden x 2	0.02 (2%)	0.04 (4%)	-330	-430
	Increase specific yield (Sy) of coal seams x 2	0.01 (1%)	0.02 (2%)		
	Increase storage coefficient (Ss) of Permian coal seams and Interburden x 10	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	1 x 10 ⁻⁴		
4	Increase Recharge x 10	0.1% of rainfall	1% of rainfall	-650	-1200
AB Pit Cross Section Model				West of AB Pit	East of AB Pit
1	Increase Kh as described above	As above	As above	1,000	1,200
2	Increase Kz as described above	As above	As above	350	440
3	Increase Sy and Ss as described above	As above	As above	-930	-700
4	Increase recharge as described above	As above	As above	-1,400	-1,200
C Pit Cross Section Model				West of C Pit	East of C Pit
1	Increase Kh as described above	As above	As above	900	800
2	Increase Kz as described above	As above	As above	100	210
3	Increase Sy and Ss as described above	As above	As above	-800	-1200
4	Increase recharge as described above	As above	As above	-550	-1,300

* A positive value indicates an increase in the extent of drawdown, a negative value indicates a decrease in the extent of drawdown




Figure 6-9: Uncertainty Analysis

7.0 GROUNDWATER IMPACTS FROM MINING

7.1 Impacts on Existing Groundwater Users

Figures 6-7 and 6-8 show the location of eleven (11) registered groundwater bores (bores from the DNRM groundwater database that are listed as being either existing or abandoned but useable) within the 2 m drawdown zone at end of mining (Figure 6-7) and/or at post-mining equilibrium (Figure 6-8). Summary data for the bores within this zone are shown below in Table 7-1. In summary:

- Bores 136955 and 11662 are located on land that is owned by Magnetic South (the proponent for the Gemini project);
- Two bores (161560 and 161561) appear to be monitoring bores for the Dingo Landfill;
- A number of bores record groundwater that is highly saline and assessed to be of no beneficial use (as discussed in Section 4.3, an EC of 6,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ is assessed to be the upper limit of salinity tolerance for beef cattle, sheep, horses and pigs with no loss of production (ANZECC 2000), with a decline in animal health at progressively higher salinity values. Bores 88681, 88791 and 91000 record EC values of 10,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, 19,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ and 14,660 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ respectively, and on this basis are assessed to have little to no beneficial use);
- Bores that remain in the area of potential impact (i.e. that are not discussed in the above dot points) include:
 - Bores 88825 and 161041, which are sites with relatively little available data, but which are located within the zone of potential impact to the northeast and west-northwest of AB Pit respectively;
 - Bores 111570 and 161093 also record relatively fresh groundwater (<1,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) at shallow depth. While these bores are located within the extent of 2 m drawdown, these bores are also assessed to be isolated from the regional groundwater system (refer discussion in Section 7.2.1 below)

At sites including 111570 and 161093 it is noted that the sites are not located within the zone of potential impact at end of mining, but are within the zone of potential impact at post-mining equilibrium. Notwithstanding the time that it may take for drawdown impacts to be observed at the private groundwater bores listed in Table 7-1, it is recommended that a bore survey be undertaken on the potentially impacted properties (as defined by the extent of 2 m drawdown contour on Figure 6-8).

It is further noted that make-good agreements may be required for groundwater bores within the zone of potential impact in the event that groundwater level drawdown affects the utility of the bores.

Table 7-1: Bores from DNRM Groundwater Database within 2m Drawdown Zone

RN	Aquifer	EC (µS/cm)	SWL (mbgl)	Original Bore Name	Comment
88681	Duaringa Formation	10000			Located within MLA - land owned by Magnetic South
88791	Duaringa Formation	19200	-20	New Bore	Extremely saline - no beneficial use based on water quality
88825	Unknown			Windmill	
91000	Duaringa Formation	14660	-20	Mackenzie OLO	Extremely saline - no beneficial use based on water quality
111570	Tertiary-Undefined	240	-16	Ward	Refer Section 7.2.1 for discussion
111662	Tertiary-Undefined	750	-17	Smith	Located within land owned by Magnetic South
136955	Tertiary-Undefined	10300	-21		Located within MLA - land owned by Magnetic South
161041	Duaringa Formation		-29		
161093	Tertiary Mafic Volcanics	710	-19.5		Refer Section 7.2.1 for discussion
161560	Unknown	28102		Dingo Landfill MW2	Assumed to be monitoring bore at Dingo Landfill
161561	Unknown			Dingo Landfill MW1	Assumed to be monitoring bore at Dingo Landfill

7.2 Groundwater Dependant Ecosystems

7.2.1 Area of Mapped Potential GDE to East of MLA

A potential groundwater dependant ecosystem (GDE) has been mapped to the east of the MLA and is shown below in Figure 7-1. The following observations and comments are made with respect to the potential GDE:

- The top plot on Figure 7-1 shows the potential GDE from an aerial photograph as an ovaloid feature with an area of approximately 82 ha that is located approximately 4 km east of the MLA boundary.
- The bottom plot on Figure 7-1 shows the potential GDE underlain by contours of surface topography and includes water level data from available groundwater bores. From this plot it is noted that:
 - The surface elevation of the eastern area of the MLA is in the range 125 to 135 mAHD, with the elevation of the Springton Creek floodplain dropping below 120 mAHD in the area between the MLA boundary and the potential GDE;
 - The potential GDE is located on an elevated ridgeline; the feature is located within a shallow depression on the ridgeline that is surrounded to the south, west and east by elevation contours at 170 mAHD, with the central of the depression falling below 165 mAHD. This area drains to the northeast via a narrow zone that is at a surface elevation of approximately 167 mAHD.
- The potential GDE is therefore located within a shallow depression on the ridgeline that is likely to be internally draining under average rainfall conditions and that only discharges to the northeast under high rainfall conditions. It is interpreted that, under average rainfall conditions and at the tail end of high rainfall conditions, surface runoff within the relatively small catchment that reports to this area will pond in the area of the shallow depression and provide localised recharge to an

underlying groundwater lens that is likely to be disconnected from the regional groundwater system (discussed further below).

- The bottom plot on Figure shows available groundwater level data from site monitoring bores (water levels from July/August 2019 – refer Table 4-1) as well as from two registered groundwater bores from the DNRM groundwater database). The date of the groundwater measurement for the two registered bores is likely to be from the time of drilling/construction; bore 111570 was constructed in November 2001 and bore 161093 was constructed in August 2014 (refer Table 4-5 of this report). From the water level data shown on Figure 7-1 it is observed that:
 - The depth to water for bores constructed within Tertiary sediments and Permian coal measures ranges from 26.25 to 32.37 mbgl in this area (Figure 7-1 shows data for the shallowest bore in this area; the Tertiary bore at the location of DW7105W2 is dry). Based on the elevation at these sites, this equates to a groundwater elevation of 108-110 mAHD for bores in topographically elevated areas (DW7093W1 and DW7225W1) to 89.59 mAHD at bore DW7282W1 (located in a topographically lower area of the MLA adjacent to Springton Creek).
 - The water level in alluvium bore DW7292W1 is 11.19 mbgl, which equates to an elevation of 102.39 mAHD;
 - The water level in the site monitoring bores, which are assessed to be representative of the regional groundwater level, are therefore considerably lower than the elevation of the base of the potential GDE, which is at an elevation approximately 165 mAHD;
- The water level in the two private bores to the north of the potential GDE (16 mbgl at 111570 and 19.5 mbgl at 161093) equates to a groundwater elevation of 132 to 144 mAHD at these sites.
- Based on the observations discussed above, it is concluded that:
 - The potential GDE is located on an elevated ridgeline, but within a shallow depression that is likely to drain internally under average rainfall conditions but drains to the northeast under high rainfall conditions;
 - The drainage of surface runoff to the shallow depression is likely to result in localised recharge to a perched lens of groundwater that is disconnected from the regional groundwater system;
 - It is probable that this perched groundwater lens provides water to vegetation within the depression during the dry season, but that the groundwater lens is an extremely localised system that relies on replenishment by seasonal rainfall rather than being maintained by the regional groundwater system
- It is noted the EC of site groundwater monitoring bores, which are interpreted to be within the regional groundwater system, is high (15,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 29,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ – refer Section 4.3). However it is also noted that the EC of the registered bores to the north of the potential GDE is very low, with bore 111570 recording an EC of 240 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ and bore 161093 recording an EC of 710 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. This is interpreted to provide further evidence that the groundwater system in this area is perched above the regional groundwater system, with the flowline from the area of the potential GDE (where recharge is interpreted to occur) to the area where these bores are located being very short.
- From the groundwater modelling data presented in Section 6.7.2 and Figure 6-8, it is noted that the 2 m drawdown contour at post-mining equilibrium extends under the area where the potential GDE is located. It is interpreted that the risk posed by drawdown from the mining operation to the potential GDE is very low, as:
 - It is interpreted that the potential GDE exists in an area where the groundwater system is very localised and is perched above the regional groundwater system; and,

- The groundwater lens that is interpreted to be located beneath the potential GDE is likely to be maintained by seasonal surface water runoff rather than the regional groundwater system.
- It is noted that, based on the evidence available to date, it cannot be conclusively stated that the groundwater system is not continuously saturated from the area below the potential GDE (at RL165 mAHD) to the regional groundwater system (at an elevation of 110 to 89 mAHD). However, based on professional experience and judgement, it is considered that:
 - It is most probable that the ridgeline and the potential GDE is underlain by a perched groundwater system and,
- In any case it is interpreted that the potential GDE is maintained by localised runoff and shallow recharge and that a reduction in the regional groundwater level of approximately 2 m, at a vertical distance of approximately 50 to 60 m below the base of the potential GDE, has a very low risk of impacting groundwater levels beneath the potential GDE.




Figure 7-1: Assessment of Potential GDE to East of the Gemini Project MLA

7.2.2 GDE's Associated with Watercourses and Floodplains

A number of potential GDE's in the Project area are riverine-type wetlands that include riparian vegetation on watercourses and floodplains. It is understood from the ecological assessment (reference) that the riverine vegetation includes tree species such as blue gum (*Eucalyptus tereticornis*) and River oak (*Casuarina cunninghamiana*). With respect to the potential for the mining operation to impact this type of potential GDE the following observations are made:

- The water level drawdown associated with mining is predicted to be in excess of 5 m at some locations below both Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek (Section 6.6.1, Figures 6-7 and 6-8). It cannot be ruled out that drawdown from mining may affect water levels in the alluvium at some locations.
- This section therefore considers the potential for vegetation within the Project area to be currently dependent on groundwater, either totally or partially, which will then inform the risk to vegetation in the event that groundwater level drawdown from mining does impact groundwater levels within the alluvium.
- The assessment of current dependence of vegetation on groundwater considers both groundwater level and groundwater quality; these considerations are summarised as follows:
 - Groundwater level considerations:
 - It is understood from the ecological assessment (reference) that blue gum has a rooting depth of up to 10 m.
 - The measured depth to groundwater in the alluvium bores close to the creeks is between 8.77 m (DW7076W, adjacent to Charlevue Creek) and 11.19 m (bore DW7292W1, adjacent to Springton Creek). It is noted that the groundwater level is likely to be somewhat closer to ground surface at the invert (i.e. central line) of the creeks than in the bores, which are constructed outside the main creek channel.
 - Therefore, while the groundwater level is assessed as being close to the maximum depth that could be accessible by vegetation, it cannot be conclusively ruled out that the groundwater level is beyond the depth that is accessible to the root zone of some plants.
 - In addition, the seasonal range of water level within the alluvium is currently unknown. However, it is noted that bore DW7076W (adjacent to Charlevue Creek) is currently fitted with a datalogger (refer Section 4.2 and Figure 4-4) and that bore DW7292W1 (adjacent to Springton Creek) is programmed to be fitted with a datalogger; this data will allow assessment of the range of water level within the alluvium and the response of groundwater levels within the alluvium to rainfall recharge and stream flow events.
 - Groundwater quality considerations
 - As noted above, the riverine vegetation includes tree species such as blue gum (*Eucalyptus tereticornis*) and River oak (*Casuarina cunninghamiana*). With reference to available online information¹ it is noted that the species listed above are described as being tolerant of moderately saline conditions, which are defined¹ as being in the range of 4,000 to 8,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$;
 - The measured EC of groundwater within the alluvium is relatively high, with a range from 15,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 16,600 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ for bore DW7076W (adjacent to Charlevue Creek) and a single field value for bore DW7292W1 of 5,948 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (adjacent to Springton Creek).

¹ http://www.plantstress.com/articles/salinity_m/salinity_m_files/salt%20tol%20australia.htm

- It is therefore assessed that, based on available EC data, the groundwater within the Charlevue Creek alluvium is too saline to be useable by the vegetation that occurs along the creek.
- The EC at bore DW7292W1 is within the range that is potentially useable by moderately salt-tolerant plants, but it is also noted that the depth to groundwater in that area (11.19 mbgl) is potentially beyond the depth that is accessible by vegetation.

Figure 7-2 (below) shows two photos that were taken at the time of airlift development of bore DW7076W, which is constructed within alluvium adjacent to Charlevue Creek. With reference to the photos in Figure 7-2 and the information outlined above, it is observed that:

- The photo on the left of Figure 7-2 shows bore DW7076W, with the trees in the background located within the or adjacent to the channel of Charlevue Creek;
- The photo on the right of Figure 7-2 shows vegetation within the channel of Charlevue Creek;
- Noting that the EC of groundwater in the Charlevue Creek alluvium at bore DW7076W is within the range of 15,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 16,600 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, it is concluded that the groundwater at this site is too saline for use by the vegetation within the creek;
- However, the vegetation within and adjacent to Charlevue Creek appears healthy; on this basis it could be concluded that the vegetation is likely to subsist on water that becomes available following wet-season flow events in Charlevue Creek, and the soil moisture and/or perched groundwater that may exist for some time after flow events in the creek, rather than on the water table that exists at greater depth where the water is highly saline.



Figure 7-2: Alluvium Bore DW7076W and Charlevue Creek adjacent to Bore

On the basis of the above assessment, it is concluded that:

- Groundwater level drawdown from the proposed mining operation will extend beneath Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek and it cannot be ruled out that drawdown from mining may affect water levels in the alluvium at some locations.

- The riparian vegetation at site (within both Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek) is likely to subsist on water that becomes available following wet-season flow events in the creeks, and on the soil moisture and/or perched groundwater that may exist for some time after flow events in the creek, rather than on the water table that exists at greater depth (in the range of 9 to 11 m, based on available data) where the water is moderately to highly saline (and in the case of data for the Charlevue Creek alluvium, too saline to be tolerated by the vegetation species that line the creek);
- Therefore, even if mining does impact on groundwater levels within the alluvium, the risk of impacts to existing riparian vegetation is assessed to be very low, as it is assessed that it is unlikely that the vegetation is dependent on groundwater for survival.

Notwithstanding the above assessment, ongoing monitoring of groundwater levels is recommended, with a recommendation that bores within the alluvium are monitored via water level dataloggers to allow assessment of the range of seasonal water level variation at these sites. It is noted that a data logger is already fitted to bore DW7076W and that it is planned to install a logger in bore DW7292W1

7.3 Cumulative Impacts

There are no mining operations within the zone of predicted drawdown from mining at the Gemini Project site; it is therefore concluded that there are no cumulative impacts to assess.

7.4 Impacts on Groundwater Quality

Groundwater modelling (Section 6.0) predicts that a permanent cone of depression will develop that will direct groundwater flow towards the final voids; therefore, the risk of the project impacting on water quality (via outflow to the groundwater system) is assessed to be low.

It is, however, assessed that the Project could impact groundwater quality if the water within the final void were able to exit the void via unconsolidated sediments (i.e. the base of Tertiary) and flow via the groundwater system towards sensitive environmental receptors such as Springton Creek. For this reason, an assessment of the potential for water within the final voids to exit the void via the base of Tertiary sediments has been undertaken as follows:

- Contours for the base of Tertiary sediments was obtained for the area of the AB Pit and C Pit from the site geological model;
- The final void water level was obtained for each of the final voids from the WRM surface water assessment report (WRM 2019) and was assessed for:
 - The maximum final void water level for the Base Case; and,
 - The maximum final void water level for the High Inflow Case.
- The data for each mining area was assessed to establish whether any pathways existed for water to exit the final void via the base of Tertiary sediments, for the maximum Base Case and maximum High Inflow Case final void water levels.

The results of the assessment are summarised as follows:

- Assessment of the AB Pit:
 - Figure 7-3 shows the base of Tertiary contours for the area around the AB Pit and includes:
 - The limit of mining within the AB Pit;
 - The location of the final void;
 - The area of the final void that is within the area of inundation at the maximum water level for the High Inflow Case of 64.7 mAHD;

- The location of the closest environmental receptor (Springton Creek), which occurs to the east of the AB Pit.
- From the assessment it is concluded that:
 - The lowest level for the base of Tertiary adjacent to the AB Pit mining area and final void area is approximately 70.5 mAHD (as shown on Figure 7-3);
 - The base of Tertiary is therefore approximately 6 m higher than the maximum water level for the High Inflow Case;
 - There is no outlet for water within the final void of the AB Pit via the base of Tertiary sediments




Figure 7-3: Base of Tertiary Contours and AB Pit Final Void Water Levels

- Assessment of the C Pit:
 - Figure 7-4 shows the base of Tertiary contours for the area around the C Pit and includes:
 - The limit of mining within the C Pit;
 - The location of the final void;
 - The area of the final void that is within the area of inundation at the maximum water level for the High Inflow Case of 80.0 mAHD;
 - The contour line for the base of Tertiary at the Base Case maximum water level of 73.6 mAHD;
 - The contour line for the base of Tertiary at the High Inflow Case maximum water level of 80.0 mAHD

- The location of the closest environmental receptor (Springton Creek), which occurs to the east of the C Pit.
- From the assessment it is concluded that:
 - The areas where the base of Tertiary is at or below the Base Case or High Inflow Case water levels in within the final void area, i.e. an area that will be removed by mining;
 - The lowest level for the base of Tertiary adjacent to the C Pit mining area and final void area is approximately 82.5 mAHD (as shown on Figure 7-4), which is approximately 2.5 m above the maximum water level for the High Inflow Case;
 - The elevation of the base of Tertiary increases away from the area described above to an elevation of approximately 88.5 mAHD, before the elevation of base of Tertiary reduces again towards Springton Creek
 - Therefore, there is no outlet for water within the final void of the C Pit via the base of Tertiary sediments




Figure 7-4: Base of Tertiary Contours and C Pit Final Void Water Levels

Based on the assessment undertaken above it is concluded that there is a very low risk of water within the final voids of the AB and C Pits impacting the surrounding groundwater system

8.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

8.1 Review of Project and Site Data

- The Gemini Project proposes to mine coal from the Aries, Castor and Pollux coal seams of the Rangal Coal Measures, at depths of up to 185 mbgl;
- The mined voids will be progressively backfilled with waste rock (spoil) and the final voids will be backfilled with spoil to approximately 80 mbgl; this backfilling is being undertaken to reduce groundwater seepage to the final void and to limit the impact of mining on groundwater levels;
- Groundwater occurs within three main groundwater units at site, including:
 - Quaternary alluvium associated with Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek
 - Tertiary sediments of the Duinga Formation; and,
 - The Permian Rangal Coal Measures, where groundwater occurs preferentially within the coal seams
- The site is heavily faulted and the faults may act to influence groundwater occurrence and movement as follows:
 - Shear zones associated with faulting may act as a store of water and as locally higher hydraulic conductivity zones. As discussed below, recharge to the groundwater system is assessed to be low; therefore the faults may provide initial relatively high inflow rates to the workings (in the order of several L/s), but the total storage within the faults is anticipated to be relatively low, with the initial rates of inflow not able to be sustained in the long-term; and,
 - Where the faults completely disrupt the coal seams, especially for cases where the coal seam terminates against lower-hydraulic conductivity interburden, the faults will act to disrupt groundwater flow.
- Available hydraulic conductivity and air-lift yield data indicates that there is notable reduction in hydraulic conductivity and bore yield for bores that are deeper than 80 mbgl compared to bores that are shallower than 80 mbgl.
- Groundwater level data at site are summarised as:
 - The water level within the alluvium ranges from 8.77 to 11.19 m below ground level for bores adjacent to the creek channels;
 - The water level with the Tertiary sediments ranges from dry (5 bores, ranging in depth from 14 to 23 m) to 15.38-44.74 mbgl (where water is present). The presence of water within the Tertiary sediments is related to the RL of the base of Tertiary and from review of available data it is assessed that it is probable that the Tertiary sediments are dry above 120 mAHD and likely dry above 110 mAHD; and,
 - The water level in the coal measures ranges from 16.91 to 46.62 mbgl for bore depths of between 38.4 and 136.7 m, with one bore dry at a depth of 31.59 m. The groundwater flow direction for groundwater within the coal measures is from southwest to northeast and from northwest to southeast, towards a depression that is centred on the area where the AB Pit is proposed to be developed.
- Groundwater quality data is summarised as:
 - All groundwater units at site record high EC groundwater, as follows:
 - Alluvial sediments – EC data is available from:

- A bore adjacent to Charlevue Creek (DW7076W), where the recorded EC range is from 15,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 16,600 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$; and,
- A bore adjacent to Springton Creek (DW7292W1) has recorded an EC value of 5,948 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ from a single field value (sampling of the recently-drilled monitoring bores commenced in September 2019 with no laboratory data available to date);
- Tertiary sediments - the EC ranges from 20,200 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 21,900 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$;
- Coal seams - the EC ranges from 22,100 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 28,500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.
- Groundwater at site is above the ANZECC 2000 freshwater ecosystem protection trigger value (95% species protection) for boron (all samples), zinc (majority of samples) as well as aluminium, arsenic, copper, lead and nickel (a number of samples for each analyte).
- All groundwater samples collected to date are assessed to represent the background water quality for the site.
- The recharge rate to the groundwater units at site, which has been calculated via the chloride mass balance method, indicates an extremely low recharge rate of less than 1 mm/year for each groundwater unit. The low rate of calculated recharge is consistent with the observation of highly saline groundwater at site, which is present for even the shallow alluvial units.
- The observation of a low recharge rate for groundwater suggests that, even though relatively high rates of groundwater inflow may be observed from faults/shear zones as mining progresses, especially for the zone above 80 mbgl, the inflow rates are likely to be of short duration due to the relatively low volume that can be stored within fractures/faults and the very low rates of recharge observed at site (i.e. once the fault storage is depleted the faults are unlikely to be recharged).
- Because the faults may act as conduits for groundwater movement, the control of surface water around the site will be of particular importance (i.e. water that ponds at surface may recharge the underlying sediments and report as seepage to the pits via movement along faults/fractures). This mode of inflow would represent infiltrated surface water rather than groundwater from the formations.

8.2 Groundwater Modelling

- 2-dimensional groundwater models have been developed within the program Seep/W for three locations, including
 - A west-east cross section through the C Pit;
 - A west-east cross section through the AB Pit; and,
 - A long section that runs through the final void of both the C Pit and the AB Pit.
- The models take into account:
 - the mining schedule (progression of mining, depth of mining);
 - the progressive backfilling of the pits with waste rock (spoil); and,
 - The backfilling of the final voids to a depth that corresponds to approximately 80 mbgl.
- The models have been utilised to provide the following output:
 - Inflow rates over time to the mine throughout the mining period and to the final void, including inflow rates from the natural groundwater units (Permian coal measures, Tertiary sediments) as well as the spoil aquifer. Groundwater inflow rates to the final voids took into account the partial backfilling of the voids with spoil and the average level of the final void lakes.

- The predicted extent of drawdown (2 m and 5 m drawdown contours) at end of mining and post-mining equilibrium (i.e. steady-state)
- Observations with respect to the calculated inflow rates are as follows:
 - The highest inflow rates to the AB Pit occur in mining years 11 and 12 and are due to groundwater from the spoil reporting to the final void area;
 - For the purpose of future associated water licence reporting it is concluded that it would be more reasonable to assume the rate of inflow prior to development of the spoil aquifer (i.e. ~5.7 L/s or ~500 m³/day) as the water that is developed from the spoil is derived mainly from rainfall recharge to the spoil and does not represent water from the natural formation;
 - The modelled inflow rates and net inflow rates (i.e. modelled inflow less evaporation) reduces significantly in mining years 17 and 18 for the AB Pit and at mining year 18 for the C Pit. This is due to the partial backfilling of the final void area with spoil; and,
 - For the post-mining years the net groundwater inflow rate is zero, as the rate of inflow from the pit walls above the backfilled area of spoil and the final void lake occurs at such a low rate that the rate of evaporation is significantly greater than the modelled rate of inflow.

8.3 Groundwater Impacts from Mining

Observations with respect to potential groundwater impacts from mining include:

- Impacts on existing groundwater users:
 - There are a total of eleven registered groundwater bores (listed from the DNRM groundwater database as either existing or abandoned but useable) within the zone of 2 m drawdown at post-mining equilibrium.
 - A number of sites are either located within land that is owned by Magnetic South (the project proponent) or record an EC >10,000 µS/cm, making the groundwater quality of little or no beneficial use.
 - Two bores that record an EC <1,000 µS/cm are assessed to be in an elevated area that is potentially disconnected from the regional groundwater system that the mining project is developed within. At these sites it is also noted that the sites are not located within the zone of potential impact at end of mining, but are within the zone of potential impact at post-mining equilibrium.
 - Notwithstanding the time that it may take for drawdown impacts to be observed at the private groundwater bores, it is recommended that a bore survey be undertaken on the potentially impacted properties (as defined by the extent of 2 m drawdown contour on Figure 6-8).
 - It is further noted that make-good agreements may be required for groundwater bores within the zone of potential impact, in the event that groundwater level drawdown affects the utility of the bores.
- Impacts on groundwater dependent ecosystems:
 - A potential groundwater dependant ecosystem (GDE) has been mapped to the east of the MLA and is discussed in Section 7.2.1. The potential GDE is located on an elevated ridgeline but in an area where surface water drainage is internal towards the potential GDE. It is therefore interpreted that the vegetation at this location may be groundwater dependent, but that the site is perched above the regional groundwater system and may be maintained in the dry season by a shallow groundwater lens that is seasonally replenished by surface flow and localised recharge. It is therefore interpreted that, although the site is located within the 2 m zone of

- drawdown impacts from mining, there is a low risk of impact due to the perched nature of the system and the assessment that the site is likely to be disconnected from the regional groundwater system.
- A number of potential GDE's in the Project area are riverine-type wetlands that include riparian vegetation on watercourses and floodplains. With respect to the potential for the mining operation to impact this type of potential GDE, it is concluded that:
 - Groundwater level drawdown from the proposed mining operation will extend beneath Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek and it cannot be ruled out that drawdown from mining may affect water levels in the alluvium at some locations;
 - The riparian vegetation at site (within both Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek) is likely to subsist on water that becomes available following wet-season flow events in the creeks, and on the soil moisture and/or perched groundwater that may exist for some time after flow events in the creek, rather than on the water table that exists at greater depth (in the range of 9 to 11 m, based on available data) where the water is moderately to highly saline (and in the case of data for the Charlevue Creek alluvium, too saline to be tolerated by the vegetation species that line the creek); and,
 - Therefore, even if mining does impact on groundwater levels within the alluvium, the risk of impacts to existing riparian vegetation is assessed to be very low, as it is assessed that it is unlikely that the vegetation is dependent on groundwater for survival.
 - Notwithstanding the above assessment, ongoing monitoring of groundwater levels is recommended, with a recommendation that bores within the alluvium are monitored via water level dataloggers to allow assessment of the range of seasonal water level variation at these sites. It is noted that a data logger is already fitted to bore DW7076W and that it is planned to install a logger in bore DW7292W1.
 - Cumulative Impacts
 - There are no mining operations within the zone of predicted drawdown from mining at the Gemini Project site; it is therefore concluded that there are no cumulative impacts to assess.
 - Impacts on Groundwater Quality
 - Groundwater modelling undertaken for this report predicts that a permanent cone of depression will develop that will direct groundwater flow towards the final voids; therefore, the risk of the project impacting on water quality (via outflow to the groundwater system) is assessed to be low.
 - It is, however, assessed that the Project could impact groundwater quality if the water within the final void were able to exit the void via unconsolidated sediments (i.e. the base of Tertiary) and flow via the groundwater system towards sensitive environmental receptors such as Springton Creek. For this reason, an assessment of the potential for water within the final voids to exit the void via the base of Tertiary sediments has been undertaken as follows:
 - Contours for the base of Tertiary sediments was obtained for the area of the AB Pit and C Pit from the site geological model;
 - The final void water level was obtained for each of the final voids from the WRM surface water assessment report (WRM 2019) and was assessed for:
 - The maximum final void water level for the Base Case; and,
 - The maximum final void water level for the High Inflow Case.

- The data for each mining area was assessed to establish whether any pathways existed for water to exit the final void via the base of Tertiary sediments, for the maximum Base Case and maximum High Inflow Case final void water levels.
- Based on the assessment described above it is concluded that there is no outlet via the base of Tertiary for water within the final void of either the AB Pit or the C Pit, for either the maximum Base Case water level or the maximum High Inflow Case water level.
- It is therefore concluded that there is a low risk of the Project impacting on groundwater quality.

9.0 REFERENCES

Barnett B, Townley LR, Post V, Evans RE, Hunt RJ, Peeters L, Richardson S, Werner AD, Knapp A & Boronkay A *Australian Groundwater Modelling Guidelines*. Waterline Report Series No. 82, June 2012

Geoslope (2012) *Seepage Modelling with Seep/W*, July 2012.

Middlemis, H. & Peeters, L.J.M. (2018) Explanatory Note, Uncertainty Analysis in Groundwater Modelling. Report prepared for the Independent Expert Scientific Committee on Coal Seam Gas and Large Coal Mining Development through the Department of the Environment and Energy (Draft).

JTB (2019) Coal Resource Report – Dingo West Coal Deposit, Queensland Australia. Report prepared by John T Boyd Company to Magnetic South Pty Ltd. Report No. 5171.000, February 2019.

Reilly, T.E. & Harbaugh, A.W. (2004) *Guidelines for Evaluation Groundwater Flow Models*. United States Geological Survey, Scientific Investigations Report 2004-5038.

WRM (2019) Gemini Project Surface Water Assessment. Report prepared for Magnetic South Pty Ltd by WRM Water & Environment. Report No. 1238-01-G1, 26 September 2019.

APPENDIX A
BORE CONSTRUCTION LOGS

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		4	128		
SOIL, light to medium greyish brown, sandy		0	124		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.04 m
SAND, light creamy white, clayey with limonitic traces					
SAND, light creamy white, clayey		4	120		Grout from surface to 37 mbgl
CLAY, silty, light greyish-white, sandy		8	116		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
CLAY, silty, light to medium brownish-white, with ferruginous traces near base of unit		12	112		
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown		16	108		
CLAY, silty, lightish-white		20	104		
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown		24	100		
CLAY, silty, light white, ferruginous lenses near top of unit		28	96		Water level - 29.94 mbgl - 17/7/2019
SAND, clayey, light creamy-white, coarse		32	92		
CLAY, light to medium greyish-white, ferruginous lenses near middle of unit		36	88		Bentonite Seal - 37 to 38 mbgl
SAND, light to medium orangey grey, limonitic traces, base of Tertiary at 42.5 mbgl		40	84		Gravel Pack - 38 to 45 mbgl
SILTSTONE, light to medium orangey brown		44	80		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 39 to 45 mbgl
		48			



Easting: 731543.2

Northing: 7383768

Collar RL (mAHD): 124.4



















Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling

Drill Rig:

Hole Diameter (mm): 120

Total Depth (m): 45.23

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		4	128		
SOIL, light to medium greyish-brown, sandy		0	124		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.01 m
Sand, light greyish-white, clayey		4	120		Grout from surface to 71 mbgl
Clay, silty, light to medium brownish white, ferruginous traces near base of unit		8	116		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown Clay, silty, lightish white		12	112		
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown		16	108		
CLAY, silty, lightish-white, ferruginous lenses near top of unit		20	104		
SAND, clayey, light creamy white		24	100		
CLAY, lightish white		28	96		Water level - 29.01 mbgl - 17/7/2019
SAND, light to medium greyish-white, clayey		32	92		
CLAY, light to medium greyish-white, limonitic traces, base of Tertiary at 42.5 mbgl		36	88		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown		40	84		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium, light to medium grey		44	80		
COAL, Orion 4 Seam, fresh		48	76		
SILTSTONE, medium grey, minor sandstone		52	72		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium, light to medium grey, common siltstone fragments		56	68		
SANDSTONE, fine to coarse, light to medium grey		60	64		
SILTSTONE, dark grey		64	60		
COAL, Orion 5 Seam, fresh		68	56		
		72	52		Bentonite Seal - 71 to 72 mbgl
		76	48		Gravel Pack - 72 to 74.5 mbgl
		80	44		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 73 to 74.5 mbgl



Easting: 731546.2
 Northing: 7383773.1
 Collar RL (mAHD): 124.45
 Co-ord System: GDA94





















Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 74.77

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		0	125		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.04 m
SOIL, light to medium greyish-brown, sandy		0	125		
SAND, light greyish-white, clayey		5	120		Grout from surface to 77.5 mbgl
CLAY, silty, light to medium brownish white, ferruginous traces near base of unit		10	115		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown CLAY, silty, lightish white		20	105		
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown		25	100		
CLAY, silty, lightish-white, ferruginous lenses near top of unit		25	100		Water level - 28.93 mbgl - 17/7/2019
SAND, clayey, light creamy white		30	95		
CLAY, lightish white		35	90		
SAND, light to medium greyish-white, clayey		40	85		
CLAY, light to medium greyish-white, limonitic traces, base of Tertiary at 42.5 mbgl		40	85		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown		45	80		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium, light to medium grey		55	70		
COAL, Orion 4 Seam, fresh		55	70		
SILTSTONE, medium grey, minor sandstone		55	70		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium, light to medium grey, common siltstone fragments		60	65		
SANDSTONE, fine to coarse, light to medium grey		60	65		
SILTSTONE, dark grey		65	60		
COAL, Orion 5 Seam, fresh		70	55		
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black		75	50		
SILTSTONE, medium grey, abundant sandstone near base of unit		80	45		Bentonite Seal - 77.5 to 78.5 mbgl Gravel Pack - 78.5 to 81.0 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 79.5 to 81.0 mbgl



Easting: 731548.4
 Northing: 7383777.5
 Collar RL (mAHD): 124.43
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 81

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		4	120		
		0	116		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.06 m
SOIL, dark brown, clayey and silty					
CLAY, dark reddish-brown, silty, sandy near base of unit					
SAND, medium to dark reddish-brown		4	112		
		8	108		Grout from surface to 44.9 mbgl
SAND, medium brownish-red		12	104		
IRONSTONE, medium to dark reddish-brown					
SAND, medium brownish-red					
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown					
GRAVEL, medium to dark brownish grey, sandy with ferruginous grains		16	100		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SAND, medium brownish-red		20	96		Water level - 21.75 mbgl - 16/7/2019
CLAY, light to medium brownish-white, sandy near base of unit					
SAND, silty, light greyish-white		24	92		
CLAY, sandy, light yellowish-brown, base of Tertiary at 33 mbgl		28	88		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown, clayey		32	84		
LIMONITE, medium to dark reddish-brown, ferruginous					
SILTSTONE, light to medium brownish-grey		36	80		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark greyish0brown		40	76		
SANDSTONE, light to medium brownish-grey		44	72		
SILTSTONE, medium grey, sandstone near base of unit		48	68		Bentonite Seal - 44.9 to 45.9mbgl Gravel Pack - 45.9 to 48.4 mbgl
COAL, Orion 1 seam, black, fresh					
SANDSTONE, fine-grained, medium grey					Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 46.9 to 48.4 mbgl
		52			



Easting: 730957.4
 Northing: 7384050
 Collar RL (mAHD): 116.67
 Co-ord System: GDA94








Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 48.47

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL: medium to dark grey, loose, earthy		0	136		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.0 m
CLAY: light brownish-white, firm, chalky.		4	132		
CLAY, sandy : light orangey-white, with ferruginous traces, firm, chalky, haematitic.		8	128		
SAND: light yellowish-white, loose.		12	124		
CLAY: light white, sandy ferruginous bands near middle of unit, stiff, chalky, haematitic		20	116		Grout from surface to 69.3 mbgl
SAND, clayey: light greyish-white, very clayey, medium dense.		28	108		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
GRAVEL, clayey: medium to dark reddish-brown, very clayey, dense.		44	92		Water level - 47.30 mbgl - 26/8/2019
CLAY: light brown, sandy, stiff.		48	88		
CLAY, silty : medium to dark orangey-brown, stiff, chalky, haematitic.		52	84		
Base of Tertiary at 54 mbgl.		54	80		
SILTSTONE : medium to dark reddish-brown, clayey, weathered. Base of Weathering at 61.5 mbgl		56	80		
SILTSTONE : medium to dark grey.		60	76		
SILTSTONE : black, fresh.		64	72		
COAL: black, fresh, Aries 3 Upper Seam SILTSTONE : medium to dark grey, fresh. COAL: black, fresh, Aries 3 Seam.		72	64		Bentonite Seal - 69.3 to 70.3 mbgl Gravel Pack - 70.3 to 77.3 mbgl
SILTSTONE : medium to dark grey.		76	60		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 71.3 to 77.3 mbgl
COAL: black, Aries 3 Lower Seam. SILTSTONE : medium to dark grey.		80	56		



Easting: 730860.4
 Northing: 7382307.1
 Collar RL (mAHD): 135.97
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 77.27

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		1	137		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.94 m
SOIL: medium to dark grey, loose, earthy.		0	136		
CLAY : light brownish-white, firm, chalky.		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	135 134 133 132 131 130 129 128 127		Grout from surface to 9.35 mbgl 50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
CLAY, sandy : light yellowish-white, with limonitic traces, firm, chalky, haematitic.		10 11 12	126 125 124		Bentonite Seal - 9.35 to 10.35 mbgl
SAND: light white, loose.		13 14 15 16 17	123 122 121 120 119	 	Gravel Pack - 10.35 to 17.35 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 11.35 to 17.35 mbgl
		18 19	118		Bore Dry - 26/8/2019



Easting: 730863.1
 Northing: 7382304.1
 Collar RL (mAHD): 136.37
 Co-ord System: GDA94












Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 17.35

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
<p>SOIL: light to dark brown, sandy, loose.</p> <p>CLAY: medium to dark brown, lateritic, clayey near top of unit, firm.</p>		0	130		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.90 m
<p>CLAY: light white, sandy, soil.</p>		10	120		
<p>CLAY, silty: medium to dark brownish-grey, with ferruginous traces, firm.</p>		20	110		Grout from surface to 92.4 mbgl
<p>CLAY, sandy: light to medium greyish-white, ferruginous near base of unit, stiff.</p>		30	100		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
<p>CLAY : light to medium orangey-brown, sandy, stiff. Base of Tertiary at 50 mbgl</p>		40	90		Water level - 45.05 mbgl - 26/8/2019
<p>SILTSTONE : light to medium orangey-brown and dark brown, extremely weathered, becoming slightly weathered towards base.</p>		50	80		
<p>COAL: black, slightly weathered. Aries 2 Seam</p> <p>CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE : light to dark greyish-black, with thin sandstone bands, slightly weathered. Base of weathering at 60.5 mbgl</p> <p>SILTSTONE : medium to dark grey, sandstone laminae (2-20mm), fresh.</p>		60	70		
<p>SANDSTONE, fine to medium : medium grey, fresh.</p> <p>SILTSTONE : medium to dark grey, fresh.</p>		70	60		
<p>SANDSTONE, fine : medium to dark grey, minor (1-15%) siltstone laminae (2-20mm) throughout, fresh.</p> <p>SILTSTONE : medium to dark grey, sandstone bands near middle of unit, fresh.</p>		80	50		
<p>SANDSTONE, fine to medium : medium grey, coarser near base of unit, siltstone bands.</p>		90	40		Bentonite Seal - 92.4 to 93.4 mbgl
<p>COAL: dark greyish-black, dominant (>60%), carbonaceous, siltstone throughout. Aries 3 Upper Seam</p> <p>SILTSTONE : medium to dark grey, abundant (30-60%) siltstone.</p> <p>COAL, stony: black. Aries 3 Lower Seam</p>		100	30		Gravel Pack - 93.4 to 100.45 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 94.4 to 100.4 mbgl



Easting: 730781.2
 Northing: 7382393.8
 Collar RL (mAHD): 133.92
 Co-ord System: GDA94























Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 100.14

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL: light to dark brown, sandy, loose.		0	136		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.94 m
CLAY: medium to dark greyish-brown, lateritic and clayey near top of unit, firm.		4	132		
CLAY: light brownish-white, firm.		8	128		
SAND: light white, clayey, dense		12	124		Grout from surface to 41 mbgl
CLAY: light greyish-white, sandy with ferruginous traces.		16	120		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
CLAY: light white, sandy, firm.		24	108		
CLAY, sandy: light to medium brownish-grey, ferruginous near base of unit, stiff.		36	96		Bentonite Seal - 41 to 42 mbgl
CLAY, sandy: light to medium reddish-brown, sandy, stiff.		44	100		Gravel Pack - 42 to 47.5 mbgl
SAND, clayey: light to medium greyish-white, with ferruginous traces, dense.		48	88		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 43 to 47.5 mbgl Water level - 45.68 mbgl - 26/8/2019



Easting: 730785.4
 Northing: 7382391.3
 Collar RL (mAHD): 134
 Co-ord System: GDA94















Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 47.5

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL: light to dark brown, sandy, loose.		0	136		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.95 m
CLAY : light to medium brown, silty and laminae (2-20mm), firm, finer near top of unit. Base of Tertiary at 16 mbgl.		4	128		
SILTSTONE : light to medium orangey-brown, with clayey bands, extremely weathered.		16	116		Grout from surface to 63.4 mbgl
SILTSTONE : medium to dark grey, slightly weathered.		24	108		
COAL, weathered : black, slightly weathered. Aries 3 Upper Seam		28	104		
SILTSTONE : grey, slightly weathered, very low strength rock		32	100		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
COAL, weathered : black, slightly weathered. Aries 3 Seam		36	96		
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE: light to dark greyish-black, with siltstone bands, slightly weathered, very low strength rock		40	92		
COAL, weathered: black, Aries 3 Lower Seam		44	88		Water level - 42.73 mbgl - 26/8/2019
SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey, thin sandstone laminae (2-20mm) near base of unit, fresh		48	84		
SANDSTONE, medium: light to medium grey, fresh		52	80		
SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey, fresh		56	76		
COAL: black, fresh. Castor Upper Seam		60	72		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium: light to medium grey, with common (15-30%) siltstone bands, fresh		64	68		Bentonite Seal - 63.4 to 64.4 mbgl
SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey, fresh		68	64		Gravel Pack - 64.4 to 71.4 mbgl
COAL: black, fresh. Castor Lower Seam.		72	60		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 65.4 to 71.4 mbgl
SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey, carbonaceous in part, fresh		76			
COAL: black, fresh. Pollux Upper Seam					
SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey, fresh					
COAL: black, thin siltstone bands near base of unit, fresh.					
Pollux Upper 1 Seam					



Easting: 730397.1
 Northing: 7382699
 Collar RL (mAHD): 132.57
 Co-ord System: GDA94







Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 71.38

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		2	134		
SOIL: light to medium reddish-brown, very loose		0	132		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.97 m
CLAY : light to medium brown, silty and laminae (2-20mm)		2	130		
CLAY : light white, ferruginous, granules traces near top of unit, firm		4	128		Grout from surface to 26.6 mbgl
CLAY, sandy : light to medium reddish-brown, ferruginous, firm, haematitic. Base of Tertiary at 18 mbgl.		6	126		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SILTSTONE : light to medium greyish-brown, clayey, extremely weathered		10	122		
COAL: black, extremely weathered. Aries 3 Upper Seam SILTSTONE : medium to dark greyish-grey, extremely weathered.		12	120		Bore Dry - 26/8/2019
COAL: extremely weathered. Aries 3 Seam SILTSTONE : medium to dark brownish-grey, soil and clayey, slightly weathered.		14	118		Bentonite Seal - 26.6 to 27.6 mbgl
		16	116		Gravel Pack - 27.6 to 31.6 mbgl
		18	114		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 28.6 to 31.6 mbgl
		20	112		
		22	110		
		24	108		
		26	106		
		28	104		
		30	102		
		32			



Easting: 730394.4
 Northing: 7382702.7
 Collar RL (mAHD): 132.4
 Co-ord System: GDA94





























Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 31.59

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		1	133		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.93 m
SOIL: light to medium reddish-brown, very loose.		0	132		
CLAY: light to medium brown, silty		1	131		Grout from surface to 9 mbgl
		2	130		
		3	129		
CLAY: light greyish-white, lateritic		4	128		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
		5	127		
		6	126		
		7	125		
CLAY, silty: light white		8	124		Bentonite Seal - 9 to 10 mbgl
		9	123		
		10	122		Gravel Pack - 10 to 14 mbgl
CLAY, silty: light creamy-white, rare (<1%) ferruginous traces, firm.		11	121		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 11 to 14 mbgl
		12	120		
CLAY: medium to dark reddish-brown, ferruginous with limonitic traces, firm, haematitic. Base of Tertiary at 14 mbgl		13	119		Bore Dry - 26/8/2019
		14			



Easting: 730403.1
 Northing: 7382686.8
 Collar RL (mAHD): 132.3
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 14.01

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
<p>SOIL: light brownish-red, lithic, oxidised, medium dense, earthy, haematitic SAND, clayey: light brownish-red, oxidised, ferruginous, firm, haematitic SAND, clayey: light creamy-white, firm, chalky</p>		<p>0 5</p>	<p>125 120 115</p>		<p>Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.90 m</p>
<p>CLAY: light greyish-white, firm, light reddish-brown and ferruginous towards base of unit. Base of Tertiary at 16 mbgl.</p>		<p>10 15</p>	<p>110 105</p>		<p>Grout from surface to 77.1 mbgl</p>
<p>SILTSTONE: light to medium greyish-brown, clayey bands near base of unit, extremely weathered,</p>		<p>20 25 30</p>	<p>100 95 90</p>		<p>Water level - 32.71 mbgl - 26/8/2019</p>
<p>SILTSTONE: medium to dark greyish-brown, carbonaceous, extremely weathered SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey, oxidised, ferruginous in part, slightly weathered, haematitic. Base of weathering at 35 mbgl SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey, shaly near base of unit, fresh.</p>		<p>35 40</p>	<p>85 80</p>		<p>50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing</p>
<p>COAL: black, fresh, Aries Seam. Ground water observed by the driller.</p>		<p>40</p>	<p>80</p>		
<p>SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey, claystone bands near top of unit, fresh</p>		<p>45 50</p>	<p>75 70</p>		
<p>COAL: black, fresh, Castor Upper Seam</p>		<p>55</p>	<p>65</p>		
<p>SILTSTONE: light to dark grey, carbonaceous, fresh, low strength rock, common (15-30%) plant fragments</p>		<p>60</p>	<p>60</p>		
<p>SANDSTONE, fine to medium: light to medium grey, with siltstone laminae (2-20mm)</p>		<p>65</p>	<p>60</p>		
<p>SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey</p>		<p>70 75</p>	<p>55 50</p>		
<p>COAL: black, Castor Lower Seam</p>		<p>80</p>	<p>45</p>		<p>Bentonite Seal - 77.1 to 78.1 mbgl</p>
<p>SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey.</p>		<p>80</p>	<p>40</p>		<p>Gravel Pack - 78.1 to 82.1 mbgl</p>
<p>COAL: black, Pollux Upper Seam</p>		<p>85</p>	<p>40</p>		<p>Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 79.1 to 82.1 mbgl</p>
<p>SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey</p>		<p>85</p>	<p>35</p>		



Easting: 729925.9
 Northing: 7382666
 Collar RL (mAHD): 122.09
 Co-ord System: GDA94









Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 82.1

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		4	124		
SOIL: light brownish-red, lithic, oxidised, medium dense, earthy, haematitic SAND, clayey: light brownish-red, oxidised, ferruginous, firm, haematitic. SAND, clayey: light creamy-white, firm, chalky		0	120		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.91 m
CLAY: light greyish-white, firm, chalky		4	116		
CLAY: mottled yellowish-brown, firm		8	112		Grout from surface to 52.3 mbgl
CLAY: light reddish-white, oxidised, ferruginous near middle of unit. Base of Tertiary at 16 mbgl.		12	108		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SILTSTONE: light to medium greyish-brown, clayey bands near base of unit, extremely weathered		16	104		
SILTSTONE: medium to dark reddish-brown, extremely weathered		20	100		
SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey, oxidised, ferruginous in part, slightly weathered. Base of Weathering at 35 mbgl		24	96		
SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey, shaly near base of unit		28	92		
COAL: black, Aries 3 Seam		32	88		Water level - 32.72 mbgl - 26/8/2019
SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey		36	84		
COAL: black, Aries 3 Lower Seam		40	80		
SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey		44	76		
SILTSTONE: medium to dark grey		48	72		
COAL: black, Castor Upper Seam		52	68		Bentonite Seal - 52.3 to 53.3 mbgl
SILTSTONE: light to dark grey, carbonaceous, fresh, low strength rock, common (15-30%) plant fragments		56	64		Gravel Pack - 53.3 to 55.8 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 54.3 to 55.8 mbgl
		60			



Easting: 729921.7
 Northing: 7382665.9
 Collar RL (mAHD): 122.04
 Co-ord System: GDA94







Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 55.78

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		1			Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.09 m
SOIL: light brownish-red, lithic, oxidised, medium dense, earthy, haematitic		0	122		
SAND, clayey: light brownish-red, oxidised, ferruginous, firm, haematitic		1	121		
SAND, clayey: light creamy-white, firm, chalky		2	120		Grout from surface to 9 mbgl
SAND, clayey: light creamy-white, firm, chalky		3	119		
SAND, clayey: light creamy-white, firm, chalky		4	118		
CLAY: light greyish-white, firm, chalky		5	117		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
CLAY: light greyish-white, firm, chalky		6	116		
CLAY: mottled yellowish-brown, limonitic traces near top of unit, firm.		7	115		
CLAY: mottled yellowish-brown, limonitic traces near top of unit, firm.		8	114		
CLAY: medium to dark greyish-brown, oxidised, ferruginous, firm, haematitic		9	113		Bentonite Seal - 9 to 10 mbgl
CLAY: medium to dark greyish-brown, oxidised, ferruginous, firm, haematitic		10	112		
CLAY: medium to dark greyish-brown, oxidised, ferruginous, firm, haematitic		11	111		Gravel Pack - 10 to 14 mbgl
CLAY: medium to dark greyish-brown, oxidised, ferruginous, firm, haematitic		12	110		
CLAY: light greyish-white, minor (1-15%) limonitic traces, firm.		13	109		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 11 to 14 mbgl
CLAY: light greyish-white, minor (1-15%) limonitic traces, firm.		14	108		
		15	107		Bore Dry - 26/8/2019



Easting: 729917.9
 Northing: 7382665.7
 Collar RL (mAHD): 121.83
 Co-ord System: GDA94


Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 14.03

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		1	120		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.14 m
SOIL: medium to dark brown, loose.		0	119		
SAND: medium to dark reddish-brown, clayey near top of unit near base of unit, medium dense.		1 2 3 4 5 6 7	118 117 116 115 114 113		Grout from surface to 7 mbgl 50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing Bentonite Seal - 7 to 8 mbgl
CLAY: light to medium whitish-grey, ferruginous, granules throughout, firm.		8	112		
CLAY, sandy: light to medium white, minor (1-15%) limonitic traces, stiff.		9 10 11 12	111 110 109 108		Water level - 9.78 mbgl - 26/8/2019 Gravel Pack - 8 to 12 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 9 to 12 mbgl



Easting: 729749.5
 Northing: 7382722.8
 Collar RL (mAHD): 119.81
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig: Gardner Denver 1400
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 12



Easting: 728989.3
 Northing: 7378745.8
 Collar RL (mAHD): 135.26
 Co-ord System: GDA94







































Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 40.58

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL, dark brownish-grey		0	136		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.99 m
CLAY, silty, medium to dark		4	132		
SAND		8	128		
CLAY, sandy, medium greyish-brown		12	124		Grout from surface to 55.7 mbgl
CLAY, light to medium brownish-grey, sandy		16	120		Water level - 17.04 mbgl - 22/8/2019
SILTSTONE, light to medium brownish-grey, sandy towards base of unit		20	116		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
COAL, Castor Lower Seam		24	112		
SILTSTONE		28	108		
CARBONACEOUS MUDSTONE, black		32	104		
SILTSTONE		36	100		
COALY SHALE, black		40	96		
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black		44	92		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, light to medium grey		48	88		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		52	84		
SANDSTONE, light to medium grey		56	80		
SILTSTONE, sandstone bands		60	76		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, grey		64	72		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey					
COAL, Pisces Upper Seam					
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey					



Easting: 728986.3
 Northing: 7378742.2
 Collar RL (mAHD): 135.33
 Co-ord System: GDA94






































Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 59.17

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL		0	140		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.14 m
CLAY, medium yellowish-grey to reddish-grey, base of Tertiary at 31 mbgl		5	135		Grout from surface to 83.8 mbgl
CLAYSTONE, blackish-grey		15	125		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
MUDSTONE, dark brownish-grey		16	124		Water level - 28.44 mbgl - 2/9/2019
SILTSTONE, light to dark brownish grey, clayey with sandstone bands, base of weathering at 41 mbgl		20	120		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, light grey		30	110		
MUDSTONE, dark grey		35	105		
COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 1		36	104		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey		37	103		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained		38	102		
SILTSTONE		39	101		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained		40	100		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey, coaly shale band in middle of unit		41	99		
SANDSTONE, medium-grained, light to dark grey		45	95		
COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 2		46	94		Bentonite Seal - 83.8 to 84.8 mbgl
		47	93		Gravel Pack - 84.8 to 87.3 mbgl
		48	92		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 85.8 to 87.3 mbgl
		49	91		
		50	90		



Easting: 730095.9
 Northing: 7378973.6
 Collar RL (mAHD): 139
 Co-ord System: GDA94























Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 87.3

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL		0	140		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.14 m
CLAY, medium yellowish-grey to reddish-grey, base of Tertiary at 31 mbgl		10 20 30	130 120 110		Grout from surface to 95.7 mbgl 50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing Water level - 28.45 mbgl - 2/9/2019
CLAYSTONE, blackish-grey					
MUDSTONE, dark brownish-grey					
SILTSTONE, light to dark brownish grey, clayey with sandstone bands, base of weathering at 41 mbgl		40	100		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, light grey		50	90		
MUDSTONE, dark grey					
COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 1					
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey					
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained					
SILTSTONE					
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained					
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey, coaly shale band in middle of unit					
SANDSTONE, medium-grained, light to dark grey		70 80	70 60		
COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 2					
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey					
SANDSTONE, medium-grained		90	50		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey					Bentonite Seal - 95.7 to 96.7 mbgl Gravel Pack - 96.7 to 99.2 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 97.7 to 99.2 mbgl
		100	40		



Easting: 730095.9
 Northing: 7378973.6
 Collar RL (mAHD): 139
 Co-ord System: GDA94







Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 87.3

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL		0	140		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.05 m
CLAY, medium yellowish-grey to reddish-grey, base of Tertiary at 31 mbgl		10	130		Grout from surface to 119.8 mbgl
CLAYSTONE, blackish-grey MUDSTONE, dark brownish-grey SILTSTONE, light to dark brownish grey, clayey with sandstone bands, base of weathering at 41 mbgl		30	110		Water level - 28.46 mbgl - 2/9/2019
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, light grey		40	100		
MUDSTONE, dark grey COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 1 SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained SILTSTONE SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained SILTSTONE, light to dark grey, coaly shale band in middle of unit		50	90		
SANDSTONE, medium-grained, light to dark grey		70	70		
COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 2 SILTSTONE, light to dark grey SANDSTONE, medium-grained		90	50		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		100	40		
SANDSTONE, fine-grained		110	30		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		120	20		
COAL, Pisces Lower Lower 1 SILTSTONE, light to dark grey COAL, Pisces Lower Lower 2		130	10		Bentonite Seal - 119.8 to 120.8 mbgl Gravel Pack - 120.8 to 123.3 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 121.8 to 123.3 mbgl



Easting: 730088
 Northing: 7378973.6
 Collar RL (mAHD): 139.12
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 123.25

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		2	130		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.95 m
SOIL, medium to dark brown		0	128		
CLAY, medium to dark brown		2	126		
BASALT, medium to dark yellowish brown, highly weathered		4	124		Grout from surface to 18 mbgl
BASALT, medium to dark orangey grey, common ferruginous lenses		6	122		
BASALT, sandy beneath base of unit, base of Tertiary at 23 mbgl		8	120		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
		10	118		Water level - DRY - 25/7/2018
		12	116		
		14	114		
		16	112		Bentonite Seal - 18 to 19 mbgl
		18	110		Gravel Pack - 19 to 23 mbgl
		20	108		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 20 to 23 mbgl
		22	106		
		24			



Easting: 730192.1
 Northing: 7380733
 Collar RL (mAHD): 128.67
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 23.04

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		4	132		
SOIL, medium to dark brown		0	128		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.02 m
CLAY, medium to dark brown		4	124		
BASALT, medium to dark yellowish brown, highly weathered		8	120		Grout from surface to 60.7 mbgl
BASALT, medium to dark orangey grey, common ferruginous lenses		16	112		
BASALT, sandy beneath base of unit, base of Tertiary at 23 mbgl		20	108		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SILTSTONE, medium to dark brown and orangey-brown		24	104		
		28	100		
		32	96		Water level - 31.08 mbgl - 22/8/2019
COAL, Pisces Upper Seam		36	92		
SANDSTONE, fine-grained, light to dark grey to black		40	88		
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE		44	84		
COALY SHALE					
SILTSTONE					
COALY SHALE					
SANDSTONE, fine-grained, light to dark grey		48	80		
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE		52	76		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey		56	72		
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE					
SANDSTONE, grey		60	68		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey					
COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 1 Seam		64	64		Bentonite Seal - 60.7 to 61.7 mbgl
SILTSTONE, grey					Gravel Pack - 61.7 to 64.2 mbgl
COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 1 Seam					Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 62.7 to 64.2 mbgl
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		68	60		Bentonite seal in overdrilled zone below casing
		72			



Easting: 730193
 Northing: 7380729
 Collar RL (mAHD): 128.7
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 69.25

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL, medium to dark brown CLAY		0	132		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.97 m
CLAY, lateritic		4	128		
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown CLAY		8	124		
SAND, fine-grained, light to medium yellow		12	120		Grout from surface to 42 mbgl
CLAY, sandy, light to medium whitish-cream		16	116		
CLAY, lateritic		20	112		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown CLAY, ferruginous traces		24	108		
CLAY, sandy, light to medium whitish-cream		28	104		
CLAY, light to medium pinkish cream, lateritic		32	100		Water level - 37.17 mbgl - 16/7/2019
SAND, fine to medium-grained, orangey-cream		36	96		Bentonite Seal - 42 to 43 mbgl
GRAVEL, sandy, base of Tertiary at 47.5 mbgl		40	92		Gravel Pack - 43 to 48.5 mbgl
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown		44	88		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 44 to 48.5 mbgl
		48	84		Bentonite seal in overdrilled zone below casing
		52	80		



Easting: 732173.7
 Northing: 7383260
 Collar RL (mAHD): 128.65
 Co-ord System: GDA94










Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 51.15

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		4	132		
SOIL, medium to dark brown CLAY		0	128		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.02 m
CLAY, lateritic		4	124		
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown CLAY		8	120		
SAND, fine-grained, light to medium yellow		12	116		Grout from surface to 53.4 mbgl
CLAY, sandy, light to medium whitish-cream		16	112		
CLAY, lateritic		20	108		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown CLAY, ferruginous traces		24	104		
CLAY, sandy, light to medium whitish-cream		28	100		
CLAY, light to medium pinkish cream, lateritic		32	96		
		36	92		Water level - 38.45 mbgl - 16/7/2019
		40	88		
SAND, fine to medium-grained, orangey-cream		44	84		
GRAVEL, sandy		48	80		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown		52	76		Bentonite Seal - 53.4 to 54.4 mbgl
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black		54	74		
SILTSTONE, brownish-grey		55	73		
COAL, Pisces Upper Seam		56	72		Gravel Pack - 54.4 to 58.4 mbgl
SILTSTONE, brownish-grey		57	71		
COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 1 Seam		58	70		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		59	69		
COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 2 Seam		60	68		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 55.4 to 58.4 mbgl



Easting: 732174.4
 Northing: 7383256
 Collar RL (mAHD): 128.64
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 58.69

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		2	130		
SOIL		0	128		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.04 m
CLAY, medium to dark brown		2	126		
CLAY, sandy, medium to dark orangey-brown		4	124		
CLAY, light to medium yellowish-white		6	122		Grout from surface to 21.5 mbgl
		8	120		
		10	118		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
		12	116		
SAND		14	114		Water level - 15.38 mbgl - 19/7/2019
CLAY, light white		16	112		
CLAY, sandy, light to medium yellowish-cream		18	110		
CLAY, light to medium reddish-cream		20	108		Bentonite Seal - 21.5 to 22.5 mbgl
		22	106		
GRAVEL, sandy, base of Tertiary at 26.5 mbgl		24	104		Gravel Pack - 22.5 to 26.5 mbgl
		26	102		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 23.5 to 26.5 mbgl
		28			



Easting: 729775
 Northing: 7379648
 Collar RL (mAHD): 128.68
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 26.5

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		2	130		
SOIL		0	128		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.98 m
CLAY, medium to dark brown		2	126		
CLAY, sandy, medium to dark orangey-brown		4	124		
CLAY, light to medium yellowish-white		6	122		Grout from surface to 30.4 mbgl
		8	120		
		10	118		
		12	116		
SAND		14	114		
CLAY, light white		16	112		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
CLAY, sandy, light to medium yellowish-cream		18	110		
		20	108		Water level - 19.25 mbgl - 19/7/2019
CLAY, light to medium reddish-cream		22	106		
		24	104		
GRAVEL, sandy, base of Tertiary at 27 mbgl		26	102		
SILTSTONE, light to medium orangey-grown, clayey bands throughout		28	100		
		30	98		Bentonite Seal - 30.4 to 31.4 mbgl
		32	96		Gravel Pack - 31.4 to 38.4 mbgl
SANDSTONE, medium-grained, medium to dark orangey-brown		34	94		
COAL, Castor Seam, weathered		36	92		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 32.4 to 38.4 mbgl
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey		38	90		
		40			



Easting: 729774.5
 Northing: 7379651
 Collar RL (mAHD): 128.64
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 38.4

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL		0	132		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.99 m
CLAY, medium to dark brown		0	128		
CLAY, sandy, medium to dark orangey-brown		4	124		
CLAY, light to medium yellowish-white		8	120		Grout from surface to 71.5 mbgl
SAND		16	112		
CLAY, light white		20	108		Water level - 19.04 mbgl - 23/8/2019
CLAY, sandy, light to medium yellowish-cream		24	104		
CLAY, light to medium reddish-cream		28	100		
GRAVEL, sandy, base of Tertiary at 27 mbgl		28	100		
SILTSTONE, light to medium orangey-grown, clayey bands throughout		32	96		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SANDSTONE, medium-grained, medium to dark orangey-brown		36	92		
COAL, Castor Seam, weathered		40	88		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey		44	84		
COAL, Castor Seam		48	80		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey, sandy bands		52	76		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, medium to dark grey		56	72		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey, sandy bands		60	68		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, medium to dark grey		64	64		
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black		68	60		
COAL, Pisces Upper Seam		72	56		Bentonite Seal - 71.5 to 72.5 mbgl
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey		76	52		Gravel Pack - 72.5 to 75 mbgl
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, medium to dark grey		76	52		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 73.5 to 75 mbgl
SANDSTONE, medium to coarse-grained, light to dark grey		80			
COAL, Pisces Lower Upper 1 Seam					
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black					



Easting: 729774.4
 Northing: 7379655
 Collar RL (mAHD): 128.68
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 75.08

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		4	132		
		0	128		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.02 m
SOIL, medium brown					
CLAY, sandy, medium to dark orangey-brown					
		4	124		
CLAY, medium orangey-cream to purplish-cream		8	120		Grout from surface to 45.4 mbgl
		12	116		
CLAY, sandy, medium to dark creamy-white		16	112		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SAND, clayey, light to medium yellowish-cream		20	108		Water level - 20.5 mbgl - 23/8/2019
		24	104		
CLAY, sandy, medium to dark purplish-cream		28	100		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown					
SANDSTONE, medium-grained, medium to dark orangey-brown					
COAL, weathered		32	96		
		36	92		
SANDSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown		40	88		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown		44	84		Bentonite Seal - 45.4 to 46.4 mbgl
SANDSTONE		48	80		Gravel Pack - 46.4 to 50.4 mbgl
SILTSTONE, medium to dark brownish-grey					Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 47.4 to 50.4 mbgl
COAL					
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black					
COAL, Aries 3 Seam					
SILTSTONE		52			



Easting: 729846.2
 Northing: 7379745
 Collar RL (mAHD): 129.32
 Co-ord System: GDA94















Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 50.43

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL, medium brown CLAY, sandy, medium to dark orangey-brown		0	132		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.07 m
CLAY, medium orangey-cream to purplish-cream		4	128		Grout from surface to 68.9 mbgl
CLAY, sandy, medium to dark creamy-white		12	120		
SAND, clayey, light to medium yellowish-cream		16	116		
CLAY, sandy, medium to dark purplish-cream		20	112		Water level - 20.5 mbgl - 23/8/2019
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown SANDSTONE, medium-grained, medium to dark orangey-brown COAL, weathered SANDSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown		28	108		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown		32	104		
SANDSTONE		36	100		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark brownish-grey		40	96		
COAL CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black COAL, Aries 3 Seam		44	92		
SILTSTONE SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, dark grey, silty and sandy bands		48	88		
SANDSTONE, medium to coarse-grained, light to medium grey		52	84		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, dark grey, silty and sandy bands		56	80		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey COAL		60	76		
SANDSTONE, medium to dark grey		64	72		
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black COAL, Castor Seam CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black		68	68		Bentonite Seal - 68.9 to 69.9 mbgl Gravel Pack - 69.9 to 72.4 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 70.9 to 72.4 mbgl



Easting: 729844.6
 Northing: 7379742
 Collar RL (mAHD): 129.25
 Co-ord System: GDA94





















Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 72.36

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL, medium to dark brown		0	142		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.06 m
CLAY, mottled purplish-cream		2 to 29	140 to 129		Grout from surface to 29 mbgl
CLAY, medium purplish-cream, lateritic		22 to 24	118 to 116		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SAND, fine to medium-grained, light yellowish-brown, becoming medium to coarse near base		26 to 30	114 to 110		Bentonite Seal - 29 to 30 mbgl
CLAY, medium purplish-cream, lateritic		30 to 32	110 to 108		Gravel Pack - 30 to 37 mbgl
SAND, medium to coarse, light creamy-brown		32 to 34	108 to 106		Water level - 32.37 mbgl - 3/9/2019
CLAY, medium purplish-cream. Base of Tertiary at 37 mbgl		34 to 37	106 to 104		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 31 to 37 mbgl



Easting: 730467.5
 Northing: 7378359
 Collar RL (mAHD): 140.64
 Co-ord System: GDA94

































Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 37

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL, medium to dark brown		0	140		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.07 m
CLAY, mottled purplish-cream		5	135		Grout from surface to 73.9 mbgl
CLAY, medium purplish-cream, lateritic		10	130		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SAND, fine to medium-grained, light yellowish-brown, becoming medium to coarse near base		15	125		
CLAY, medium purplish-cream, lateritic		20	120		
SAND, medium to coarse, light creamy-brown		25	115		Water level - 32.1 mbgl - 3/9/2019
CLAY, medium purplish-cream. Base of Tertiary at 37 mbgl		30	110		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown, becoming sandy towards base		35	105		
SANDSTONE, fine-grained, medium grey		40	100		
SILTSTONE, medium greyish-brown		45	95		
COAL, weathered		50	90		
CARBONACEOUS MUDSTONE		55	85		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey		60	80		
SILTSTONE, medium grey, common sandstone bands		65	75		
SANDSTONE, medium grained, light grey		70	70		
SILTSTONE, medium grey		75	65		Bentonite Seal - 73.9 to 74.9 mbgl
SANDSTONE, fine grained, medium to dark grey		80	60		Gravel Pack - 74.9 to 78.9 mbgl
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey		75	65		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 75.9 to 78.9 mbgl
COAL, Aries 3 Seam		80	60		
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, blackish-grey		80	60		



Easting: 730465.7
 Northing: 7378355
 Collar RL (mAHD): 140.69
 Co-ord System: GDA94






Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 78.9

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL, medium to dark brown		0	140		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.04 m
CLAY, mottled purplish-cream		10	130		Grout from surface to 107.8 mbgl
CLAY, medium purplish-cream, lateritic		20	120		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SAND, fine to medium-grained, light yellowish-brown, becoming medium to coarse near base		30	110		Water level - 31.6 mbgl - 3/9/2019
CLAY, medium purplish-cream, lateritic					
SAND, medium to coarse, light creamy-brown					
CLAY, medium purplish-cream. Base of Tertiary at 37 mbgl					
SILTSTONE, medium to dark orangey-brown, becoming sandy towards base		40	100		
SANDSTONE, fine-grained, medium grey					
SILTSTONE, medium greyish-brown					
COAL, weathered					
CARBONACEOUS MUDSTONE					
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey					
SILTSTONE, medium grey, common sandstone bands					
SANDSTONE, medium grained, light grey					
SILTSTONE, medium grey					
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, light to medium grey, abundant siltstone bands					
SILTSTONE, medium grey					
SANDSTONE, fine grained, medium to dark grey					
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey					
COAL, Aries 3 Seam					
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, blackish-grey					
SILTSTONE, dark grey					
SANDSTONE, fine grained, light to medium grey					
SILTSTONE, medium grey, common sandstone bands					
SANDSTONE, fine grained, common siltstone bands					
SANDSTONE, fine grained, light to medium grey		100	40		
COAL, Castor Seam		110	30		Bentonite Seal - 107.8 to 108.8 mbgl Gravel Pack - 108.8 to 112.8 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 109.8 to 112.8 mbgl
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, blackish-grey		120			



Easting: 730464.7
 Northing: 7378351
 Collar RL (mAHD): 140.7
 Co-ord System: GDA94





















Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 112.8

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
		1	113		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.98 m
SOIL, medium to dark brown		0	112		
CLAY		1	111		
SILCRETE, medium to dark orangey-brown		2	110		
CLAY, sandy, medium to dark brown		3	109		Grout from surface to 10.5 mbgl
		4	108		
		5	107		
		6	106		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
		7	105		
		8	104		Water level - DRY - 25/7/2018
		9	103		
		10	102		
CLAY, medium to dark orangey-brown, base of Tertiary at 14 mbgl		11	101		Bentonite Seal - 10.5 to 11.5 mbgl
		12	100		Gravel Pack - 11.5 to 14 mbgl
		13	99		Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 12.5 to 14 mbgl
		14			



Easting: 733392.2
 Northing: 7382915
 Collar RL (mAHD): 112.18
 Co-ord System: GDA94











































Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 14

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL, medium to dark brown CLAY SILCRETE, medium to dark orangey-brown CLAY, sandy, medium to dark brown CLAY, medium to dark orangey-brown, base of Tertiary at 14 mbgl		0 10	110 100		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.98 m Grout from surface to 100.7 mbgl
SILTSTONE, medium to dark brownish-grey		20 30	90 80		Water level - 21.59 mbgl - 15/7/2019 50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SANDSTONE, fine grained, medium to dark brownish grey, minor siltstone bands, base of weathering at 42 mbgl		40	70		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey, fresh		50	60		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey, minor sandstone bands		60	50		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey		60	50		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		70 80	40 30		
SANDSTONE, fine grained, medium to dark grey, minor siltstone bands		90	20		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey, minor sandstone bands		100	10		Bentonite Seal - 100.7 to 101.7 mbgl
COAL, Aries 1 Upper Seam SILTSTONE, light to dark grey COAL, Aries 1 Lower Seam SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		110	10		Gravel Pack - 101.7 to 104.2 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 102.7 to 104.2 mbgl



Easting: 733391.5
 Northing: 7382921
 Collar RL (mAHD): 112.24
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 104.21

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL, medium to dark brown CLAY SILCRETE, medium to dark orangey-brown CLAY, sandy, medium to dark brown CLAY, medium to dark orangey-brown, base of Tertiary at 14 mbgl		0 to 14	120 to 110		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.00 m
SILTSTONE, medium to dark brownish-grey		14 to 35	110 to 90		Grout from surface to 133.2 mbgl Water level - 21.58 mbgl - 15/7/2019
SANDSTONE, fine grained, medium to dark brownish grey, minor siltstone bands, base of weathering at 42 mbgl		35 to 42	90 to 80		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey, fresh		42 to 48	80 to 70		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey, minor sandstone bands		48 to 55	70 to 60		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey		55 to 58	60 to 55		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		58 to 80	55 to 40		
SANDSTONE, fine grained, medium to dark grey, minor siltstone bands		80 to 90	40 to 30		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey, minor sandstone bands		90 to 100	30 to 20		
COAL, Aries 1 Upper Seam		100	20 to 10		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		100 to 105	10 to 5		
COAL, Aries 1 Lower Seam		105	5 to 0		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		105 to 110	0 to -5		
SANDSTONE, medium to dark grey, fine to medium-grained, becoming medium to coarse-grained at base		110 to 115	-5 to -10		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		115 to 120	-10 to -15		
COAL, Aries 2 Seam		120	-15 to -10		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		120 to 125	-10 to -15		
SANDSTONE, medium to dark grey, fined to medium grained, medium to coarse-grained in middle of unit		125 to 130	-15 to -20		
SILTSTONE, light to dark grey		130 to 133.2	-20 to -21.58		
COAL, Aries 3 Seam		133.2	-21.58		
CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black		133.2 to 136.7	-21.58 to -30		Bentonite Seal - 133.2 to 134.2 mbgl Gravel Pack - 134.2 to 136.7 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 135.2 to 136.7 mbgl



Easting: 733390.9
 Northing: 7382925
 Collar RL (mAHD): 112.24
 Co-ord System: GDA94



















Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 136.7

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL, light brown SAND, light creamy-white CLAY, light whitish-grey, lithic traces		0	116		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 1.00 m
FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown CLAY		4	112		Grout from surface to 35 mbgl
SILCRETE, light brownish-grey		8	108		Grout from surface to 35 mbgl
CLAY, light to medium brownish grey with lithic traces and ferruginous lenses, base of Tertiary at 13 mbgl		12	104		Grout from surface to 35 mbgl
SILTSTONE, medium to dark brownish-grey		16	100		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
LIMONITE, light to medium brownish-yellow		24	92		Water level - 26.25 mbgl - 18/7/2019
SILTSTONE, medium to dark brownish-grey, base of weathering at 29 mbgl		28	88		Water level - 26.25 mbgl - 18/7/2019
SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey		32	84		Water level - 26.25 mbgl - 18/7/2019
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey		36	80		Bentonite Seal - 35 to 36 mbgl Gravel Pack - 36 to 43 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 37 to 43 mbgl



Easting: 732118.6
 Northing: 7381433
 Collar RL (mAHD): 115.84
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 43.03


Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
SOIL, light brown SAND, light creamy-white CLAY, light whitish-grey, lithic traces FERRICRETE, dark reddish-brown CLAY SILCRETE, light brownish-grey CLAY, light to medium brownish grey with lithic traces and ferruginous lenses, base of Tertiary at 13 mbgl		5 0 5 10	120 115 110 105		Stickup to Lip of Steel Monument - 0.99 m Grout from surface to 86.4 mbgl
SILTSTONE, medium to dark brownish-grey		15 20	100 95		50 mm Class 18 PVC Environmental Casing
LIMONITE, light to medium brownish-yellow		25	90		Water level - 26.32 mbgl - 18/7/2019
SILTSTONE, medium to dark brownish-grey, base of weathering at 29 mbgl SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey		30 35	85 80		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, light to dark grey SILTSTONE, dark grey SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, light to medium grey SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey, with sandstone and siderite bands COAL, Aries 1 Seam CARBONACEOUS SILTSTONE, black SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey		40 45 50 55	75 70 65 60		
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, becoming medium to coarse grained towards base, light to dark grey with carbonaceous claystone and siderite bands SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey COAL, Aries 2 Seam SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey SANDSTONE, medium to coarse-grained, light to dark grey SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey SANDSTONE, fine-grained, medium to dark grey SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey SILTSTONE, dark grey		60 65 70 75 80 85	55 50 45 40 35 30		Bentonite Seal - 86.4 to 87.4 mbgl Gravel Pack - 87.4 to 89.9 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 88.4 to 89.9 mbgl
SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, becoming medium to coarse grained towards base, light to dark grey with carbonaceous claystone and siderite bands		60 65 70	55 50 45		
SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey COAL, Aries 2 Seam SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey SANDSTONE, medium to coarse-grained, light to dark grey SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey SANDSTONE, fine-grained, medium to dark grey SILTSTONE, medium to dark grey SANDSTONE, fine to medium-grained, medium to dark grey SILTSTONE, dark grey		75 80 85	40 35 30		Bentonite Seal - 86.4 to 87.4 mbgl Gravel Pack - 87.4 to 89.9 mbgl Screen - machine-slotted 50 mm PVC - 88.4 to 89.9 mbgl
COAL, Aries 3 Seam SILTSTONE, dark blackish-grey		90 95	25 20		



Easting: 732122.9
 Northing: 7381433
 Collar RL (mAHD): 115.83
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 89.91

Lithological Description	Graphic Log	Depth (m)	Elevation (mAHD)	Bore Design	Bore Construction/ General Drilling Notes
--------------------------	-------------	-----------	------------------	-------------	--



Easting: 732904.7
 Northing: 7381108
 Collar RL (mAHD): 113.58
 Co-ord System: GDA94

Drilling Company: Hodge Drilling
 Drill Rig:
 Hole Diameter (mm): 120
 Total Depth (m): 15

APPENDIX B
GROUNDWATER CHEMISTRY DATA

Appendix B1: pH, EC, TDS, Major Ion Data

Site	Groundwater Unit	Date	pH (Lab)	pH (Field)	EC (Lab)	EC (Field)	TDS	Na	Ca	Mg	K	Cl	SO4	Alkalinity			
			Unit	Unit	µS/cm	µS/cm	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
DW7065W	AR3	12-Dec-2018	8.21	6.33	28400	27187	19800	4720	439	724	24	9380	679	<1	608	<1	608
DW7065W	AR3	07-Jan-2019	6.85	6.37	27300	28450	19700	5190	456	849	24	9470	711	<1	589	<1	589
DW7065W	AR3	18-Feb-2019	6.81	6.42	28200	28360	19100	5100	458	774	23	9720	789	<1	568	<1	568
DW7065W	AR3	11-Mar-2019	7.01	6.46	28100	27619	19700	5390	508	846	24	9970	781	<1	583	<1	583
DW7065W	AR3	17-Apr-2019	7.03	6.45	26800	27639	19200	5000	551	815	24	9750	733	<1	599	<1	599
DW7065W	AR3	13-May-2019	7.11	6.48	25800	27367	19300	5010	442	792	24	9620	776	<1	610	<1	610
DW7065W	AR3	19-Jun-2019		6.59		27033		4820	516	760	22	9730	763	<1	592	<1	592
DW7065W	AR3	11-Jul-2019	7.78		27400		18100	4860	482	757	26	9120	741	<1	654	<1	654
DW7065W	AR3	26-Aug-2019	7.05	6.47	27400	27509	18900	4740	488	752	24	10200	826	<1	614	<1	614
DW7067W	AR3	12-Dec-2018	8.21	6.29	28500	27457	19900	4660	421	729	26	9590	716	<1	589	<1	589
DW7067W	AR3	07-Jan-2019	6.86	6.29	28000	29175	19900	5040	471	863	28	9720	767	<1	599	<1	599
DW7067W	AR3	18-Feb-2019	6.79	6.38	28500	28613	19300	5160	456	793	27	9820	841	<1	580	<1	580
DW7067W	AR3	11-Mar-2019	6.99	6.45	28500	28023	20500	5480	494	865	26	10100	780	<1	603	<1	603
DW7067W	AR3	17-Apr-2019	6.98	6.41	27200	28021	18600	5060	540	820	27	9750	746	<1	597	<1	597
DW7067W	AR3	13-May-2019	7.01	6.43	26300	28004	20100	5130	435	816	27	9840	764	<1	608	<1	608
DW7067W	AR3	19-Jun-2019		6.84		27800		4900	508	808	26	10100	798	<1	583	<1	583
DW7067W	AR3	11-Jul-2019	7.73		28400		19000	4930	462	784	26	9400	777	<1	634	<1	634
DW7067W	AR3	26-Aug-2019	7.04	6.43	27700	27930	19200	4740	482	769	27	10400	837	<1	614	<1	614
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	12-Dec-2018	8.28	6.3	25500	24484	17600	4590	349	627	23	8490	623	<1	587	<1	587
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	07-Jan-2019	6.87	6.25	25000	25868	17700	4500	321	636	21	8670	610	<1	580	<1	580
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	18-Feb-2019	6.77	6.33	22100	22731	14500	4210	312	553	20	7720	645	<1	530	<1	530
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Mar-2019	6.92	6.38	23500	23087	16300	4590	352	634	22	8590	387	<1	566	<1	566
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	17-Apr-2019	7.02	6.33	23000	23682	14900	4300	396	612	21	8210	618	<1	578	<1	578
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	13-May-2019	6.91	6.39	22600	23989	16000	4110	299	574	20	8470	653	<1	595	<1	595
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	19-Jun-2019		6.51		24483		4280	388	622	21	8800	671	<1	573	<1	573
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Jul-2019	7.67		24800		16400	4340	343	606	21	8270	642	<1	606	<1	606
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	26-Aug-2019	6.98	6.36	24500	24741	16800	4350	379	615	23	9090	762	<1	599	<1	599
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	13-Dec-2018	8.23	6.26	24500	23392	17100	4340	423	485	21	8240	341	<1	411	<1	411
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	07-Jan-2019	6.84	6.21	24100	25049	16800	4380	401	512	20	8150	346	<1	423	<1	423
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	18-Feb-2019	6.77	6.32	24100	24459	16000	4530	432	502	21	8180	404	<1	414	<1	414
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Mar-2019	6.97	6.39	24300	23980	17200	4930	483	557	22	9080	550	<1	436	<1	436
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	17-Apr-2019	6.98	6.33	23100	23847	16400	4380	514	517	21	8470	387	<1	423	<1	423
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	13-May-2019	6.94	6.38	22600	23963	15900	4180	367	477	20	8510	388	<1	449	<1	449
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	19-Jun-2019		6.52		23989		4230	476	501	20	8780	383	<1	422	<1	422
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Jul-2019	7.64		24400		15800	4300	430	498	20	8160	373	<1	452	<1	452
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	26-Aug-2019	6.98	6.35	24000	24057	15800	4280	452	491	21	8730	417	<1	460	<1	460
DW7074W	Castor Upper	13-Dec-2018	8.34	6.71	26100	25085	18000	4720	327	606	25	8670	488	20	585	<1	605
DW7074W	Castor Upper	07-Jan-2019	7.18	6.57	25500	26576	18200	4650	302	616	23	8890	511	<1	624	<1	624
DW7074W	Castor Upper	18-Feb-2019	6.99	6.55	25700	26043	16900	4880	329	605	24	8920	600	<1	605	<1	605
DW7074W	Castor Upper	11-Mar-2019	7.23	6.65	26000	25523	18000	5060	350	654	23	9220	574	<1	642	<1	642
DW7074W	Castor Upper	17-Apr-2019	7.19	6.56	24500	25280	17000	4700	380	619	23	8850	546	<1	620	<1	620
DW7074W	Castor Upper	13-May-2019	7.17	6.59	24200	25440	16900	4450	285	571	22	8980	570	<1	637	<1	637
DW7074W	Castor Upper	19-Jun-2019		6.69		25929		4640	372	612	22	9080	560	<1	635	<1	635
DW7074W	Castor Upper	11-Jul-2019	7.8		25800		16900	4790	332	612	23	8570	528	<1	661	<1	661
DW7074W	Castor Upper	26-Aug-2019	7.2	6.55	25300	25483	17000	4570	358	587	24	9180	578	<1	634	<1	634
DW7076W	Alluvium	12-Dec-2018	8.04	7.24	16200	14782	10600	2410	42	279	10	4170	214	<1	3600	<1	3600
DW7076W	Alluvium	07-Jan-2019	8.04	7.05	16600	17106	10500	3720	62	407	15	4290	205	<1	3620	<1	3620
DW7076W	Alluvium	18-Feb-2019	7.57	7.2	16000	16262	10000	3490	67	368	13	4140	231	<1	3480	<1	3480
DW7076W	Alluvium	11-Mar-2019	7.66	7.37	16200	16145	10400	3760	65	383	14	4190	249	<1	3540	<1	3540
DW7076W	Alluvium	17-Apr-2019	7.37	7.38	15400	15760	10300	3420	73	357	14	4060	212	<1	3510	<1	3510

Appendix B1: pH, EC, TDS, Major Ion Data

Site	Groundwater Unit	Date	pH (Lab)	pH (Field)	EC (Lab)	EC (Field)	TDS	Na	Ca	Mg	K	Cl	SO4	Alkalinity			
			Unit	Unit	µS/cm	µS/cm	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
DW7076W	Alluvium	13-May-2019	7.84	7.36	15200	15632	10100	3370	47	329	15	4120	204	<1	3680	<1	3680
DW7076W	Alluvium	19-Jun-2019		7.49		15602		3340	49	335	13	4030	220	<1	3480	<1	3480
DW7076W	Alluvium	11-Jul-2019	7.76		15400		9980	3410	48	317	15	3750	210	<1	1840	<1	1840
DW7076W	Alluvium	26-Aug-2019	7.79	7.29	15300	14975	9200	3170	45	292	16	4040	209	562	2960	<1	3520
DW7068W	Tertiary	12-Dec-2018	8.29	6.86	20200	19273	14000	3530	216	523	101	6940	291	<1	607	<1	607
DW7068W	Tertiary	07-Jan-2019	7.26	6.78	20600	21433	14300	3710	211	575	82	7240	295	<1	601	<1	601
DW7068W	Tertiary	18-Feb-2019	7.23	6.98	21000	21493	13400	3880	226	563	77	7390	344	<1	578	<1	578
DW7068W	Tertiary	11-Mar-2019	7.38	6.95	21200	20960	14900	4040	234	596	73	8160	635	<1	593	<1	593
DW7068W	Tertiary	17-Apr-2019	7.57	7.06	20800	21270	13700	3780	259	577	72	7480	338	<1	600	<1	600
DW7068W	Tertiary	13-May-2019	7.42	6.93	20200	21301	14700	3790	202	568	72	7650	334	<1	602	<1	602
DW7068W	Tertiary	11-Jul-2019	7.89		21900		14300	4120	246	647	68	7220	334	<1	619	<1	619
Alluvium	Count			8	8	8	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	1	9	0	9
	Min			7.05	15200	14782	9200	2410	42	279	10	3750	204	562	1840		1840
	Max			7.49	16600	17106	10600	3760	73	407	16	4290	249	562	3680		3680
	Mean			7.2975	15788	15783	10135	3343	55	341	14	4088	217		3301		3363
	Median			7.325	15700	15696	10200	3410	49	335	14	4120	212		3510		3520
	StDev			0.13	491	691	413	373	11	40	2	142	14		553		542
Tertiary	Count			6	7	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	0	7	0	7
	Min			6.78	20200	19273	13400	3530	202	523	68	6940	291		578		578
	Max			7.06	21900	21493	14900	4120	259	647	101	8160	635		619		619
	Mean			6.93	20843	20955	14186	3836	228	578	78	7440	367		600		600
	Median			6.94	20800	21286	14300	3790	226	575	73	7390	334		601		601
	StDev			0.09	555	771	491	185	19	35	10	360	111		12		12
Coal Seams	Count			40	40	40	40	45	45	45	45	45	45	1	45	0	45
	Min			6.21	22100	22731	14500	4110	285	477	20	7720	341	20	411		411
	Max			6.84	28500	29175	20500	5480	551	865	28	10400	841		661		661
	Mean			6.44	25693	25934	17760	4694	417	663	23	9081	622		568		569
	Median			6.42	25600	25696	17650	4700	430	622	23	9080	642		592		595
	StDev			0.14	1913	1823	1573	343	72	121	2	661	153		73		73

Appendix B2: Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (diss) mg/L	As (diss) mg/L	Ba (diss) mg/L	Be (diss) mg/L	B (diss) mg/L	Cd (diss) mg/L	Cr (diss) mg/L	Co (diss) mg/L	Cu (diss) mg/L	Fe (diss) mg/L	Pb (diss) mg/L	Mn (diss) mg/L	Hg (diss) mg/L	Mo (diss) mg/L	Ni (diss) mg/L	Se (diss) mg/L	Ag (diss) mg/L	U (diss) mg/L	V (diss) mg/L	Zn (diss) mg/L
ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection			0.055	0.013			0.37	0.0002	0.001		0.0014		0.0034	1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005			0.008
DW7065W	AR3	12-Dec-2018	0.01	0.023	0.076	<0.001	1.22	0.0002	<0.001	0.019	0.081	2.48	0.007	0.312	<0.0001	0.002	0.022	<0.01	<0.001	0.016	<0.01	0.21
DW7065W	AR3	07-Jan-2019	<0.01	0.029	0.168	<0.001	1.2	0.0001	<0.001	0.011	0.005	2.82	<0.001	0.247	<0.0001	0.002	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	0.176
DW7065W	AR3	18-Feb-2019	<0.01	0.02	0.117	<0.001	1.37	<0.0001	<0.001	0.009	0.002	4.41	<0.001	0.227	<0.0001	0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.03
DW7065W	AR3	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	0.012	0.075	<0.005	1.21	<0.0005	<0.005	0.009	<0.005	3.99	<0.005	0.295	<0.0001	<0.005	0.011	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.075
DW7065W	AR3	17-Apr-2019	<0.01	0.019	0.105	<0.001	1.27	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	0.001	3.43	<0.001	0.249	<0.0005	0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.094
DW7065W	AR3	13-May-2019	<0.01	0.019	0.279	<0.001	1.25	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	0.003	3.74	<0.001	0.327	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.136
DW7065W	AR3	19-Jun-2019	<0.01	0.018	0.184	<0.001	1.1	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.001	3.99	<0.001	0.318	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.103
DW7065W	AR3	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	0.006	0.276	<0.005	1.29	<0.0005	<0.005	0.005	<0.005	1.55	<0.005	0.397	<0.0001	<0.005	0.013	<0.05	<0.005	0.005	<0.05	0.105
DW7065W	AR3	26-Aug-2019	<0.01	0.018	0.477	<0.001	1.25	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.001	4.37	<0.001	0.293	<0.0001	0.006	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.07
DW7067W	AR3	12-Dec-2018	<0.01	0.006	0.06	<0.001	1.26	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	0.047	1.99	0.004	0.107	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.105
DW7067W	AR3	07-Jan-2019	<0.05	0.012	0.087	<0.005	1.48	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.009	2.85	<0.005	0.119	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.147
DW7067W	AR3	18-Feb-2019	<0.01	0.012	0.077	<0.001	1.44	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.002	4.23	<0.001	0.104	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.047
DW7067W	AR3	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	0.008	0.066	<0.005	1.22	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.61	<0.005	0.103	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.062
DW7067W	AR3	17-Apr-2019	<0.01	0.008	0.068	<0.001	1.34	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	3.35	<0.001	0.105	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.066
DW7067W	AR3	13-May-2019	<0.01	0.007	0.133	<0.001	1.23	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.014	3.02	<0.001	0.114	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.094
DW7067W	AR3	19-Jun-2019	<0.01	0.004	0.096	<0.001	1.2	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.005	4.43	<0.001	0.087	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.088
DW7067W	AR3	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.129	<0.005	1.38	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	2.16	<0.005	0.151	<0.0001	<0.005	0.009	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.143
DW7067W	AR3	26-Aug-2019	<0.01	0.006	0.183	<0.001	1.32	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	3	<0.001	0.114	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.059
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	12-Dec-2018	<0.01	0.003	0.059	<0.001	1.25	0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.067	2.16	0.003	0.19	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.146
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	07-Jan-2019	<0.01	0.004	0.066	<0.001	1.15	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.024	2.45	<0.001	0.168	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.124
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	18-Feb-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.072	<0.001	1.4	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.008	2.37	<0.001	0.157	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.085
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.062	<0.005	1.11	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	2.31	<0.005	0.173	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.007	<0.005	<0.05	0.068
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	17-Apr-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.06	<0.001	1.3	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	2.38	<0.001	0.162	<0.0001	0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.064
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	13-May-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.104	<0.001	1.23	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.011	2.22	<0.001	0.161	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.07
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	19-Jun-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.081	<0.001	1.18	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.005	2.34	<0.001	0.157	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.101
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.085	<0.005	1.34	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	1.83	<0.005	0.159	<0.0001	<0.005	0.007	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.129
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	26-Aug-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.103	<0.001	1.3	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	2.63	<0.001	0.158	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.045
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	13-Dec-2018	<0.01	<0.001	0.116	<0.001	1.04	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.047	1.13	<0.001	0.306	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.103
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	07-Jan-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.118	<0.001	0.94	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.018	1.85	<0.001	0.325	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.096
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	18-Feb-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.132	<0.001	1.18	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.013	3.52	<0.001	0.339	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.097
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.122	<0.005	0.88	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	3.99	<0.005	0.352	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.068
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	17-Apr-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.11	<0.001	1.05	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	4.22	<0.001	0.337	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.058
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	13-May-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.153	<0.001	0.99	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.007	3.95	<0.001	0.323	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.048
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	19-Jun-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.119	<0.001	1.07	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	3.94	<0.001	0.334	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.084
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.123	<0.005	1.15	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.37	<0.005	0.335	<0.0001	<0.005	0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.118
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	26-Aug-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.137	<0.001	1.02	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.84	<0.001	0.315	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.038
DW7074W	Castor Upper	13-Dec-2018	0.02	0.001	0.079	<0.001	1.33	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.032	<0.05	0.002	0.216	<0.0001	0.01	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.087
DW7074W	Castor Upper	07-Jan-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.086	<0.001	1.19	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.015	0.08	<0.001	0.24	<0.0001	0.008	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.065
DW7074W	Castor Upper	18-Feb-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.086	<0.001	1.45	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.013	0.29	<0.001	0.243	<0.0001	0.007	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.065
DW7074W	Castor Upper	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.072	<0.005	1.13	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	1.47	<0.005	0.249	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.043
DW7074W	Castor Upper	17-Apr-2019	<0.01	0.005	0.068	<0.001	1.3	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	1.74	<0.001	0.228	<0.0001	0.005	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.042
DW7074W	Castor Upper	13-May-2019	<0.01	0.005	0.104	<0.001	1.28	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.008	2.41	&									

Appendix B2: Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data


Site	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (diss) mg/L	As (diss) mg/L	Ba (diss) mg/L	Be (diss) mg/L	B (diss) mg/L	Cd (diss) mg/L	Cr (diss) mg/L	Co (diss) mg/L	Cu (diss) mg/L	Fe (diss) mg/L	Pb (diss) mg/L	Mn (diss) mg/L	Hg (diss) mg/L	Mo (diss) mg/L	Ni (diss) mg/L	Se (diss) mg/L	Ag (diss) mg/L	U (diss) mg/L	V (diss) mg/L	Zn (diss) mg/L
ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection			0.055	0.013			0.37	0.0002	0.001		0.0014		0.0034	1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005			0.008
Tertiary	Count		7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
	Count >LOR		1	1	7	0	7	0	0	5	4	2	0	7	0	6	6	0	1	7	0	7
	Min		0.02	0.001	0.186	0	1.14			0.001	0.001	0.08		0.025		0.005	0.004		0.001	0.009		0.017
	Max		0.02	0.001	0.216		1.52			0.002	0.014	0.08		0.059		0.008	0.012		0.001	0.012		0.096
	Mean				0.199		1.28			0.002	0.004			0.038		0.005	0.006			0.010		0.049
	Median				0.199		1.26			0.002	0.003			0.036		0.005	0.006			0.010		0.035
	StDev				0.009		0.14			0.001	0.005			0.010		0.002	0.003			0.001		0.030
Coal Seams	Count		45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
	Count >LOR		3	36	45	0	45	3	0	20	29	44	4	45	0	30	40	0	1	23	0	45
	Min		0.01	0.001	0.059	0	0.88	0.0001		0.001	0.001	0.08	0.002	0.087		0.001	0.002		0.007	0.001		0.025
	Max		0.02	0.029	0.477		1.49	0.0002		0.019	0.081	4.41	0.007	0.397		0.01	0.022		0.007	0.016		0.21
	Mean*			0.007	0.117		1.23			0.003	0.011	2.59	0.004	0.229		0.003	0.006			0.003		0.086
	Median*			0.003	0.103		1.25			0.002	0.003	2.45	0.004	0.240		0.002	0.005			0.003		0.075
	Standard Deviation*			0.007	0.073		0.14			0.004	0.018	1.20	0.002	0.086		0.002	0.005			0.003		0.039

* For the purpose of statistical analysis, samples <LOR were converted to 0.5 x the LOR value

Appendix B3: Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)
			mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
DW7065W	AR3	12-Dec-2018	0.33	0.022	0.082	<0.001	1.33	0.0002	<0.001	0.018	0.102	2.82	0.012	0.316	<0.0001	0.001	0.022	<0.01	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	0.19
DW7065W	AR3	07-Jan-2019	0.1	0.033	0.204	<0.001	1.58	0.0002	<0.001	0.012	0.057	3.24	0.002	0.264	<0.0001	0.003	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	0.204
DW7065W	AR3	18-Feb-2019	0.11	0.025	0.12	<0.001	1.29	0.0002	<0.001	0.009	0.03	4.66	<0.001	0.238	<0.0001	0.001	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.124
DW7065W	AR3	11-Mar-2019	0.28	0.017	0.094	<0.005	1.64	<0.0005	<0.005	0.01	0.045	5.39	<0.005	0.362	<0.0001	<0.005	0.014	<0.05	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	0.09
DW7065W	AR3	17-Apr-2019	0.06	0.017	0.106	<0.001	1.13	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.031	3.37	<0.001	0.231	<0.0005	0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.1
DW7065W	AR3	13-May-2019	0.06	0.022	0.32	<0.001	1.37	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	0.039	4.15	<0.001	0.35	<0.0001	0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.149
DW7065W	AR3	19-Jun-2019	0.04	0.018	0.178	<0.001	1.14	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.006	3.87	<0.001	0.295	<0.0001	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.103
DW7065W	AR3	11-Jul-2019	0.12	0.008	0.284	<0.005	1.47	<0.0005	<0.005	0.006	0.01	2.12	<0.005	0.406	<0.0001	<0.005	0.011	<0.05	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	0.121
DW7065W	AR3	26-Aug-2019	0.17	0.019	0.474	<0.001	1.52	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.008	4.39	0.001	0.279	<0.0001	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.42
DW7067W	AR3	12-Dec-2018	0.06	0.006	0.059	<0.001	1.44	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	0.046	2.32	0.005	0.11	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.111
DW7067W	AR3	07-Jan-2019	<0.05	0.012	0.089	<0.005	1.47	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.027	3.23	<0.005	0.122	<0.0001	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.149
DW7067W	AR3	18-Feb-2019	0.01	0.013	0.077	<0.001	1.36	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.021	4.31	<0.001	0.112	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.067
DW7067W	AR3	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	0.01	0.079	<0.005	1.76	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	3.88	<0.005	0.119	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.09
DW7067W	AR3	17-Apr-2019	0.02	0.008	0.069	<0.001	1.19	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.012	3.38	<0.001	0.102	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.063
DW7067W	AR3	13-May-2019	0.01	0.008	0.156	<0.001	1.44	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.022	3.34	<0.001	0.121	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.098
DW7067W	AR3	19-Jun-2019	0.02	0.004	0.11	<0.001	1.24	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.007	0.52	<0.001	0.092	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.092
DW7067W	AR3	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.134	<0.005	1.5	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.013	2.35	<0.005	0.154	<0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.148
DW7067W	AR3	26-Aug-2019	0.04	0.007	0.184	<0.001	1.6	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.007	2.98	<0.001	0.107	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.06
DW7068W	Tertiary	12-Dec-2018	1.27	<0.001	0.186	<0.001	1.26	<0.0001	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.53	0.001	0.036	<0.0001	0.007	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	0.019
DW7068W	Tertiary	07-Jan-2019	1.82	<0.001	0.268	<0.001	1.48	0.0002	0.006	0.002	0.017	0.91	0.002	0.044	<0.0001	0.007	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	0.106
DW7068W	Tertiary	18-Feb-2019	4.11	0.002	0.234	<0.001	1.3	<0.0001	0.013	0.002	0.01	2.2	0.003	0.045	<0.0001	0.005	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	0.02	0.067
DW7068W	Tertiary	11-Mar-2019	3.12	<0.005	0.214	<0.005	1.48	<0.0005	0.009	<0.005	0.007	1.5	<0.005	0.033	<0.0001	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	<0.005	0.012	<0.05	<0.026
DW7068W	Tertiary	17-Apr-2019	2.43	<0.001	0.237	<0.001	1.16	<0.0001	0.008	0.002	0.008	1.55	0.002	0.041	<0.0001	0.005	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	0.043
DW7068W	Tertiary	13-May-2019	2.81	0.002	0.242	<0.001	1.4	<0.0001	0.01	0.002	0.028	2	0.003	0.054	<0.0001	0.005	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	0.094
DW7068W	Tertiary	11-Jul-2019	3.9	<0.005	0.22	<0.005	1.55	<0.0005	0.009	<0.005	0.007	1.24	<0.005	0.063	<0.0001	0.006	0.014	<0.05	<0.005	0.01	<0.05	0.126
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	12-Dec-2018	0.1	0.003	0.056	<0.001	1.37	0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.06	2.4	0.005	0.174	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.137
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	07-Jan-2019	0.07	0.004	0.083	<0.001	1.41	0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.028	2.86	0.001	0.184	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.144
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	18-Feb-2019	0.09	0.003	0.072	<0.001	1.31	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.02	2.4	<0.001	0.158	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.089
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Mar-2019	0.08	<0.005	0.069	<0.005	1.73	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	2.48	<0.005	0.188	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.088
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	17-Apr-2019	0.04	0.002	0.064	<0.001	1.14	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.01	2.42	<0.001	0.154	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.064
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	13-May-2019	0.03	0.004	0.117	<0.001	1.41	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.02	2.64	<0.001	0.185	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.082
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	19-Jun-2019	0.02	0.002	0.084	<0.001	1.21	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	2.38	<0.001	0.153	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.104
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Jul-2019	0.13	<0.005	0.087	<0.005	1.5	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.012	2.05	<0.005	0.168	<0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.133
DW7069W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	26-Aug-2019	0.12	0.003	0.113	<0.001	1.56	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.006	2.57	<0.001	0.147	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.045
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	13-Dec-2018	0.3	0.001	0.108	<0.001	1.14	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.05	3.61	0.005	0.288	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.097
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	07-Jan-2019	0.64	0.001	0.149	<0.001	1.26	0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.02	4.59	0.003	0.36	<0.0001	0.003	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.115
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	18-Feb-2019	0.23	0.002	0.129	<0.001	1.08	0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.017	4.16	<0.001	0.35	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.104
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Mar-2019	0.23	<0.005	0.142	<0.005	1.39	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.011	5.2	<0.005	0.405	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.097
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	17-Apr-2019	0.18	0.001	0.115	<0.001	0.94	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	4.31	<0.001	0.318	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.055
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	13-May-2019	0.27	0.003	0.167	<0.001	1.1	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.015	4.66	<0.001	0.345	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.054
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	19-Jun-2019	0.15	0.002	0.128	<0.001	1.02	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.015	4.2	<0.001	0.325	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.065
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	11-Jul-2019	0.22	<0.005	0.133	<0.005	1.2	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.011	3.83	<0.005	0.347	<0.0001	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.116
DW7073W	Castor Lower/Pollux Upper	26-Aug-2019	0.23	0.001	0.14	<0.001	1.21	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.006	4.01	<0.001	0.301	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.037
DW7074W	Castor Upper	13-Dec-2018	0.05	0.001	0.073	<0.001	1.45	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.034	0.09	0.003	0.203	<0.0001	0.009	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.078
DW7074W	Castor Upper	07-Jan-2019	0.03	0.001	0.112	<0.001	1.52	0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.016	0.22	0.001	0.274	<0.0001	0.012	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.082
DW7074W	Castor Upper	18-Feb-2019	0.02	0.003	0.087	<0.001	1.34	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.019	0.43	<0.001	0.26	<0.0001	0.008	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.071
DW7074W	Castor Upper	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.084	<0.005	1.64	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.012	1.63	<0.005	0.264	<0.0001	0.007	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.026
DW7074W	Castor Upper	17-Apr-2019	0.03	0.005	0.078	&																

APPENDIX C
SLUG TEST ANALYSIS SHEETS



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7033W1_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 15:12:19

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7033W1

Test Date: 17 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 14.81 m

WELL DATA (DW7033W1)

Initial Displacement: 30.18 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 14.81 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 14.81 m

Screen Length: 6. m

Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION


Aquifer Model: Unconfined

Kr = 0.7028 m/day

Kz/Kr = 0.1

Solution Method: KGS Model

Ss = 0.02476 m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7033W2_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 15:14:31

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7033W2

Test Date: 17 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

WELL DATA (DW7033W2)

Initial Displacement: 29.22 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 45.28 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 45.28 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION


Aquifer Model: Confined

Kr = 0.06068 m/day

Kz/Kr = 1.

Solution Method: KGS Model

Ss = 0.0002924 m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7033W3_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 17:55:00

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7033W3

Test Date: 17 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 6.7 m

WELL DATA (DW7033W3)

Initial Displacement: 29.17 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 51.83 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 51.83 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION


Aquifer Model: Confined

Kr = 0.002308 m/day

Kz/Kr = 0.1

Solution Method: KGS Model

Ss = 0.0002924 m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7035W3_Bouwer-Rice.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 15:25:54

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7035W3

Test Date: 16 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

WELL DATA (DW7035W3)

Initial Displacement: 22.01 m

Static Water Column Height: 26.43 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 26.43 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice

K = 1.593 m/day

y0 = 13.82 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7082W1_Bouwer-Rice.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 15:24:28

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7082W1

Test Date: 22 August 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

WELL DATA (DW7082W1)

Initial Displacement: 17.19 m

Static Water Column Height: 23.39 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 23.39 m

Screen Length: 1.67 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice

K = 5.387 m/day

y0 = 3.468 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7082W2_Bouwer-Rice.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 15:30:14

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7082W2

Test Date: 22 August 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

WELL DATA (DW7082W2)

Initial Displacement: 17.23 m

Static Water Column Height: 41.94 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 41.94 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice

K = 1.855 m/day

y0 = 6.243 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7093W1_Bouwer-Rice.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 15:36:35

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7092W1

Test Date: 2 September 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 0.1

WELL DATA (DW7093W1)

Initial Displacement: 28.78 m

Static Water Column Height: 58.52 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 58.52 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice

K = 0.02229 m/day

y0 = 11.88 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7093W3_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 15:40:14

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7092W3

Test Date: 2 September 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

WELL DATA (DW7093W3)

Initial Displacement: 28.71 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 94.54 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 94.54 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION


Aquifer Model: Confined

Kr = 0.03877 m/day

Kz/Kr = 1.

Solution Method: KGS Model

Ss = 0.01774 m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7105W2_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 15:47:39

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7105W2

Test Date: 22 August 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

WELL DATA (DW7105W2)

Initial Displacement: 31.4 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 32.8 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 32.8 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION


Aquifer Model: Confined

Kr = 0.066 m/day

Kz/Kr = 1.

Solution Method: KGS Model

Ss = 0.01774 m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7178W1_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 15:50:25

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7178W1

Test Date: 16 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 23.39 m

WELL DATA (DW7178W1)

Initial Displacement: 17.19 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 23.42 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 23.39 m

Screen Length: 1.7 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION


Aquifer Model: Unconfined

Kr = 3.805 m/day

Kz/Kr = 1.

Solution Method: KGS Model

Ss = 0.02476 m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7178W2_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 16:23:06

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7178W2

Test Date: 16 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 3. m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

WELL DATA (DW7178W2)

Initial Displacement: 17.23 m

Static Water Column Height: 41.94 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 41.94 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice

K = 0.5322 m/day

y0 = 19.08 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7220W1_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 16:59:12

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7220W1

Test Date: 19 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 10.88 m

WELL DATA (DW7220W1)

Initial Displacement: 15.62 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 10.88 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 10.88 m

Screen Length: 3. m

Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION


Aquifer Model: Unconfined

Kr = 0.04546 m/day

Kz/Kr = 1.

Solution Method: KGS Model

Ss = 0.0001408 m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7220W2_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 17:03:33

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7220W2

Test Date: 19 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 6. m

WELL DATA (DW7220W2)

Initial Displacement: 19.43 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 18.97 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 18.97 m

Screen Length: 6. m

Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION


Aquifer Model: Confined

Kr = 0.01219 m/day

Kz/Kr = 0.1

Solution Method: KGS Model

Ss = 0.02476 m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7220W3_Bouwer-Rice.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 16:57:40

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7220W3

Test Date: 23 August 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 0.1

WELL DATA (DW7220W3)

Initial Displacement: 19.23 m

Static Water Column Height: 55.81 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 55.81 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice

K = 0.293 m/day

y0 = 7.131 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7221W1_Bouwer-Rice.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 17:12:37

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7221W1

Test Date: 23 August 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 3. m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

WELL DATA (DW7221W1)

Initial Displacement: 20.72 m

Static Water Column Height: 29.71 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 29.71 m

Screen Length: 3. m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice

K = 0.2859 m/day

y0 = 8.245 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7221W2_Bouwer-Rice.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 17:11:22

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7221W2

Test Date: 23 August 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

WELL DATA (DW7221W2)

Initial Displacement: 20.77 m

Static Water Column Height: 51.59 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 51.59 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice

K = 0.2429 m/day

y0 = 8.882 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7225W1 Bower-Rice.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 17:14:14

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7225W1

Test Date: 3 September 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 4.37 m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

WELL DATA (DW7225W1)

Initial Displacement: 32.63 m

Static Water Column Height: 4.37 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 6. m

Screen Length: 6. m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Unconfined

Solution Method: Bower-Rice

K = 0.4438 m/day

y0 = 3.6 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7225W2 Bower-Rice.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 17:16:29

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7225W2

Test Date: 3 September 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 3.5 m

Anisotropy Ratio (K_z/K_r): 1.

WELL DATA (DW7225W2)

Initial Displacement: 32.37 m

Static Water Column Height: 46.53 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 47.03 m

Screen Length: 3.5 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Bower-Rice

$K =$ 2.141 m/day

$y_0 =$ 9.66 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7225W3 Bouwer-Rice.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 17:17:59

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7225W3

Test Date: 3 September 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 3. m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

WELL DATA (DW7225W3)

Initial Displacement: 31.84 m

Static Water Column Height: 80.96 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 80.96 m

Screen Length: 3. m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m


SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice

K = 0.002001 m/day

y0 = 13.44 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7264W2.aqt
 Date: 09/07/19

Time: 12:00:13

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project
 Test Well: DW7265W2
 Test Date: 15 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

WELL DATA (DW7264W2)


Initial Displacement: 21.77 m
 Total Well Penetration Depth: 82.44 m
 Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 82.44 m
 Screen Length: 1.5 m
 Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined
 $K_r = \underline{0.009237}$ m/day
 $K_z/K_r = \underline{0.1}$

Solution Method: KGS Model
 $S_s = \underline{0.006874}$ m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7264W3.aqt
 Date: 09/07/19

Time: 12:22:15

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project
 Test Well: DW7265W3
 Test Date: 15 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 0.1

WELL DATA (DW7264W3)


Initial Displacement: 21.78 m
 Total Well Penetration Depth: 114.9 m
 Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 114.9 m
 Screen Length: 1.5 m
 Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Confined
 K = 0.01101 m/day

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice
 y0 = 15.58 m



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7282W1_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 14:33:09

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7282W1

Test Date: 18 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 16.58 m

WELL DATA (DW7282W1)

Initial Displacement: 26.45 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 16.58 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 16.58 m

Screen Length: 6. m

Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION


Aquifer Model: Confined

Kr = 0.02744 m/day

Kz/Kr = 0.1

Solution Method: KGS Model

Ss = 0.0003112 m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7282W2_KGS Model.aqt

Date: 09/07/19

Time: 14:51:10

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project

Test Well: DW7282W2

Test Date: 18 July 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 2. m

WELL DATA (DW7282W2)

Initial Displacement: 26.51 m

Total Well Penetration Depth: 63.4 m

Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 63.4 m

Screen Length: 1.5 m

Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION


Aquifer Model: Confined

Kr = 0.2446 m/day

Kz/Kr = 0.1

Solution Method: KGS Model

Ss = 0.00214 m⁻¹



WELL TEST ANALYSIS

Data Set: D:\...\DW7291W1.aqt
 Date: 09/07/19

Time: 15:07:11

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: Gemini Project
 Test Well: DW7292W1
 Test Date: 23 August 2019

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 3.78 m

Anisotropy Ratio (K_z/K_r): 0.1

WELL DATA (DW7292W1)

Initial Displacement: 11.22 m
 Total Well Penetration Depth: 3.78 m
 Casing Radius: 0.025 m

Static Water Column Height: 3.78 m
 Screen Length: 3. m
 Well Radius: 0.025 m

SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Unconfined

Solution Method: Bouwer-Rice

$K =$ 0.09701 m/day

$y_0 =$ 18.86 m

Appendix D Groundwater Monitoring Data

Appendix A: Summary Bore Details

Site	Bore ID	Unit Monitored	Easting (GDA94)	Northing (GDA94)	Collar RL (mAHD)	RL TOC	Casing Stickup	Bore Depth (m)	Gravel Pack		Slotted Interval	
									From	To	From	To
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	730860	7382307	135.97	136.65	0.68	77.27	70.3	77.3	71.3	77.3
	DW7066W	Tertiary sediments	730863	7382304	136.37	137.19	0.82	17.35	10.35	17.35	11.35	17.35
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	730781	7382394	133.92	134.81	0.89	100.14	96.1	100.1	97.1	100.1
	DW7068W	Tertiary sediments	730785	7382391	134	134.94	0.94	47.5	42	47.5	43	47.5
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	730397	7382699	132.57	133.46	0.89	71.38	64.4	71.4	65.4	71.4
	DW7071W	Aries 3 Seam	730394	7382703	132.4	133.22	0.82	31.59	27.6	31.6	28.6	31.6
	DW7072W	Tertiary sediments	730403	7382687	132.3	133.14	0.84	14.01	10	14	11	14
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	729926	7382666	122.09	123.04	0.95	82.1	78.1	82.1	79.1	82.1
	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	729922	7382666	122.04	122.94	0.90	55.78	53.3	55.8	54.3	55.8
	DW7075W	Tertiary sediments	729918	7382666	121.83	122.83	1.00	14.03	10	14	11	14
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	729750	7382723	119.81	120.82	1.01	12	8	12	9	12
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	731543	7383768	124.4	125.44	1.04	45.23	38	44.99	38.99	44.99
	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	731546	7383773	124.45	125.46	1.01	74.77	72	74.5	73	74.5
	DW7033W3	Interburden	731548	7383777	124.43	125.47	1.04	81	78.5	81	79.5	81
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	730957	7384050	116.67	117.73	1.06	48.47	45.9	48.44	46.94	48.44
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	728989	7378746	135.26	136.34	1.08	40.58	38.1	40.64	38.97	40.64
	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	728986	7378742	135.33	136.32	0.99	59.17	57.6	59.17	57.67	59.17
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	730096	7378974	139	140.14	1.14	87.3	84.5	87.3	85.8	87.3
	DW7093W2	Interburden	730092	7378973	139.05	140.14	1.09	99.2	97.5	99.2	97.7	99.2
	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	730088	7378974	139.12	140.17	1.05	123.25	120.7	123.25	121.75	123.25
10	DW7105W1	Tertiary	730192	7380733	128.67	129.62	0.95	23.04	19	23.04	20.04	23.04
	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	730193	7380729	128.7	129.72	1.02	69.25	61.7	64.2	62.7	64.2
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	732174	7383260	128.65	129.62	0.97	51.15	43	48.5	44	48.5
	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	732174	7383256	128.64	129.66	1.02	58.69	54.4	58.4	55.4	58.4
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	729775	7379648	128.68	129.72	1.04	26.5	22.5	26.5	23.5	26.5
	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	729775	7379651	128.64	129.62	0.98	38.4	34.4	38.4	32.4	38.4
	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	729774	7379655	128.68	129.67	0.99	75.08	72.5	75.04	73.54	75.04
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	729846	7379745	129.32	130.34	1.02	50.43	46.4	50.43	47.43	50.43
	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	729845	7379742	129.25	130.32	1.07	72.36	69.8	72.36	70.86	72.36
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	730467	7378359	140.64	141.70	1.06	37	30	37	31	37
	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	730466	7378355	140.69	141.76	1.07	78.9	74.2	78.9	75.9	78.9
	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	730465	7378351	140.7	141.74	1.04	112.8	107	112.8	109.8	112.8
15	DW7264W1	Tertiary	733392	7382915	112.18	113.16	0.98	14	11.5	14	12.5	14
	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	733391	7382921	112.24	113.22	0.98	104.21	101.7	104.21	102.71	104.21
	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	733391	7382925	112.24	113.24	1.00	136.7	134.2	136.7	135.2	136.7
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	732119	7381433	115.84	116.84	1.00	43.03	36	43	37	43
	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	732123	7381433	115.83	116.82	0.99	89.91	87.4	89.91	88.41	89.91
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	732905	7381108	113.58	114.41	0.83	15	11	15	12	15

Appendix B - Groundwater Level Data (water level below to of casing (mTOC)

Site	Groundwater Unit	Collar RL (mAHD)	Casing Stickup (m)	RL TOC (mAHD)	Dec-2018	Jan-2019	Feb-2019	Apr-2019	May-2019	Jun-2019	Jul-2019	Aug-2019	Sep-2019	Oct-2019	Nov-2019	Dec-2019	Jan-2020	Feb-2020	Mar-2020	Apr-2020	May-2020	Jun-2020	Jul-2020	Aug-2020	Sep-2020	Oct-2020	
DW7033W1	Tertiary	124.4	1.04	125.44										30.98	30.99	30.97	31.07	No Access	31.07	31.15	31.13	31.12	31.19	31.12	31.15	31.15	31.18
DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	124.45	1.01	125.46										29.93	29.94	29.96	30.22	No Access	30.27	30.39	30.44	30.48	30.47	30.51	30.54	30.58	30.61
DW7033W3	Interburden	124.43	1.04	125.47										29.91	29.91	29.93	30.19	No Access	30.25	30.34	30.42	30.47	30.45	30.49	30.53	30.56	30.59
DW7035W1	Quaternary Alluvium	116.63	1.05	115.58										Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	No Access	No Access	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
DW7035W2	Tertiary	116.68	1.02	115.66										Blocked	21.35	Blocked	Blocked	No Access	No Access	Blocked	Blocked	Blocked	20.69	21.63	21.65	21.68	21.7
DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	116.67	1.06	117.73										22.69	22.69	22.69	23.25	No Access	No Access	23.19	23.24	23.25	23.27	23.27	23.28	23.3	23.31
DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	135.97	0.68	136.65	48.94	48.51	48.39	48.33	48.33	48.28	48.30	48.30	48.17	48.19	48.17					48.07	48.12	48.1	48.08	48.05	48.08	48.06	48.01
DW7066W	Tertiary sediments	136.37	0.82	137.19	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	18.23						Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	133.92	0.89	134.81	46.07	46.08	45.97	45.95	45.96	45.91	45.93	45.95	45.82	45.82	45.82					45.69	45.78	45.75	45.73	45.7	45.73	45.72	45.72
DW7068W	Tertiary sediments	134	0.94	134.94	46.68	46.63	46.64	46.63	46.61	Dry	46.65	46.62	46.60	46.6	46.59					46.59	46.58	46.59	46.57	46.57	46.57	46.58	46.56
DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	132.57	0.89	133.46	43.83	43.82	43.75	43.75	43.73	43.73	43.72	43.68	43.68	43.68	43.62					43.54	43.59	43.57	43.43	43.51	43.53	43.52	43.49
DW7071W	Aries 3 Seam	132.4	0.82	133.22	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry					Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
DW7072W	Tertiary sediments	132.3	0.84	133.14	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry					Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	122.09	0.95	123.04	33.81	33.77	33.72	33.72	33.69	33.66	33.65	33.61	33.61	33.61	33.52					33.48	33.48	33.44	33.43	33.36	33.41	33.39	33.34
DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	122.04	0.90	122.94	33.82	33.79	33.74	33.73	33.70	33.68	33.69	33.63	33.62	33.61	33.54					33.47	33.48	33.43	33.38	33.36	33.4	33.39	33.33
DW7075W	Tertiary sediments	121.83	1.00	122.83	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry					Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	119.81	1.01	120.82	10.46	10.45	10.41	10.47	10.53	10.59	10.72	10.92	11.10	11.27	11.4					10.88	10.75	10.66	10.7	10.71	10.93	11.05	11.17
DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	135.26	1.08	136.34										17.83	17.81	17.8	17.78	No Access	17.67	17.73	17.77	17.76	17.69	17.7	17.67	17.66	17.64
DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	135.33	0.99	136.32										17.90	17.88	17.85	17.82	No Access	17.71	17.76	17.81	17.79	17.73	17.73	17.7	17.69	17.92
DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	139	1.14	140.14										29.31	29.35	29.36	29.36	29.32	29.24	29.28	29.33	29.36	29.32	29.33	29.28	29.31	29.31
DW7093W2	Interburden	139.05	1.09	140.14										30.53	32.17	30.36	30.85	29.94	30.61	30.22	29.99	30.82	30.56	30.47	30.02	29.83	29.99
DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	139.12	1.05	140.17										29.25	29.245	30.72	29.25	29.18	29.14	29.06	29.15	29.13	29.17	29.14	29.2	29.23	29.52
DW7105W1	Tertiary	128.67	0.95	129.62										23.87	23.87	Dry	23.87	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	128.7	1.02	129.72										32.11	32.13	32.12	32.13	32.08	31.99	32.05	32.11	32.14	32.12	32.12	32.11	32.13	32.14
DW7178W1	Tertiary	128.65	0.97	129.62										38.57	38.55	38.6	38.62	38.58	38.54	38.61	38.57	38.6	38.6	38.54	38.5	38.54	38.51
DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	128.64	1.02	129.66										39.21	39.21	39.74	39.57	39.34	39.26	39.26	39.18	39.17	39.14	39.1	39.05	39.04	39.01
DW7220W1	Tertiary	128.68	1.04	129.72										16.41	16.38	16.39	16.38	16.39	16.39	16.44	16.38	16.45	16.4	16.4	16.41	16.39	16.47
DW7220W2	Castor Seam	128.64	0.98	129.62										20.29	20.39	20.45	20.5	20.45	20.3	20.28	20.39	20.42	20.32	20.32	20.29	20.31	20.6
DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	128.68	0.99	129.67										20.14	20.16	20.2	20.23	20.23	20.1	20.06	20.16	20.17	20.13	20.15	20.12	20.12	20.23
DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	129.32	1.02	130.34										21.51	21.62	21.68	21.7	21.71	21.52	21.48	21.47	21.51	21.46	21.47	21.43	21.45	21.5
DW7221W2	Castor Seam	129.25	1.07	130.32										21.52	21.58	21.67	21.7	21.69	21.47	21.46	21.45	21.48	21.43	21.47	21.45	21.45	21.58
DW7225W1	Tertiary	140.64	1.06	141.70										33.36	33.38	33.41	33.37	33.37	33.37	33.4	33.34	33.33	33.35	33.31	33.28	33.29	33.45
DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	140.69	1.07	141.76										32.87	32.87	32.86	32.84	32.79	32.76	32.8	32.84	32.85	32.82	32.8	32.75	32.79	32.79
DW7225W3	Castor Seam	140.7	1.04	141.74										32.36	32.3	32.3	32.3	32.24	32.19	32.15	32.32	32.32	32.26	32.27	32.23	32.26	32.25
DW7264W1	Tertiary	112.18	0.98	113.16										Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	No Access	No Access	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	112.24	0.98	113.22										22.31	22.27	22.47	22.46	No Access	No Access	22.37	22.39	22.4	22.37	22.34	22.32	22.32	22.3
DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	112.24	1.00	113.24										22.31	22.21	22.36	22.4	No Access	No Access	22.3	22.31	22.34	22.29	22.27	22.26	22.11	22.22
DW7282W1	Overburden	115.84	1.00	116.84										27.34	27.325	27.29	27.26	No Access	27.15	27.19	27.14	27.15	27.15	27.12	27.04	27.06	27.02
DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	115.83	0.99	116.82										27.17	27.28	27.19	27.13	No Access	27.01	27.05	27.02	27.03	27.01	26.98	26.98	26.93	26.89
DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	113.58	0.83	114.41										12.26	12.32	12.43	Dry	No Access	No Access	12.47	12.41	12.39	12.33	12.23	12.16	12.27	12.32

Appendix C-1: pH, EC, TDS, Major Ion Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	pH		Electrical Conductivity		TDS	Major Ions										Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons					
				Lab	Field	Lab	Field	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	Na	Ca	Mg	K	Cl	SO4	Carbonate Alkalinity	Bicarbonate Alkalinity	Hydroxide Alkalinity	Total Alkalinity	C6 - C9 Fraction	C10 - C14 Fraction	C15 - C28 Fraction	C29 - C36 Fraction	C10 - C36 Fraction (sum)	
				Unit	Unit	µS/cm	µS/cm	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
		Livestock Trigger Levels (ANZECC 2000)		n/a	n/a	5970	5970	4000	n/a	1000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	28-Jul-2020	7.98	7.36	14100	14747	9500	3120	40	261	14	3810	191	<1	2020	<1	2020						
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	31-Aug-2020	8.06	7.29	14400	14448	9470	3610	35	336	17	3620	186	<1	3100	<1	3100						
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	28-Sep-2020	7.81	7.29	14300	14282	9390	3120	35	285	16	3500	192	<1	3200	<1	3200						
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	22-Oct-2020	7.73	7.22	14300	14509	9340	2850	30	245	16	3670	180	<1	3420	<1	3420						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	26-Sep-2019	7.9	6.75	7210	7214	4220	1240	122	205	6	2220	78	<1	727	<1	727						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	30-Oct-2019	7.3	6.66	7300	7001	4280	1190	96	183	6	2210	81	<1	724	<1	724						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	26-Nov-2019	7.72	6.61	7730	7253	4350	1210	106	200	6	2280	87	<1	721	<1	721						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	18-Dec-2019	7.3	6.65	7380	7320	4700	1280	104	203	6	2240	93	<1	711	<1	711						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	20-Feb-2020	7.3	6.74	7380	7303	4330	1240	93	189	6	2220	75	<1	672	<1	672						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	20-Mar-2020	7.21	6.78	7230	7238	4290	1190	100	189	6	1950	76	<1	682	<1	682						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	28-Apr-2020	7.24	6.68	7570	7607	4780	1230	103	188	7	2300	86	<1	663	<1	663	<20	<300	440	<300	440	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	20-May-2020	7	6.77	7180	7390	4470	1190	94	179	6	2190	77	<1	687	<1	687						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	17-Jun-2020	7.42	7.47	7450	7748	4320	1240	116	191	6	2210	85	<1	550	<1	550						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	15-Jul-2020	7.14	6.77	7560	7643	4470	1270	113	200	6	2250	90	<1	697	<1	697						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	21-Aug-2020	7.54	6.71	8000	7923	4730	1320	126	214	7	2360	89	<1	740	<1	740						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	24-Sep-2020	7.69	6.68	7770	7788	4650	1310	100	204	6	2310	89	<1	710	<1	710						
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	21-Oct-2020	7.25	6.67	7430	7537	4550	1140	102	185	6	2170	77	<1	716	<1	716						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	26-Sep-2019	7.86	6.8	14800	14884	10800	2510	443	428	12	5290	202	<1	537	<1	537						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	30-Oct-2019	7.37	6.68	15000	14393	9540	2380	335	377	10	5310	311	<1	540	<1	540						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	26-Nov-2019	7.69	6.61	15500	14698	10400	2470	325	411	11	5280	333	<1	584	<1	584						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	18-Dec-2019	7.14	6.49	15200	15378	12100	2580	313	409	12	5310	294	<1	582	<1	582						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	20-Feb-2020	7.46	6.82	15200	15152	10600	2530	289	386	12	5430	223	<1	537	<1	537						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	20-Mar-2020	7.24	6.78	15200	15017	9750	2510	283	402	11	5020	227	<1	550	<1	550						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	28-Apr-2020	7.14	6.68	14500	15061	10400	2240	280	354	11	5200	210	<1	538	<1	538	<20	<50	<100	<50	<50	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	20-May-2020	7.08	6.78	14500	15015	9600	2340	284	367	11	5200	200	<1	542	<1	542						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	17-Jun-2020	7.44	7.49	14900	15733	9180	2450	388	380	11	5090	250	<1	563	<1	563						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	15-Jul-2020	7.17	6.75	15000	15334	10300	2470	398	405	11	5110	210	<1	522	<1	522						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	21-Aug-2020	7.44	6.7	15000	15277	9680	2380	391	389	11	5220	231	<1	608	<1	608						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	24-Sep-2020	7.69	6.69	15300	15256	10300	2520	266	402	11	5240	207	<1	552	<1	552						
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	21-Oct-2020	7.32	6.66	15100	15265	9950	2200	276	380	11	5200	211	<1	555	<1	555						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	26-Sep-2019	7.74	6.68	15200	15280	10600	2650	511	382	14	5270	654	<1	607	<1	607						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	30-Oct-2019	7.33	6.63	15200	14571	10500	2460	388	340	11	5250	642	<1	621	<1	621						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	26-Nov-2019	7.66	6.59	14800	14103	9760	2450	358	357	13	4880	612	<1	665	<1	665						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	18-Dec-2019	7.13	6.47	14600	14800	10500	2540	340	365	12	4930	603	<1	745	<1	745						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	20-Feb-2020	7.36	6.71	15100	15099	10400	2600	331	352	12	5180	516	<1	741	<1	741						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	20-Mar-2020	7.22	6.82	14600	12973	9780	2600	319	335	12	4630	494	<1	755	<1	755						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	28-Apr-2020	7.15	6.69	14500	15100	9980	2360	309	339	11	4900	424	<1	693	<1	693	<20	<50	160	<50	160	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	20-May-2020	7.07	6.76	14400	14881	9460	2380	304	334	11	4940	425	<1	725	<1	725						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	17-Jun-2020	7.39	7.12	14500	15095	8860	2410	409	339	12	4680	470	<1	706	<1	706						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	15-Jul-2020	7.1	6.72	14600	14983	9540	2440	419	356	11	4810	395	<1	754	<1	754						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	21-Aug-2020	7.35	6.7	13500	13611	8740	2140	365	313	11	4450	347	<1	715	<1	715						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	24-Sep-2020	7.65	6.7	14700	14988	10000	2480	283	375	11	4840	417	<1	685	<1	685						
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	21-Oct-2020	7.31	6.64	14500	14750	9370	2110	273	320	10	4860	343	<1	699	<1	699						
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	26-Sep-2019	7.74	6.65	14200	14132	9540	2340	326	371	15	5020	319	<1	421	<1	421						
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	30-Oct-2019	7.25	6.56	14300	13785	8820	2310	264	346	13	4960	325	<1	615	<1	615						
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	26-Nov-2019	7.64	6.5	14300	13574	9110	2420	248	372	15	4790	296	<1	640	<1	640						
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	18-Dec-2019	7.05	6.24	14400	14528	10600	2420	260	407	15	4950	314	<1	652	<1	652						
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	31-Mar-2020	7.2	6.64	12600	13516	8220	2260	215	357	13	4070	430	<1	712	<1	712						
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	28-Apr-2020	7.08	6.53	13100	13547	9360	2070	232	357	13	4430	347	<1	666	<1	666	<20	<50	140	<50	140	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	20-May-2020	7.05	6.67	13200	13579	8650	2160	226	355	13	4450	358	<1	731	<1	731						
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	17-Jun-2020	7.4	7.27	13600	14335	8350	2250	287	382	14	4480	361	<1	640	<1	640						
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	15-Jul-2020	7.06	6.66	13400	13801	8670	2280	294	399	13	4410	376	<1	786	<1	786						
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	21-Aug-2020	7.29	6.59	13600	13790	8700	2100	274	381	13	4490	351	<1	748	<1	748						

Appendix C-1: pH, EC, TDS, Major Ion Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	pH		Electrical Conductivity		TDS	Major Ions										Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons				
				Lab	Field	Lab	Field	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	Na	Ca	Mg	K	Cl	SO4	Carbonate Alkalinity	Bicarbonate Alkalinity	Hydroxide Alkalinity	Total Alkalinity	C6 - C9 Fraction	C10 - C14 Fraction	C15 - C28 Fraction	C29 - C36 Fraction	C10 - C36 Fraction (sum)
				Unit	Unit	µS/cm	µS/cm	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Livestock Trigger Levels (ANZECC 2000)				n/a	n/a	5970	5970	4000	n/a	1000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	21-Sep-2020	7.8	6.51	32000	32418	25300	5820	627	806	22	11400	598	<1	432	<1	432					
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-Oct-2020	7.33	6.48	32000	32489	23500	5640	651	765	22	11300	580	<1	419	<1	419					
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	25-Sep-2019	7.85	6.72	5980	5948	3460	1090	32	116	3	1640	178	<1	490	<1	490					
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	28-Oct-2019	7.32	6.25	3140	3054	2350	574	18	50	1	906	52	<1	186	<1	186					
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	25-Nov-2019	7.16	5.93	2460	2315	1520	428	16	33	1	700	31	<1	170	<1	170					
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	31-Mar-2020	6.46	5.81	1980	2009	1170	348	17	28	1	563	18	<1	100	<1	100					
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	27-Apr-2020	6.39	5.86	2080	2152	1370	359	14	29	1	646	21	<1	103	<1	103	<20	<50	100	60	160
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	18-May-2020	6.04	5.76	1610	1669	986	275	6	20	<1	513	12	<1	67	<1	67					
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	17-Jun-2020	6.64	5.78	1760	1765	970	308	8	23	<1	521	16	<1	71	<1	71					
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	13-Jul-2020	6.29	5.93	2020	2067	1220	372	7	28	<1	618	18	<1	96	<1	96					
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	18-Aug-2020	6.7	5.9	2060	2060	1150	348	7	27	<1	623	17	<1	89	<1	89					
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	21-Sep-2020	6.82	5.58	1660	1648	995	284	6	21	<1	506	17	<1	68	<1	68					
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	19-Oct-2020	6.12	5.53	1560	1594	932	267	5	22	<1	501	10	<1	54	<1	54					

Appendix C-2: Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (diss)	As (diss)	Ba (diss)	Be (diss)	B (diss)	Cd (diss)	Cr (diss)	Co (diss)	Cu (diss)	Fe (diss)	Pb (diss)	Mn (diss)	Hg (diss)	Mo (diss)	Ni (diss)	Se (diss)	Ag (diss)	U (diss)	V (diss)	Zn (diss)		
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
		ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection				0.055	0.013			0.37	0.002	0.001				1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005				0.008
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	12-Dec-2018	0.01	0.023	0.076	<0.001	1.22	0.0002	<0.001	0.019	0.081	2.48	0.007	0.312	<0.0001	0.002	0.022	<0.01	<0.001	0.016	<0.01	0.21		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	07-Jan-2019	<0.01	0.029	0.168	<0.001	1.2	0.0001	<0.001	0.011	0.005	2.82	<0.001	0.247	<0.0001	0.002	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	0.176		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	18-Feb-2019	<0.01	0.02	0.117	<0.001	1.37	<0.0001	<0.001	0.009	0.002	4.41	<0.001	0.227	<0.0001	0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.03		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	0.012	0.075	<0.005	1.21	<0.0005	<0.005	0.009	<0.005	3.99	<0.005	0.295	<0.0001	<0.005	0.011	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.075		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	17-Apr-2019	<0.01	0.019	0.105	<0.001	1.27	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	0.001	3.43	<0.001	0.249	<0.0005	0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.094		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	13-May-2019	<0.01	0.019	0.279	<0.001	1.25	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	0.003	3.74	<0.001	0.327	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.136		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	19-Jun-2019	<0.01	0.018	0.184	<0.001	1.1	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.001	3.99	<0.001	0.318	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.103		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	0.006	0.276	<0.005	1.29	<0.0005	<0.005	0.005	<0.005	1.55	<0.005	0.397	<0.0001	<0.005	0.013	<0.05	<0.005	0.005	<0.05	0.105		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	26-Aug-2019	<0.01	0.018	0.477	<0.001	1.25	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.001	4.37	<0.001	0.293	<0.0001	0.006	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.07		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.015	0.255	<0.001	1.32	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.001	4.07	<0.001	0.313	<0.0001	0.002	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.101		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Oct-2019	<0.05	0.011	0.123	<0.005	1.3	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.13	<0.005	0.283	<0.0001	<0.005	0.007	<0.05	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	0.067		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	20-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.009	0.944	<0.001	1.17	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	1.9	<0.001	0.526	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.042		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	16-Mar-2020	<0.05	0.019	0.177	<0.005	1.35	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	4.98	<0.005	0.332	<0.0001	<0.005	0.032	<0.05	<0.005	0.007	<0.05	0.064		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Apr-2020	<0.01	0.013	0.089	<0.001	1.14	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.002	3.53	<0.001	0.255	<0.0001	0.001	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.126		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	25-May-2020	<0.01	0.015	0.121	<0.001	1.16	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.001	3.1	<0.001	0.264	<0.0001	0.002	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.185		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	30-Jun-2020	<0.01	0.012	0.11	<0.001	1.11	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	3.41	0.002	0.262	<0.0001	0.003	0.027	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.264		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	28-Jul-2020	<0.01	0.005	0.093	<0.001	1.33	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	0.004	3.04	<0.001	0.24	<0.0001	0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.086		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	31-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.012	0.128	<0.001	1.26	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.001	3.8	<0.001	0.284	<0.0001	0.001	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.103		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	28-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.008	0.092	<0.001	1.34	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	0.002	3.27	<0.001	0.252	<0.0001	<0.001	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.066		
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	21-Oct-2020	<0.01	0.012	0.1	<0.001	0.91	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	3.98	<0.001	0.249	<0.0001	0.001	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.034		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	12-Dec-2018	<0.01	0.006	0.06	<0.001	1.26	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	0.047	1.99	0.004	0.107	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.105		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	07-Jan-2019	<0.05	0.012	0.087	<0.005	1.48	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.009	2.85	<0.005	0.119	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.147		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	18-Feb-2019	<0.01	0.012	0.077	<0.001	1.44	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.002	4.23	<0.001	0.104	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.047		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	0.008	0.066	<0.005	1.22	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.61	<0.005	0.103	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.062		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	17-Apr-2019	<0.01	0.008	0.068	<0.001	1.34	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	3.35	<0.001	0.105	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.066		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	13-May-2019	<0.01	0.007	0.133	<0.001	1.23	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.014	3.02	<0.001	0.114	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.094		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	19-Jun-2019	<0.01	0.004	0.096	<0.001	1.2	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.005	0.43	<0.001	0.087	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.088		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.129	<0.005	1.38	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	2.16	<0.005	0.151	<0.0001	<0.005	0.009	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.143		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	26-Aug-2019	<0.01	0.006	0.183	<0.001	1.32	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	3	<0.001	0.114	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.059		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.007	0.122	<0.001	1.33	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.004	2.55	<0.001	0.116	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.077		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Oct-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.093	<0.005	1.34	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.016	0.46	<0.005	0.115	<0.0001	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.077		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	20-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.004	0.267	<0.001	1.26	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.23	<0.001	0.145	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.074		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	16-Mar-2020	<0.05	0.006	0.099	<0.005	1.29	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.084	4.57	<0.005	0.127	<0.0001	<0.005	0.024	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.111		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Apr-2020	<0.01	0.006	0.071	<0.001	1.19	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.069	3.81	<0.001	0.102	<0.0001	<0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.153		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	25-May-2020	<0.01	0.006	0.08	<0.001	1.28	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.3	<0.001	0.114	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.101		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	30-Jun-2020	<0.01	0.005	0.078	<0.001	1.12	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.92	<0.001	0.099	<0.0001	0.002	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.107		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	28-Jul-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.076	<0.001	1.19	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.011	2.72	<0.001	0.101	<0.0001	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.151		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	31-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.005	0.076	<0.001	1.32	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.004	3.05	<0.001	0.122	<0.0001	0.002	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.061		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	28-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.001	0.084	<0.001	1.31	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.061	2.18	<0.001	0.128	<0.0001	<0.001	0.021	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.118		
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	21-Oct-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.086	<0.001	0.76	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.05	<0.001	0.11	<0.0001	0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.037		
2	DW7068W	Tertiary sediments	12-Dec-2018	<0.01	<0.001	0.203	<0.001	1.16	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.00													

Appendix C-2: Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (diss)	As (diss)	Ba (diss)	Be (diss)	B (diss)	Cd (diss)	Cr (diss)	Co (diss)	Cu (diss)	Fe (diss)	Pb (diss)	Mn (diss)	Hg (diss)	Mo (diss)	Ni (diss)	Se (diss)	Ag (diss)	U (diss)	V (diss)	Zn (diss)	
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection				0.055	0.013			0.37	0.0002	0.001		0.0014		0.0034	1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005				0.008
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	13-May-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.104	<0.001	1.23	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.011	2.22	<0.001	0.161	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.07	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	19-Jun-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.081	<0.001	1.18	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.005	2.34	<0.001	0.157	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.101	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.085	<0.005	1.34	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	1.83	<0.005	0.159	<0.0001	<0.005	0.007	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.129	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	26-Aug-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.103	<0.001	1.3	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	2.63	<0.001	0.158	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.045	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	23-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.004	0.088	<0.001	1.3	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	2.07	<0.001	0.15	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.067	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	23-Oct-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.071	<0.005	1.26	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.015	1.82	<0.005	0.162	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.058	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	20-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.17	<0.001	1.14	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.41	<0.001	0.186	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.076	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	16-Mar-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.083	<0.005	1.18	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.078	2.55	<0.005	0.205	<0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.098	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	23-Apr-2020	<0.01	0.001	0.094	<0.001	1.12	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.112	0.13	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	0.003	0.036	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.212	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	25-May-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.073	<0.001	1.2	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.33	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.099	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	30-Jun-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.082	<0.001	1.08	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.015	2.47	0.004	0.202	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.067	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	28-Jul-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.081	<0.001	1.24	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.007	2.33	<0.001	0.196	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.061	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	31-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.068	<0.001	1.24	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.006	2.18	<0.001	0.185	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.042	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	28-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.001	0.072	<0.001	1.33	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.008	1.81	<0.001	0.194	<0.0001	0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.052	
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	22-Oct-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.071	<0.001	0.77	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	2.44	<0.001	0.178	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.042	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	13-Dec-2018	<0.01	<0.001	0.116	<0.001	1.04	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.047	1.13	<0.001	0.306	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.103	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	07-Jan-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.118	<0.001	0.94	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.018	1.85	<0.001	0.325	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.096	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	18-Feb-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.132	<0.001	1.18	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.013	3.52	<0.001	0.339	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.097	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.122	<0.005	0.88	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	3.99	<0.005	0.352	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.068	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	17-Apr-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.11	<0.001	1.05	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	4.22	<0.001	0.337	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.058	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	13-May-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.153	<0.001	0.99	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.007	3.95	<0.001	0.323	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.048	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	19-Jun-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.119	<0.001	1.07	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	3.94	<0.001	0.334	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.084	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.123	<0.005	1.15	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.37	<0.005	0.335	<0.0001	<0.005	0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.118	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	26-Aug-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.137	<0.001	1.02	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.84	<0.001	0.315	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.038	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	23-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.12	<0.001	1.03	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	3.3	<0.001	0.316	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.052	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	23-Oct-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.113	<0.005	1.04	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.016	2.73	<0.005	0.336	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.043	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	20-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.155	<0.001	0.89	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	1.95	<0.001	0.315	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.074	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	16-Mar-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.153	<0.005	0.92	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.095	4.31	<0.005	0.405	<0.0001	<0.005	0.009	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.11	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	23-Apr-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.121	<0.001	0.88	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.131	3.78	<0.001	0.378	<0.0001	0.002	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.17	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	25-May-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.114	<0.001	1.06	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.16	<0.001	0.323	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.06	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	30-Jun-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.137	<0.001	0.95	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.019	3.08	0.003	0.355	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.079	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	28-Jul-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.13	<0.001	0.95	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	3.29	<0.001	0.378	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.07	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	31-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.128	<0.001	1.02	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.006	3.87	<0.001	0.375	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.057	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	28-Sep-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.129	<0.001	1.08	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.005	2.94	<0.001	0.386	<0.0001	0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.06	
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	22-Oct-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.134	<0.001	0.6	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	2.95	<0.001	0.361	<0.0001	0.002	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.063	
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	13-Dec-2018	0.02	0.001	0.079	<0.001	1.33	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.032	<0.05	0.002	0.216	<0.0001	0.01	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.087	
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	07-Jan-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.086	<0.001	1.19	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.015	0.08	<0.001	0.24	<0.0001	0.008	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.065	
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	18-Feb-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.086	<0.001	1.45	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.013	0.29	<0.001	0.243	<0.0001	0.007	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.065	
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.072	<0.005	1.13	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	1.47	<0.005	0.249	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.043	
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	17-Apr-2019	<0.01	0.005	0.068	<0.001	1.3	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	1.74	<0.001	0.228	<0.0001	0.005	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01</		

Appendix C-2: Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (diss)	As (diss)	Ba (diss)	Be (diss)	B (diss)	Cd (diss)	Cr (diss)	Co (diss)	Cu (diss)	Fe (diss)	Pb (diss)	Mn (diss)	Hg (diss)	Mo (diss)	Ni (diss)	Se (diss)	Ag (diss)	U (diss)	V (diss)	Zn (diss)	
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection				0.055	0.013			0.37	0.0002	0.001		0.0014		0.0034	1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005				0.008
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	13-May-2019	0.01	0.002	0.232	<0.001	4.03	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.015	0.13	<0.001	0.063	<0.0001	0.002	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	0.053	0.01	0.01	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	19-Jun-2019	0.05	0.003	0.186	<0.001	3.69	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.018	0.15	<0.001	0.09	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.058	0.02	0.025	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.2	<0.005	4.56	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.009	<0.05	<0.005	0.064	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	0.041	<0.05	<0.025	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	26-Aug-2019	0.06	0.002	0.189	<0.001	3.98	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.002	<0.05	<0.001	0.07	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.04	0.01	0.019	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	23-Sep-2019	0.02	0.002	0.136	<0.001	2.45	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.049	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.041	0.01	0.008	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	23-Oct-2019	0.09	0.002	0.167	<0.001	3.86	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.051	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.032	0.02	0.011	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	20-Nov-2019	0.02	0.002	0.149	<0.001	4.65	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.03	<0.0001	0.001	0.001	<0.01	<0.001	0.042	0.02	0.008	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	31-Mar-2020	0.06	0.003	0.163	<0.001	4.5	<0.0001	0.001	0.001	<0.001	0.09	<0.001	0.117	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.005	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	23-Apr-2020	0.02	0.003	0.187	<0.001	4.22	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	0.07	<0.001	0.224	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.021	<0.01	<0.005	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	25-May-2020	0.05	0.003	0.168	<0.001	4.38	<0.0001	0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.1	<0.001	0.217	<0.0001	0.009	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.026	<0.01	<0.005	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	30-Jun-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.24	<0.001	0.66	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.1	<0.001	0.23	<0.0001	0.003	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.033	<0.01	<0.005	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	28-Jul-2020	0.05	0.003	0.202	<0.001	4.48	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.289	<0.0001	0.003	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.025	<0.01	<0.005	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	31-Aug-2020	0.06	0.002	0.217	<0.001	4.67	<0.0001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.07	<0.001	0.218	<0.0001	0.003	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.005	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	28-Sep-2020	0.04	0.002	0.179	<0.001	4.81	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.06	<0.001	0.138	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.02	<0.01	<0.005	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	22-Oct-2020	0.02	0.002	0.164	<0.001	3.08	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.07	<0.001	0.077	<0.0001	0.003	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	<0.005	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	26-Sep-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.125	<0.001	0.85	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.019	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.008	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	30-Oct-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.159	<0.001	0.8	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.004	<0.05	<0.001	0.012	<0.0001	0.004	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.044	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	26-Nov-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.144	<0.001	0.84	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.003	0.08	<0.001	0.033	<0.0001	0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.008	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	18-Dec-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.104	<0.001	0.82	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.041	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.005	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	20-Feb-2020	0.01	<0.001	0.151	<0.001	0.79	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.38	<0.001	0.013	<0.0001	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.007	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	20-Mar-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.156	<0.001	0.77	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.147	<0.05	<0.001	0.028	0.0004	0.001	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.108	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	28-Apr-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.16	<0.001	0.72	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.023	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.023	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	20-May-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.136	<0.001	0.86	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.12	<0.001	0.009	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.009	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	17-Jun-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.156	<0.001	0.79	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.016	<0.0001	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.079	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	15-Jul-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.146	<0.001	0.84	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.12	<0.001	0.013	<0.0001	0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.014	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	21-Aug-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.15	<0.001	0.83	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.024	<0.0001	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.01	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	24-Sep-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.119	<0.001	0.9	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.08	<0.001	0.015	<0.0001	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.014	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	21-Oct-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.157	<0.001	0.63	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.16	<0.001	0.01	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.014	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	26-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.142	<0.001	0.88	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	0.49	<0.001	0.238	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.008	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	30-Oct-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.161	<0.001	0.92	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.34	<0.001	0.279	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.005	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	26-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.138	<0.001	0.98	<0.0001	0.006	<0.001	<0.001	2.59	<0.001	0.293	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.005	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	18-Dec-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.154	<0.001	0.95	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.78	<0.001	0.303	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.007	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	20-Feb-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.15	<0.001	0.89	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.09	<0.001	0.251	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.005	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	20-Mar-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.155	<0.001	0.9	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.63	<0.001	0.251	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.018	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	28-Apr-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.172	<0.001	0.66	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.65	<0.001	0.241	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.005	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	20-May-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.155	<0.001	1.05	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.74	<0.001	0.244	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.005	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	17-Jun-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.187	<0.001	0.85	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.92	<0.001	0.282	<0.0001	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.016	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	15-Jul-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.175	<0.001	0.92	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.91	<0.001	0.254	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.021	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	21-Aug-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.163	<0.001	0.92	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.66	<0.001	0.268	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.019	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	24-Sep-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.153	<0.001	0.94	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.91	<0.001	0.257	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.0			

Appendix C-2: Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (diss) mg/L	As (diss) mg/L	Ba (diss) mg/L	Be (diss) mg/L	B (diss) mg/L	Cd (diss) mg/L	Cr (diss) mg/L	Co (diss) mg/L	Cu (diss) mg/L	Fe (diss) mg/L	Pb (diss) mg/L	Mn (diss) mg/L	Hg (diss) mg/L	Mo (diss) mg/L	Ni (diss) mg/L	Se (diss) mg/L	Ag (diss) mg/L	U (diss) mg/L	V (diss) mg/L	Zn (diss) mg/L	
ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection				0.055	0.013			0.37	0.0002	0.001		0.0014		0.0034	1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005				0.008
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	20-May-2020	<0.01	0.001	0.109	<0.001	0.95	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.74	<0.001	0.262	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	17-Jun-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.112	<0.001	0.84	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.14	<0.001	0.194	<0.0001	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	15-Jul-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.1	<0.001	0.92	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.94	<0.001	0.251	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.007	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	21-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.001	0.104	<0.001	0.98	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.12	<0.001	0.235	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.014	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	24-Sep-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.08	<0.001	0.92	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.22	<0.001	0.227	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	21-Oct-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.115	<0.001	0.64	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.29	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.006	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	24-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.019	0.1	<0.001	0.78	0.0007	<0.001	0.01	0.016	0.36	<0.001	0.217	<0.0001	2.85	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.092	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	24-Oct-2019	<0.01	0.039	0.07	<0.001	0.94	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	0.004	0.96	<0.001	0.231	<0.0001	0.629	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.033	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	21-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.025	0.107	<0.001	0.82	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	0.001	1.17	<0.001	0.247	<0.0001	0.213	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.046	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	13-Dec-2019	<0.01	0.021	0.282	<0.001	0.58	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.003	2.76	<0.001	0.242	<0.0001	0.141	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.036	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	19-Feb-2020	<0.01	0.016	0.101	<0.001	0.59	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	0.001	2.24	<0.001	0.224	<0.0001	0.076	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.012	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	17-Mar-2020	<0.01	0.013	0.127	<0.001	0.64	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.002	2.95	<0.001	0.191	<0.0001	0.164	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.005	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	29-Apr-2020	<0.01	0.008	0.142	<0.001	0.77	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.35	<0.001	0.145	<0.0001	0.096	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.064	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	20-May-2020	<0.01	0.009	0.149	<0.001	0.75	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.18	<0.001	0.166	<0.0001	0.082	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.027	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	30-Jun-2020	<0.01	0.006	0.09	<0.001	0.7	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.99	<0.001	0.166	<0.0001	0.08	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.019	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	15-Jul-2020	<0.01	0.005	0.249	<0.001	0.67	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.14	<0.001	0.159	<0.0001	0.095	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.048	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	20-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.005	0.143	<0.001	0.67	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	3.46	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	0.078	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.057	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	23-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.004	0.16	<0.001	0.63	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.32	<0.001	0.163	<0.0001	0.052	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.049	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	20-Oct-2020	<0.01	0.003	0.146	<0.001	0.54	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.3	<0.001	0.133	<0.0001	0.051	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.007	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	24-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.005	0.084	<0.001	0.95	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.011	0.44	<0.001	0.161	<0.0001	0.179	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.055	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	24-Oct-2019	<0.01	0.012	0.067	<0.001	0.6	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	0.005	0.83	<0.001	0.153	<0.0001	0.163	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.027	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	21-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.009	0.096	<0.001	0.95	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	0.84	<0.001	0.166	<0.0001	0.112	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.049	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	13-Dec-2019	<0.01	0.009	0.122	0.001	0.7	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	1.36	<0.001	0.171	<0.0001	0.099	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.032	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	19-Feb-2020	<0.01	0.014	0.082	<0.001	0.77	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	0.002	2.2	<0.001	0.145	<0.0001	0.054	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.034	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	17-Mar-2020	<0.01	0.01	0.079	<0.001	0.73	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.001	2.72	<0.001	0.142	<0.0001	0.036	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	29-Apr-2020	<0.01	0.009	0.101	<0.001	0.88	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.51	<0.001	0.147	<0.0001	0.032	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.032	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	20-May-2020	<0.01	0.007	0.093	<0.001	0.8	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.15	<0.001	0.132	<0.0001	0.026	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.034	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	30-Jun-2020	<0.01	0.008	0.074	<0.001	0.84	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.1	<0.001	0.134	<0.0001	0.034	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.015	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	15-Jul-2020	<0.01	0.007	0.103	<0.001	0.81	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.23	<0.001	0.139	<0.0001	0.041	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.042	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	20-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.006	0.099	<0.001	0.78	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.52	<0.001	0.141	<0.0001	0.036	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.06	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	23-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.005	0.089	<0.001	0.76	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2	<0.001	0.133	<0.0001	0.023	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.038	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	20-Oct-2020	<0.01	0.004	0.105	<0.001	0.57	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.63	<0.001	0.141	<0.0001	0.019	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.074	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	24-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.005	0.494	<0.001	0.44	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.95	<0.001	0.078	<0.0001	0.003	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	0.005	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	24-Oct-2019	<0.01	0.017	0.22	<0.001	0.48	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.76	<0.001	0.173	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.007	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	21-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.02	0.499	<0.001	0.63	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.96	<0.001	0.221	<0.0001	0.006	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.021	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	16-Dec-2019	<0.01	0.016	0.398	<0.001	0.49	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.22	<0.001	0.421	<0.0001	0.005	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.033	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	29-Jan-2020	0.04	0.037	0.429	<0.001	0.44	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.004	1.83	<0.001	0.256	<0.0001	0.002	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.021	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	19-Feb-2020	0.02	0.01	0.478	<0.001	0.43	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.099	<0.0001	0.004	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.005	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	18-Mar-2020	0.04	0.029	0.628	<0.001	0.5	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	0.65	<0.001	0.177	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.017	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	29-Apr-2020	<0.01	0.015	0.826	<0.001	0.56	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	0.16	<0.001	0.131	<0.0001	0.003	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.015	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	19-May-2020	0.01	0.016	0.951	<0.001	0.62	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	0.06	<0.001	0.141	<0.0001	0.003	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.005	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	15-Jun-2020	0.05	0.018	0.822	<0.001	0.44	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	0.37	<0.001	0.091	<0.0001	0.004	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.024	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	14-Jul-2020	0.06	0.012	1.19	<0.001	0.47	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	0.13	<0.001	0.113	<0.0001	0.003	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.005	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	19-Aug-2020	0.04	0.02	1.38	<0.001	0.44	<0.0001	0.006	<0.001	<0.001	0.06	<0.001	0.066	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.007	
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	22-Sep-2020	0.04	0.033	1.73	<0.001	0.45																

Appendix C-2: Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (diss) mg/L	As (diss) mg/L	Ba (diss) mg/L	Be (diss) mg/L	B (diss) mg/L	Cd (diss) mg/L	Cr (diss) mg/L	Co (diss) mg/L	Cu (diss) mg/L	Fe (diss) mg/L	Pb (diss) mg/L	Mn (diss) mg/L	Hg (diss) mg/L	Mo (diss) mg/L	Ni (diss) mg/L	Se (diss) mg/L	Ag (diss) mg/L	U (diss) mg/L	V (diss) mg/L	Zn (diss) mg/L
ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection				0.055	0.013			0.37	0.0002	0.001		0.0014		0.0034	1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005			0.008
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	25-Sep-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.616	<0.001	0.8	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.15	<0.001	0.097	<0.0001	0.006	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.006
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	28-Oct-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.537	<0.001	0.79	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.45	<0.001	0.095	<0.0001	0.005	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	<0.005
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	21-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.522	<0.001	0.97	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.61	<0.001	0.133	<0.0001	0.007	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.008
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	16-Dec-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.723	<0.001	0.66	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.6	<0.001	0.229	<0.0001	0.003	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.006
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	29-Jan-2020	0.01	0.002	0.572	<0.001	0.62	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.96	<0.001	0.12	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.005
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	19-Feb-2020	<0.01	0.003	0.506	<0.001	0.64	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.81	<0.001	0.09	<0.0001	0.003	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	31-Mar-2020	0.02	<0.001	0.552	<0.001	0.75	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.75	<0.001	0.102	<0.0001	0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	29-Apr-2020	0.01	<0.001	0.711	<0.001	0.78	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.49	<0.001	0.122	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.005
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	19-May-2020	0.02	<0.001	0.498	<0.001	0.86	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	0.25	<0.001	0.098	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.007
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	15-Jun-2020	0.02	<0.001	0.481	<0.001	0.84	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.87	<0.001	0.088	<0.0001	0.004	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	14-Jul-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.619	<0.001	0.87	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	4.26	<0.001	0.086	<0.0001	0.004	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.006
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	19-Aug-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.59	<0.001	0.91	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.83	<0.001	0.091	<0.0001	0.004	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.01
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	22-Sep-2020	0.02	0.002	1.14	<0.001	0.95	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.73	<0.001	0.108	<0.0001	0.003	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	<0.005
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	20-Oct-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.322	<0.001	0.71	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.98	<0.001	0.074	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.026
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	26-Sep-2019	0.08	0.005	0.026	<0.001	0.3	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.05	<0.001	0.003	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	24-Oct-2019	0.04	0.004	0.036	<0.001	0.29	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.005	<0.0001	0.021	0.001	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	25-Nov-2019	0.03	0.003	0.024	<0.001	0.29	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.06	<0.001	0.005	<0.0001	0.019	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	13-Dec-2019	0.04	0.003	0.035	<0.001	0.29	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.004	<0.0001	0.022	<0.001	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	31-Jan-2020	0.03	0.002	0.075	<0.001	0.2	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.006	<0.0001	0.011	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	19-Feb-2020	0.05	0.002	0.088	<0.001	0.27	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.005	<0.0001	0.011	<0.001	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	18-Mar-2020	0.02	0.002	0.052	<0.001	0.32	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.09	<0.001	0.014	<0.0001	0.009	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.006
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	28-Apr-2020	0.04	0.001	0.141	<0.001	0.26	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.012	<0.0001	0.01	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	20-May-2020	0.04	0.002	0.09	<0.001	0.3	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	<0.05	<0.001	0.005	<0.0001	0.008	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	16-Jun-2020	0.05	0.002	0.109	<0.001	0.3	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.004	<0.0001	0.008	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	14-Jul-2020	0.04	0.002	0.067	<0.001	0.34	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.004	<0.0001	0.008	0.001	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	18-Aug-2020	0.04	0.002	0.067	<0.001	0.3	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.003	<0.0001	0.007	0.001	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	22-Sep-2020	0.04	0.002	0.078	<0.001	0.25	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.005	<0.0001	0.005	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	20-Oct-2020	0.04	0.001	0.138	<0.001	0.26	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.007	<0.0001	0.006	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	27-Sep-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.27	<0.001	1.43	<0.0001	0.003	0.002	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.007	<0.0001	0.004	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.018
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	25-Oct-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.215	<0.001	1.27	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.018	<0.0001	0.005	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.012
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	26-Nov-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.249	<0.001	1.36	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.001	0.07	<0.001	0.007	<0.0001	0.003	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.033
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	18-Dec-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.258	<0.001	1.37	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.017	<0.0001	0.004	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.007
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	29-Jan-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.186	<0.001	1.26	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	2.28	<0.001	0.013	<0.0001	0.002	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.061
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	19-Feb-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.25	<0.001	1.3	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	3.29	<0.001	0.016	<0.0001	0.003	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.024
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	20-Mar-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.223	<0.001	1.25	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.067	<0.05	<0.001	0.014	<0.0001	0.002	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.092
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	27-Apr-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.223	<0.001	1.2	<0.0001	0.001	0.001	0.006	<0.05	<0.001	0.016	<0.0001	0.004	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.027
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	18-May-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.218	<0.001	1.61	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.002	0.06	<0.001	0.02	<0.0001	0.002	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.076
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	17-Jun-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.152	<0.001	1.24	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.002	0.06	<0.001	0.007	<0.0001	0.003	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.079
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	13-Jul-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.17	<0.001	1.37	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.013	<0.0001	0.004	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.057
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	18-Aug-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.176	<0.001	1.35	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.003	0.08	<0.001	0.012	<0.0001	0.003	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.076
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	21-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.001																		

Appendix C-2: Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (diss)	As (diss)	Ba (diss)	Be (diss)	B (diss)	Cd (diss)	Cr (diss)	Co (diss)	Cu (diss)	Fe (diss)	Pb (diss)	Mn (diss)	Hg (diss)	Mo (diss)	Ni (diss)	Se (diss)	Ag (diss)	U (diss)	V (diss)	Zn (diss)	
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection				0.055	0.013			0.37	0.0002	0.001		0.0014		0.0034	1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005				0.008
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	31-Jan-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.114	<0.001	0.12	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	0.006	0.3	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	0.008	0.039	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.235
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	21-Feb-2020	0.02	0.002	0.121	<0.001	0.13	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	0.31	<0.001	0.21	<0.0001	0.009	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.117
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	18-Mar-2020	0.02	0.001	0.13	<0.001	0.21	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.002	0.16	<0.001	0.206	<0.0001	0.007	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.066
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	30-Apr-2020	0.02	0.002	0.153	<0.001	0.14	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	0.37	<0.001	0.228	<0.0001	0.008	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.096
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	21-May-2020	0.02	0.002	0.13	<0.001	0.21	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	0.33	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	0.005	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.154
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	16-Jun-2020	0.02	0.002	0.145	<0.001	0.2	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	1.76	<0.001	0.182	<0.0001	0.005	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.158
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	16-Jul-2020	0.01	0.001	0.148	<0.001	0.24	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	0.31	<0.001	0.182	<0.0001	0.005	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.082
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	21-Aug-2020	0.01	0.002	0.15	<0.001	0.21	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.001	0.37	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	0.004	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.147
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	23-Sep-2020	0.02	0.001	0.142	<0.001	0.22	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	0.42	<0.001	0.173	<0.0001	0.004	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.091
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	19-Oct-2020	0.03	0.001	0.221	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.062	0.12	<0.001	0.181	<0.0001	0.003	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.37
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	25-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.004	0.079	<0.001	0.17	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	0.74	<0.001	0.201	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.072
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	25-Oct-2019	<0.01	0.005	0.051	<0.001	0.17	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.001	1.03	<0.001	0.218	<0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.058
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	22-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.004	0.068	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.001	1.16	<0.001	0.225	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.082
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	17-Dec-2019	<0.01	0.005	0.052	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.001	1.14	<0.001	0.22	<0.0001	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.099
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	30-Jan-2020	<0.01	0.007	0.075	<0.001	0.13	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.001	1.15	<0.001	0.231	<0.0001	<0.001	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.167
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	21-Feb-2020	<0.01	0.007	0.083	<0.001	0.08	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	0.002	1.3	<0.001	0.224	<0.0001	<0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.239
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	18-Mar-2020	<0.01	0.008	0.059	<0.001	0.14	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.001	1.46	<0.001	0.236	<0.0001	<0.001	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.109
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	30-Apr-2020	<0.01	0.008	0.093	<0.001	0.12	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.001	1.62	<0.001	0.25	<0.0001	<0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.124
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	21-May-2020	<0.01	0.008	0.068	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.001	1.66	<0.001	0.213	<0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.152
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	16-Jun-2020	0.02	0.007	0.081	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	<0.001	3.95	<0.001	0.207	<0.0001	<0.001	0.024	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.203
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	16-Jul-2020	<0.01	0.009	0.082	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.001	1.57	<0.001	0.204	<0.0001	0.002	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.092
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	21-Aug-2020	0.42	0.01	0.068	<0.001	0.17	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.001	2.5	<0.001	0.224	<0.0001	<0.001	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.189
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	23-Sep-2020	0.01	0.008	0.08	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.001	1.7	<0.001	0.192	<0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.081
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	19-Oct-2020	<0.01	0.01	0.094	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	0.04	1.95	<0.001	0.198	<0.0001	<0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.371
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	25-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.259	<0.001	0.97	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.53	<0.001	0.058	<0.0001	0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.027
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	25-Oct-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.104	<0.001	0.8	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.48	<0.001	0.117	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.017
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	22-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.136	<0.001	1.06	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.29	<0.001	0.08	<0.0001	0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.027
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	17-Dec-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.156	<0.001	1.02	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.89	<0.001	0.128	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.056
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	30-Jan-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.073	<0.001	0.81	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.38	<0.001	0.055	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.031
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	21-Feb-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.077	<0.001	0.69	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.3	<0.001	0.047	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.05
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	18-Mar-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.087	<0.001	0.95	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.26	<0.001	0.052	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.023
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	30-Apr-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.091	<0.001	1.06	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.52	<0.001	0.055	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.044
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	21-May-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.077	<0.001	1.09	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.3	<0.001	0.054	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.033
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	16-Jun-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.134	<0.001	0.87	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.27	<0.001	0.043	<0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.066
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	16-Jul-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.094	<0.001	0.88	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.3	<0.001	0.048	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.036
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	20-Aug-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.084	<0.001	0.91	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.56	<0.001	0.059	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.033
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	23-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.001	0.1	<0.001	1.01	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.39	<0.001	0.053	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.03
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	19-Oct-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.094	<0.001	0.77	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.026	1.11	<0.001	0.044	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.102
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	25-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.006	0.19	<0.001	0.24	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002													

Appendix C-2: Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (diss)	As (diss)	Ba (diss)	Be (diss)	B (diss)	Cd (diss)	Cr (diss)	Co (diss)	Cu (diss)	Fe (diss)	Pb (diss)	Mn (diss)	Hg (diss)	Mo (diss)	Ni (diss)	Se (diss)	Ag (diss)	U (diss)	V (diss)	Zn (diss)	
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection				0.055	0.013			0.37	0.0002	0.001		0.0014		0.0034	1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005				0.008
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	21-May-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.167	<0.001	0.73	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.54	<0.001	0.143	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.043
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	17-Jun-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.184	<0.001	0.63	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.83	<0.001	0.103	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.051
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	16-Jul-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.185	<0.001	0.67	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	2.57	<0.001	0.125	<0.0001	0.004	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.034
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	20-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.181	<0.001	0.62	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	2.53	<0.001	0.13	<0.0001	0.004	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.043
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	24-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.169	<0.001	0.73	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.04	<0.001	0.117	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.049
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	19-Oct-2020	0.02	0.001	0.184	<0.001	0.58	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.024	2	<0.001	0.09	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.125
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	24-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.138	<0.001	0.62	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.005	<0.05	<0.001	0.025	<0.0001	0.015	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.085
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	24-Oct-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.104	<0.001	0.56	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.002	0.07	<0.001	0.024	<0.0001	0.011	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.047
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	21-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.134	<0.001	0.63	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.09	<0.001	0.043	<0.0001	0.009	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.068
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	13-Dec-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.138	0.001	0.55	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.035	<0.05	<0.001	0.046	<0.0001	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.117
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	30-Jan-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.142	<0.001	0.46	0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.01	<0.05	<0.001	0.024	<0.0001	<0.001	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.165
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	18-Feb-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.163	<0.001	0.52	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	0.05	<0.001	0.019	<0.0001	<0.001	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.121
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	17-Mar-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.14	<0.001	0.54	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.053	<0.05	<0.001	0.015	<0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.215
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	29-Apr-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.155	<0.001	0.63	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.21	<0.001	0.042	<0.0001	0.003	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.104
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	19-May-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.18	<0.001	0.61	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	2.64	<0.001	0.029	<0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.011
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	16-Jun-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.191	<0.001	0.53	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	5.27	<0.001	0.015	<0.0001	<0.001	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.087
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	14-Jul-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.209	<0.001	0.58	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	5.71	<0.001	0.019	<0.0001	0.001	0.025	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.048
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	19-Aug-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.214	<0.001	0.55	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.78	<0.001	0.022	<0.0001	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.046
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	22-Sep-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.24	<0.001	0.59	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	5.22	<0.001	0.032	<0.0001	<0.001	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.022
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	20-Oct-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.181	<0.001	0.51	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.034	<0.05	<0.001	0.014	<0.0001	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.175
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	24-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.006	0.155	<0.001	0.62	0.0001	<0.001	0.003	0.007	0.18	<0.001	0.131	<0.0001	0.006	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.01	0.043
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	24-Oct-2019	<0.01	0.015	0.129	<0.001	0.63	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	0.92	<0.001	0.137	<0.0001	0.006	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.01	0.026
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	21-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.011	0.163	<0.001	0.65	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.95	<0.001	0.12	<0.0001	0.007	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.01	0.035
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	13-Dec-2019	<0.01	0.012	0.139	<0.001	0.54	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.066	0.54	<0.001	0.123	<0.0001	0.003	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.01	0.04
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	30-Jan-2020	<0.01	0.016	0.112	<0.001	0.5	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	1.48	<0.001	0.133	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.01	0.007
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	18-Feb-2020	<0.01	0.013	0.134	<0.001	0.49	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.45	<0.001	0.111	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.01	0.043
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	17-Mar-2020	<0.01	0.014	0.117	<0.001	0.56	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.89	<0.001	0.116	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.01	0.045
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	29-Apr-2020	<0.01	0.014	0.131	<0.001	0.64	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.52	<0.001	0.116	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.01	0.038
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-May-2020	<0.01	0.011	0.133	<0.001	0.67	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.54	<0.001	0.103	<0.0001	0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.01	<0.005
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	16-Jun-2020	<0.01	0.012	0.134	<0.001	0.55	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.64	<0.001	0.103	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.01	0.032
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	14-Jul-2020	<0.01	0.008	0.153	<0.001	0.59	<0.0001	0.006	<0.001	<0.001	2.53	<0.001	0.097	<0.0001	0.007	0.032	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.01	0.031
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.009	0.147	<0.001	0.56	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.14	<0.001	0.103	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.026
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	22-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.008	0.156	<0.001	0.64	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.69	<0.001	0.102	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.018
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	20-Oct-2020	<0.01	0.008	0.474	<0.001	0.51	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.09	<0.001	0.122	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	<0.005
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	25-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.002	1.26	<0.001	0.67	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	1.24	<0.001	0.124	<0.0001	0.003	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.01	0.026
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	28-Oct-2019	<0.01	<0.001	1.22	<0.001	0.65	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.15	<0.001	0.124	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.009
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	21-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.003	1.03	<0.001	0.71	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.66	<0.001	0.125	<0.0001	0.003	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.01	0.008
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	13-Dec-2019	<0.01	0.003	1.09	<0.001	0.62	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3	<0.001	0.119	<0.0001	0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.01	0.022
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	30-Jan-2020	<0.01	0.002	1.17	<0.001	0.54	<0.0001															

Appendix C-2: Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (diss) mg/L	As (diss) mg/L	Ba (diss) mg/L	Be (diss) mg/L	B (diss) mg/L	Cd (diss) mg/L	Cr (diss) mg/L	Co (diss) mg/L	Cu (diss) mg/L	Fe (diss) mg/L	Pb (diss) mg/L	Mn (diss) mg/L	Hg (diss) mg/L	Mo (diss) mg/L	Ni (diss) mg/L	Se (diss) mg/L	Ag (diss) mg/L	U (diss) mg/L	V (diss) mg/L	Zn (diss) mg/L
		ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection		0.055	0.013			0.37	0.0002	0.001		0.0014		0.0034	1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005			0.008
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	27-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.488	<0.001	0.47	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.16	<0.001	0.04	<0.0001	0.013	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.012
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	30-Oct-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.602	<0.001	0.51	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.27	<0.001	0.047	<0.0001	0.013	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	26-Nov-2019	0.01	<0.001	0.691	<0.001	0.42	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	0.21	<0.001	0.07	<0.0001	0.01	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.005
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	17-Dec-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.594	<0.001	0.48	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.31	<0.001	0.042	<0.0001	0.01	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	31-Mar-2020	<0.01	0.001	0.952	<0.001	0.45	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.24	<0.001	0.055	<0.0001	0.005	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	27-Apr-2020	<0.01	<0.001	1.2	<0.001	0.38	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.32	<0.001	0.061	<0.0001	0.004	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	18-May-2020	<0.01	0.001	1.24	<0.001	0.45	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.19	<0.001	0.074	<0.0001	0.003	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	17-Jun-2020	0.01	<0.001	1.52	<0.001	0.33	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.2	<0.001	0.057	<0.0001	0.004	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	13-Jul-2020	<0.01	<0.001	1.46	<0.001	0.36	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.1	<0.001	0.073	<0.0001	0.004	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	18-Aug-2020	<0.01	<0.001	1.56	<0.001	0.33	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.21	<0.001	0.07	<0.0001	0.004	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	21-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.001	1.55	<0.001	0.38	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	0.23	<0.001	0.099	<0.0001	0.003	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	19-Oct-2020	<0.01	<0.001	1.76	<0.001	0.29	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.32	<0.001	0.076	<0.0001	0.003	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	26-Sep-2019	0.02	0.003	0.3	<0.001	1.5	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	0.2	<0.001	0.291	<0.0001	0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.023
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	28-Oct-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.148	<0.001	1.59	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.001	0.39	<0.001	0.284	<0.0001	0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.067
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	25-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.005	0.212	<0.001	1.5	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.001	0.34	<0.001	0.232	<0.0001	0.002	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.055
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	17-Dec-2019	<0.01	0.005	0.152	<0.001	1.72	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.004	0.34	<0.001	0.275	<0.0001	0.002	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.047
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	20-Feb-2020	<0.01	0.004	0.103	<0.001	1.33	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.78	<0.001	0.224	<0.0001	0.001	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.053
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	20-Mar-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.08	<0.005	1.59	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	1.78	<0.005	0.246	<0.0001	<0.005	0.009	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.03
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	27-Apr-2020	<0.05	0.005	0.095	<0.005	2.01	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.54	<0.005	0.262	<0.0001	<0.005	0.014	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.096
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	19-May-2020	<0.01	0.005	0.088	<0.001	1.7	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.003	0.63	<0.001	0.233	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.113
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	17-Jun-2020	<0.01	0.005	0.078	<0.001	1.42	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.79	<0.001	0.225	<0.0001	0.002	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.067
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	14-Jul-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.086	<0.005	1.8	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	0.7	<0.005	0.237	<0.0001	0.006	0.013	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.083
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	19-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.003	0.167	<0.001	1.36	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.006	0.37	<0.001	0.224	<0.0001	0.002	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.092
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	21-Sep-2020	<0.01	0.003	0.081	<0.001	1.59	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.006	0.45	<0.001	0.231	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.029
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	19-Oct-2020	<0.01	0.005	0.084	<0.001	1.06	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.91	<0.001	0.24	<0.0001	0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.029
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	26-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.001	0.161	<0.001	0.74	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.44	<0.001	0.096	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.034
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	28-Oct-2019	<0.01	<0.001	0.127	<0.001	0.76	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.13	<0.001	0.09	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.047
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	25-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.187	<0.001	0.74	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.002	2.82	<0.001	0.102	<0.0001	0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.005
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	17-Dec-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.146	<0.005	1.19	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	4.71	<0.005	0.144	<0.0001	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.025
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	20-Feb-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.125	<0.005	0.82	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.87	<0.005	0.114	<0.0001	<0.005	0.01	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.025
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	20-Mar-2020	<0.05	0.005	0.108	<0.005	0.64	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	5.23	<0.005	0.12	<0.0001	<0.005	0.007	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.025
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	27-Apr-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.11	<0.005	0.78	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	4.87	<0.005	0.131	<0.0001	<0.005	0.01	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.025
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-May-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.115	<0.005	1.16	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.77	<0.005	0.117	<0.0001	<0.005	0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.059
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	17-Jun-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.118	<0.001	0.63	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.95	<0.001	0.098	<0.0001	0.001	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.076
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	14-Jul-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.112	<0.005	0.78	<0.0005	0.011	<0.005	<0.005	3.94	<0.005	0.118	<0.0001	0.011	0.046	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.076
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-Aug-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.126	<0.005	0.97	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.83	<0.005	0.095	<0.0001	<0.005	0.007	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.083
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	21-Sep-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.111	<0.005	0.84	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.66	<0.005	0.107	<0.0001	<0.005	0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.039
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-Oct-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.106	<0.005	0.86	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.72	<0.005	0.093	<0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.03
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	25-Sep-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.103	<0.001	0.83	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	0.08	<0.001	0.121	<0.0001	0.003	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.021
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	28-Oct-2019	0.09	<0.001	0.079	<0.001	0.5	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.059	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.063
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	25-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.002	0.122	<0.001	0.38	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.05	<0.001	0.098	<0.0001	0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.077
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	31-Mar-2020	<0.01	<0.001	0.052	<0.001	0.22	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.042	<0.05	<0.001	0.06	<0.0001	0.002	0.083	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.341
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	27-Apr-2020	0.07	<0.001	0.055	<0.001	0.25	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.014	<0.05	<0.001	0.096	<0.0001	0.002	0.071	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.461
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	18-May-2020	<0.01	0.001	0.054	<0.001	0.21	0.0003	<0.001	0.002	0.054	<0.05	<0.001	0.037	<0.0001	<0.001	0.027	<0.01	<0.001			

Appendix C3: Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/a	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
	Livestock Trigger - ANZECC 2000			5	0.5	n/a	n/a	5	0.01	1	1	1	n/a	0.1	n/a	0.002	0.15	1	0.02	n/a	0.2	n/a	20
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	12-Dec-2018	0.33	0.022	0.082	<0.001	1.33	0.0002	<0.001	0.018	0.102	2.82	0.012	0.316	<0.0001	0.001	0.022	<0.01	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	0.19
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	07-Jan-2019	0.1	0.033	0.204	<0.001	1.58	0.0002	<0.001	0.012	0.057	3.24	0.002	0.264	<0.0001	0.003	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	0.204
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	18-Feb-2019	0.11	0.025	0.12	<0.001	1.29	0.0002	<0.001	0.009	0.03	4.66	<0.001	0.238	<0.0001	0.001	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.124
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	11-Mar-2019	0.28	0.017	0.094	<0.005	1.64	<0.0005	<0.005	0.01	0.045	5.39	<0.005	0.362	<0.0001	<0.005	0.014	<0.05	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	0.09
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	17-Apr-2019	0.06	0.017	0.106	<0.001	1.13	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.031	3.37	<0.001	0.231	<0.0005	0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.1
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	13-May-2019	0.06	0.022	0.32	<0.001	1.37	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	0.039	4.15	<0.001	0.35	<0.0001	0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.149
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	19-Jun-2019	0.04	0.018	0.178	<0.001	1.14	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.006	3.87	<0.001	0.295	<0.0001	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.103
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	11-Jul-2019	0.12	0.008	0.284	<0.005	1.47	<0.0005	<0.005	0.006	0.01	2.12	<0.005	0.406	<0.0001	<0.005	0.011	<0.05	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	0.121
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	26-Aug-2019	0.17	0.019	0.474	<0.001	1.52	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.008	4.39	0.001	0.279	<0.0001	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.42
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Sep-2019	0.12	0.018	0.297	<0.001	1.35	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.071	4.35	<0.001	0.323	<0.0001	0.002	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.117
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Oct-2019	0.06	0.013	0.135	<0.005	1.46	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.076	3.87	<0.005	0.297	<0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	0.075
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	20-Nov-2019	0.05	0.009	0.959	<0.001	1.2	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.007	2.14	<0.001	0.569	<0.0001	0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.059
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	16-Mar-2020	<0.05	0.02	0.172	<0.005	1.27	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.103	4.69	<0.005	0.315	<0.0001	<0.005	0.03	<0.05	<0.005	0.005	<0.05	0.155
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Apr-2020	0.59	0.015	0.096	<0.001	1.31	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	0.087	4.18	0.002	0.255	<0.0001	0.002	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.179
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	25-May-2020	0.44	0.017	0.114	<0.001	1.2	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	0.013	4.22	0.002	0.263	<0.0001	0.002	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.232
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	30-Jun-2020	0.28	0.015	0.109	<0.005	1.32	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.064	4.3	0.013	0.3	<0.0001	<0.005	0.028	<0.05	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	0.296
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	28-Jul-2020	0.24	0.006	0.091	<0.001	1.28	<0.0001	0.011	0.003	0.029	3.75	0.001	0.246	<0.0001	0.002	0.021	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.119
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	31-Aug-2020	0.2	0.014	0.134	<0.001	1.28	0.0001	0.007	0.004	0.01	4.05	<0.001	0.291	<0.0001	0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.114
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	28-Sep-2020	0.16	0.01	0.098	0.004	1.18	<0.0001	0.003	0.004	0.02	4.32	0.004	0.263	<0.0001	0.005	0.018	<0.01	0.004	0.009	<0.01	0.075
1	DW7065W	Aries 3 Seam	21-Oct-2020	0.94	0.014	0.105	<0.001	1.27	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	0.007	5.03	0.001	0.276	<0.0001	0.001	0.013	<0.01	0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.057
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	12-Dec-2018	0.06	0.006	0.059	<0.001	1.44	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	0.046	2.32	0.005	0.11	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.111
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	07-Jan-2019	<0.05	0.012	0.089	<0.005	1.47	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.027	3.23	<0.005	0.122	<0.0001	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.149
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	18-Feb-2019	0.01	0.013	0.077	<0.001	1.36	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.021	4.31	<0.001	0.112	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.067
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	0.01	0.079	<0.005	1.76	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	3.88	<0.005	0.119	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.09
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	17-Apr-2019	0.02	0.008	0.069	<0.001	1.19	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.012	3.38	<0.001	0.102	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.063
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	13-May-2019	0.01	0.008	0.156	<0.001	1.44	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.022	3.34	<0.001	0.121	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.098
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	19-Jun-2019	0.02	0.004	0.11	<0.001	1.24	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.007	0.52	<0.001	0.092	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.092
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	11-Jul-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.134	<0.005	1.5	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.013	2.35	<0.005	0.154	<0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.148
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	26-Aug-2019	0.04	0.007	0.184	<0.001	1.6	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.007	2.98	<0.001	0.107	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.06
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Sep-2019	0.02	0.007	0.141	<0.001	1.4	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.035	2.94	<0.001	0.126	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.078
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Oct-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.103	<0.005	1.58	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.018	0.74	<0.005	0.126	<0.0001	<0.005	0.007	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.075
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	20-Nov-2019	<0.01	0.003	0.275	<0.001	1.26	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.003	0.34	<0.001	0.15	<0.0001	0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.079
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	16-Mar-2020	<0.05	0.006	0.098	<0.005	1.15	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.129	4.48	<0.005	0.121	<0.0001	<0.005	0.011	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.113
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	23-Apr-2020	0.01	0.006	0.081	<0.001	1.33	0.0002	0.006	<0.001	0.1	3.97	0.002	0.106	<0.0001	<0.001	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.17
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	25-May-2020	0.05	0.007	0.077	<0.001	1.25	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	3.91	0.001	0.114	<0.0001	0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.099
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	30-Jun-2020	<0.05	0.008	0.072	<0.005	1.55	0.0008	<0.005	<0.005	0.022	3.59	0.01	0.113	<0.0001	<0.005	0.016	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.134
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	28-Jul-2020	0.09	0.003	0.077	<0.001	1.23	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.039	3.08	<0.001	0.104	<0.0005	0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.193
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	31-Aug-2020	0.04	0.005	0.084	<0.001	1.15	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.008	3.17	<0.001	0.13	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.066
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	28-Sep-2020	0.05	0.002	0.09	<0.001	1.22	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.081	2.87	<0.001	0.139	<0.0001	<0.001	0.022	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.111
2	DW7067W	Aries 3 Seam	21-Oct-2020	0.07	0.002	0.09	<0.001	1.29	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	3.37	<0.001	0.12	<0.0001	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.046
2	DW7068W	Tertiary sediments	12-Dec-2018	1.27	<0.001	0.186	<0.001	1.26	<0.0001	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.53	0.001	0.036	<0.0001	0.007	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	0.019
2	DW7068W	Tertiary sediments	07-Jan-2019	1.82	<0.001	0.268	<																

Appendix C3: Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
	Livestock Trigger - ANZECC 2000			5	0.5	n/a	n/a	5	0.01	1	1	1	n/a	0.1	n/a	0.002	0.15	1	0.02	n/a	0.2	n/a	20
2	DW7068W	Tertiary sediments	21-Oct-2020	2.23	0.001	0.194	<0.001	1.31	<0.0001	0.006	0.002	0.007	1.26	0.002	0.066	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.054
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	12-Dec-2018	0.1	0.003	0.056	<0.001	1.37	0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.06	2.4	0.005	0.174	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.137
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	07-Jan-2019	0.07	0.004	0.083	<0.001	1.41	0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.028	2.86	0.001	0.184	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.144
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	18-Feb-2019	0.09	0.003	0.072	<0.001	1.31	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.02	2.4	<0.001	0.158	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.089
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	11-Mar-2019	0.08	<0.005	0.069	<0.005	1.73	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.014	2.48	<0.005	0.188	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.088
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	17-Apr-2019	0.04	0.002	0.064	<0.001	1.14	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.01	2.42	<0.001	0.154	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.064
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	13-May-2019	0.03	0.004	0.117	<0.001	1.41	0.0002	<0.001	<0.001	0.02	2.64	<0.001	0.185	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.082
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	19-Jun-2019	0.02	0.002	0.084	<0.001	1.21	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	2.38	<0.001	0.153	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.104
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	11-Jul-2019	0.13	<0.005	0.087	<0.005	1.5	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.012	2.05	<0.005	0.168	<0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.133
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	26-Aug-2019	0.12	0.003	0.113	<0.001	1.56	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.006	2.57	<0.001	0.147	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.045
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	23-Sep-2019	0.02	0.004	0.1	<0.001	1.38	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.021	2.16	<0.001	0.153	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.065
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	23-Oct-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.077	<0.005	1.46	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.019	2.06	<0.005	0.167	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.053
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	20-Nov-2019	0.02	0.002	0.175	<0.001	1.18	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.005	1.54	<0.001	0.191	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.078
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	16-Mar-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.085	<0.005	1.03	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.122	2.72	<0.005	0.207	<0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.103
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	23-Apr-2020	0.08	0.002	0.105	<0.001	1.32	0.0001	0.004	0.002	0.138	0.72	0.003	0.204	<0.0001	0.003	0.04	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.237
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	25-May-2020	0.08	0.003	0.069	<0.001	1.18	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.004	2.88	0.002	0.162	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.095
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	30-Jun-2020	0.03	0.002	0.082	<0.001	1.13	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.027	2.71	0.005	0.211	<0.0001	0.002	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.075
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	28-Jul-2020	0.06	0.001	0.079	<0.001	1.17	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.008	2.7	<0.001	0.201	<0.0001	0.002	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.064
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	31-Aug-2020	0.04	0.002	0.071	<0.001	1.14	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.006	2.3	<0.001	0.195	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.044
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	28-Sep-2020	0.1	0.002	0.076	<0.001	1.15	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.009	2.14	<0.001	0.187	<0.0001	0.002	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.05
3	DW7069W	Pollux Upper Seam	22-Oct-2020	0.11	0.002	0.075	<0.001	1.12	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	2.69	<0.001	0.183	<0.0001	0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.049
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	13-Dec-2018	0.05	0.001	0.073	<0.001	1.45	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.034	0.09	0.003	0.203	<0.0001	0.009	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.078
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	07-Jan-2019	0.03	0.001	0.112	<0.001	1.52	0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.016	0.22	0.001	0.274	<0.0001	0.012	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.082
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	18-Feb-2019	0.02	0.003	0.087	<0.001	1.34	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.019	0.43	<0.001	0.26	<0.0001	0.008	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.071
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	11-Mar-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.084	<0.005	1.64	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.012	1.63	<0.005	0.264	<0.0001	0.007	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.026
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	17-Apr-2019	0.03	0.005	0.078	<0.001	1.18	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.008	1.86	<0.001	0.227	<0.0001	0.006	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.043
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	13-May-2019	0.06	0.006	0.117	<0.001	1.45	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.016	2.77	<0.001	0.27	<0.0001	0.005	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.054
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	19-Jun-2019	0.02	0.004	0.088	<0.001	1.27	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	1.87	<0.001	0.227	<0.0001	0.005	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.059
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	11-Jul-2019	0.07	<0.005	0.1	<0.005	1.53	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.006	1.11	<0.005	0.256	<0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.068
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	26-Aug-2019	0.21	0.003	0.119	<0.001	1.52	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.008	2.01	<0.001	0.245	<0.0001	0.004	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.04
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	23-Sep-2019	0.03	0.004	0.099	<0.001	1.47	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	1.88	<0.001	0.231	<0.0001	0.004	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.029
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	23-Oct-2019	<0.05	<0.005	0.089	<0.005	1.53	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.011	1.33	<0.005	0.259	<0.0001	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.026
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	20-Nov-2019	0.04	0.001	0.133	<0.001	1.19	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.005	0.88	<0.001	0.272	<0.0001	0.003	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.053
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	16-Mar-2020	0.35	<0.005	0.118	<0.005	1.22	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.109	2.12	<0.005	0.309	<0.0001	<0.005	0.007	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.075
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	23-Apr-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.101	<0.001	1.4	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.12	1.51	0.001	0.286	<0.0001	0.002	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.128
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	25-May-2020	0.04	0.002	0.083	<0.001	1.26	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	1.32	<0.001	0.241	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.061
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	30-Jun-2020	0.1	0.001	0.112	<0.001	1.22	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.05	0.8	0.007	0.267	<0.0001	0.003	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.092
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	28-Jul-2020	0.08	0.001	0.087	<0.001	1.15	<0.0001	0.004	0.001	0.011	0.37	<0.001	0.264	<0.0001	0.003	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.105
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	31-Aug-2020	0.08	0.002	0.092	<0.001	1.1	<0.0001	0.003	0.001	0.006	0.48	<0.001	0.275	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.061
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	28-Sep-2020	0.03	0.001	0.091	<0.001	1.19	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.006	0.51	<0.001	0.288	<0.0001	0.002	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.057
4	DW7074W	Castor Upper Seams	22-Oct-2020	0.98	0.003	0.101	<0.001	1.07	<0.0001	0.018	0.002	0.01	2.88	0.003									

Appendix C3: Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)	
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
	Livestock Trigger - ANZECC 2000																							
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	16-Mar-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.156	<0.005	0.62	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	1.47	4.56	<0.005	0.412	<0.0001	<0.005	0.01	0.02	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.132
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	23-Apr-2020	0.03	<0.001	0.134	<0.001	1.05	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.154	4.12	0.002	0.376	<0.0001	0.002	0.021	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.187
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	25-May-2020	0.08	0.001	0.126	<0.001	0.95	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	4.26	<0.001	0.37	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.065
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	30-Jun-2020	0.06	<0.001	0.136	<0.001	1	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.024	3.31	0.006	0.364	<0.0001	0.002	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.086
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	28-Jul-2020	0.21	<0.001	0.129	<0.001	0.94	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.015	3.99	<0.001	0.382	<0.0001	0.004	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.075
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	31-Aug-2020	0.11	0.002	0.129	<0.001	0.88	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.008	3.97	<0.001	0.38	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.058
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	28-Sep-2020	0.08	0.002	0.131	<0.001	0.94	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.006	3.99	<0.001	0.398	<0.0001	0.002	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.057
4	DW7073W	Castor/ Pollux Seams	22-Oct-2020	0.32	<0.001	0.139	<0.001	0.89	<0.0001	0.01	<0.001	0.008	3.81	<0.001	0.362	<0.0001	0.001	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.01	0.076
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	12-Dec-2018	2.06	0.002	0.301	<0.001	3.87	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	0.002	0.89	0.001	0.17	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	0.062	<0.01	0.009
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	07-Jan-2019	7.22	0.003	0.389	<0.001	4.43	<0.0001	0.011	0.007	0.098	2.93	0.005	0.291	<0.0001	0.004	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	0.073	0.04	0.05
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	18-Feb-2019	2.86	0.002	0.352	<0.001	3.56	<0.0001	0.006	0.004	0.103	1.28	0.002	0.154	<0.0001	0.003	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	0.053	0.04	0.024
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	11-Mar-2019	6.6	<0.005	0.331	<0.005	4.71	<0.0005	0.009	0.006	0.308	2.52	0.006	0.236	<0.0001	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	<0.005	0.065	<0.05	<0.026	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	17-Apr-2019	3.88	0.002	0.31	<0.001	3.41	<0.0001	0.008	0.004	0.164	1.92	0.003	0.158	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.053	0.02	0.041	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	13-May-2019	4.8	0.003	0.27	<0.001	4.2	0.0001	0.007	0.004	0.313	2.22	0.003	0.176	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.055	0.02	0.036	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	19-Jun-2019	2.05	0.002	0.209	<0.001	3.82	<0.0001	0.005	0.004	0.232	1.24	0.002	0.144	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.05	0.02	0.035	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	11-Jul-2019	4.96	<0.005	0.224	<0.005	4.61	<0.0005	0.006	<0.005	0.452	2.2	<0.005	0.154	<0.0001	<0.005	0.007	<0.05	<0.005	0.044	<0.05	0.058	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	26-Aug-2019	20.1	0.004	0.318	0.004	4.57	<0.0001	0.02	0.008	0.37	6.91	0.02	0.29	<0.0001	0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.046	0.04	0.124	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	23-Sep-2019	6.98	0.002	0.196	<0.001	4.69	<0.0001	0.006	0.003	0.047	2	0.006	0.092	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.027	0.02	0.03	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	23-Oct-2019	4.81	0.002	0.18	<0.001	4.65	<0.0001	0.004	0.002	0.015	1.19	0.003	0.066	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.043	0.02	0.014	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	20-Nov-2019	7.44	0.002	0.177	<0.001	4.01	<0.0001	0.009	0.002	0.027	1.94	0.006	0.067	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.038	0.03	0.024	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	31-Mar-2020	9.08	0.009	0.216	<0.001	3.92	<0.0001	0.014	0.008	0.399	2.86	0.009	0.18	<0.0001	0.002	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.038	0.02	0.059	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	23-Apr-2020	4.68	0.005	0.206	<0.001	4.16	<0.0001	0.008	0.003	0.098	1.58	0.005	0.244	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.034	0.01	0.024	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	25-May-2020	3.91	0.003	0.21	<0.001	4.44	<0.0001	0.005	0.002	0.033	1.14	0.003	0.274	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.036	0.01	0.012	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	30-Jun-2020	16.3	0.004	0.292	0.002	3.89	<0.0001	0.014	0.005	0.088	5.62	0.014	0.321	<0.0001	0.002	0.012	0.01	<0.001	0.042	0.03	0.056	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	28-Jul-2020	5.73	0.003	0.22	<0.001	4.33	<0.0001	0.009	0.004	0.065	1.61	0.006	0.263	<0.0001	0.003	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.036	0.01	0.022	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	31-Aug-2020	8.43	0.004	0.212	<0.001	4.55	<0.0001	0.014	0.004	0.118	2.69	0.01	0.24	<0.0001	0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.033	0.02	0.05	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	28-Sep-2020	8.89	0.003	0.193	<0.001	4.08	<0.0001	0.01	0.004	0.051	2.39	0.007	0.18	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.032	0.02	0.037	
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	22-Oct-2020	3.89	0.003	0.184	<0.001	4.16	<0.0001	0.006	0.003	0.047	1.1	0.004	0.112	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.032	<0.01	0.02	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	26-Sep-2019	0.06	0.004	0.11	<0.001	1.08	<0.0001	<0.001	0.02	0.001	1.62	<0.001	0.578	<0.0001	0.009	0.024	<0.01	<0.001	0.036	<0.01	0.015	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	30-Oct-2019	0.14	0.004	0.144	<0.001	1.07	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	0.002	2.8	<0.001	0.545	<0.0001	0.004	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.029	<0.01	0.018	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	26-Nov-2019	0.08	0.004	0.13	<0.001	0.99	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.003	6.57	0.002	0.533	<0.0001	0.004	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.019	<0.01	0.032	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	18-Dec-2019	0.07	0.004	0.145	<0.001	0.96	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.005	8.73	<0.001	0.504	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	0.033	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	20-Feb-2020	0.05	0.002	0.121	<0.001	0.86	<0.0001	0.001	0.002	0.002	3.46	<0.001	0.543	<0.0001	0.002	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	0.022	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	20-Mar-2020	0.05	0.002	0.334	<0.001	0.9	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.001	2.15	<0.001	0.532	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.015	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	28-Apr-2020	0.31	<0.001	0.149	<0.001	1.1	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.003	1.49	<0.001	0.41	<0.0001	0.002	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.033	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	20-May-2020	0.38	<0.001	0.135	<0.001	1.03	<0.0001	0.001	0.001	0.002	1.43	<0.001	0.41	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.015	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	17-Jun-2020	0.04	0.001	0.229	<0.001	0.92	<0.0001	0.002	0.001	0.006	1.67	<0.001	0.391	<0.0001	0.001	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.104	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	15-Jul-2020	0.3	<0.001	0.163	<0.001	1.03	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	1	<0.001	0.35	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.026	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	21-Aug-2020	3.98	0.002	0.157	<0.001	0.99	<0.0001	0.015	0.002	0.006	3.41	0.001	0.325	<0.0001	0.001	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	0.01	0.028	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	24-Sep-2020	0.36	<0.001	0.132	<0.001	1.11	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.004	1.37	<0.001	0.289	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.03	
6	DW7033W3	Interburden	21-Oct-2020	0.44	0.001	0.161	<0.001	0.78	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.006	1.59	<0.001	0.317	<0.0001	0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.031	
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	26-Sep-2019	0.41	0.001	0.138	<0.001	0.75	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	0.002	0.79	<										

Appendix C3: Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)	
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Livestock Trigger - ANZECC 2000				5	0.5	n/a	n/a	5	0.01	1	1	1	n/a	0.1	n/a	n/a	0.002	0.15	1	0.02	n/a	0.2	n/a	20
6	DW7033W2	Orion 5 Seam	21-Oct-2020	10.4	0.003	0.239	0.002	0.73	<0.0001	0.015	0.004	0.039	7.9	0.015	0.38	<0.0001	0.002	0.015	<0.01	0.002	0.006	0.01	0.131	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	26-Sep-2019	10.4	0.002	0.156	0.001	0.9	<0.0001	0.039	0.002	0.007	2.29	0.002	0.036	0.0009	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.011	0.02	0.018	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	30-Oct-2019	3.02	<0.001	0.184	<0.001	0.88	<0.0001	0.009	<0.001	0.009	0.73	<0.001	0.014	0.0002	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.05	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	26-Nov-2019	2.26	<0.001	0.155	<0.001	0.89	<0.0001	0.005	0.001	0.001	0.72	<0.001	0.035	<0.001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.008	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	18-Dec-2019	2.99	<0.001	0.11	<0.001	0.78	<0.0001	0.009	0.001	0.004	1.02	<0.001	0.042	<0.0001	0.002	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.008	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	20-Feb-2020	2.83	<0.001	0.149	<0.001	0.74	<0.0001	0.009	<0.001	0.002	1.3	<0.001	0.015	0.0004	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.011	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	20-Mar-2020	1.74	<0.001	0.153	<0.001	0.72	<0.0001	0.006	<0.001	0.16	0.43	<0.001	0.033	0.0009	0.001	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.108	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	28-Apr-2020	16.2	0.002	0.201	0.002	0.72	<0.0001	0.053	0.003	0.013	4.39	0.004	0.048	0.0006	<0.001	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.012	0.04	0.059	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	20-May-2020	2.52	<0.001	0.147	<0.001	0.76	<0.0001	0.008	<0.001	0.001	0.68	<0.001	0.011	<0.0001	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.021	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	17-Jun-2020	3.03	<0.001	0.165	<0.001	0.8	<0.0001	0.01	<0.001	0.003	0.67	<0.001	0.018	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.085	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	15-Jul-2020	3.02	0.001	0.157	<0.001	0.87	<0.0001	0.008	<0.001	0.002	0.61	<0.001	0.015	<0.0001	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.019	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	21-Aug-2020	27.4	0.002	0.219	0.002	0.69	<0.0001	0.106	0.003	0.012	8.68	0.009	0.044	<0.0005	<0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.012	0.06	0.03	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	24-Sep-2020	8.22	<0.001	0.131	<0.001	0.81	<0.0001	0.034	<0.001	0.004	2.04	0.002	0.02	0.0003	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	0.02	0.02	
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	21-Oct-2020	3.74	<0.001	0.164	<0.001	0.72	<0.0001	0.014	<0.001	0.002	0.76	<0.001	0.011	<0.0001	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.019	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	26-Sep-2019	0.58	0.001	0.158	<0.001	1.02	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.001	0.55	<0.001	0.21	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.023	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	30-Oct-2019	0.3	0.001	0.131	<0.001	1.08	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.2	<0.001	0.206	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.024	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	26-Nov-2019	0.36	0.001	0.168	<0.001	0.88	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.003	1.53	0.001	0.195	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.07	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	18-Dec-2019	0.11	<0.001	0.152	<0.001	0.88	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.153	0.38	<0.001	0.182	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.041	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	31-Mar-2020	0.12	<0.001	0.152	<0.001	0.92	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.002	2.04	<0.001	0.318	<0.0001	0.001	0.026	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.066	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	28-Apr-2020	0.03	<0.001	0.121	<0.001	0.99	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.003	1.12	<0.001	0.258	<0.0001	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.075	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	20-May-2020	0.02	<0.001	0.11	<0.001	0.9	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.53	<0.001	0.248	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.005	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	17-Jun-2020	0.22	0.001	0.119	<0.001	0.84	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.003	1.26	<0.001	0.186	<0.0001	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.059	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	15-Jul-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.105	<0.001	0.96	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.86	<0.001	0.249	<0.0001	0.003	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.014	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	21-Aug-2020	0.02	0.001	0.1	<0.001	0.97	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.16	<0.001	0.234	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.015	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	24-Sep-2020	0.06	<0.001	0.085	<0.001	1.07	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.33	<0.001	0.247	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.009	
7	DW7035W3	Orion 1 Seam	21-Oct-2020	0.02	0.001	0.138	<0.001	0.72	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	1.39	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.012	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	24-Sep-2019	0.13	0.021	0.095	<0.001	0.78	0.0011	<0.001	0.011	0.025	0.63	0.001	0.21	<0.0001	2.91	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.091	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	24-Oct-2019	0.02	0.037	0.072	<0.001	0.74	0.0002	<0.001	0.007	0.017	1.13	<0.001	0.243	<0.0001	0.661	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.033	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	21-Nov-2019	0.02	0.027	0.107	<0.001	0.72	0.0002	<0.001	0.005	0.003	1.4	<0.001	0.257	<0.0001	0.252	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.053	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	13-Dec-2019	0.04	0.022	0.294	<0.001	0.72	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.019	2.83	<0.001	0.23	<0.0001	0.154	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.04	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	19-Feb-2020	0.12	0.018	0.102	<0.001	0.58	<0.0001	<0.001	0.003	0.006	2.8	<0.001	0.248	<0.0001	0.107	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.043	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	17-Mar-2020	0.02	0.016	0.132	<0.001	0.67	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.04	3.13	<0.001	0.201	<0.0001	0.191	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.053	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	29-Apr-2020	0.2	0.008	0.154	<0.001	0.73	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	2.43	<0.001	0.143	<0.0001	0.111	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.074	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	20-May-2020	0.11	0.01	0.159	<0.001	0.69	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	3.91	<0.001	0.182	<0.0001	0.117	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.047	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	30-Jun-2020	0.02	0.008	0.089	<0.001	0.65	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.012	3.36	0.002	0.189	<0.0001	0.101	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.036	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	15-Jul-2020	0.06	0.005	0.257	<0.001	0.67	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.002	3.14	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	0.11	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.053	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	20-Aug-2020	0.02	0.005	0.152	<0.001	0.77	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.001	3.81	<0.001	0.162	<0.0001	0.083	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.063	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	23-Sep-2020	0.41	0.004	0.16	<0.001	0.72	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.007	3.61	<0.001	0.165	<0.0001	0.06	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.049	
8	DW7082W1	Castor Lower Seam	20-Oct-2020	0.03	0.004	0.17	<0.001	0.57	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.001	3.52	<0.001	0.136	<0.0001	0.057	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.01	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	24-Sep-2019	0.07	0.006	0.081	<0.001	0.94	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.013	0.69	<0.001	0.151	<0.0001	0.195	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.05	
8	DW7082W2	Pollux Upper Seam	24-Oct-2019	0.02	0.011	0.072	<0.001	0.88	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.009	0.98	<0.001	0.161	<0.0001	0.173	0.006	<0.01	<0.001</				

Appendix C3: Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
	Livestock Trigger - ANZECC 2000			5	0.5	n/a	n/a	5	0.01	1	1	1	n/a	0.1	n/a	0.002	0.15	1	0.02	n/a	0.2	n/a	20
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	24-Oct-2019	0.27	0.013	2.39	<0.001	0.53	<0.0001	0.005	<0.001	0.003	4.4	<0.001	0.276	<0.0001	0.022	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.033	<0.01	0.047
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	21-Nov-2019	0.09	0.009	1.46	<0.001	0.5	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.002	3.94	<0.001	0.28	<0.0001	0.012	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.029	<0.01	0.061
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	16-Dec-2019	0.04	0.007	0.933	<0.001	0.54	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.007	2.65	<0.001	0.302	<0.0001	0.005	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.028	<0.01	0.071
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	29-Jan-2020	0.05	0.022	1.04	<0.001	0.49	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.003	1.64	<0.001	0.317	<0.0001	0.003	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.027	<0.01	0.037
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	19-Feb-2020	0.04	0.026	1.23	<0.001	0.41	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.005	2.92	<0.001	0.284	<0.0001	0.008	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.022	<0.01	0.036
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	20-Mar-2020	0.13	0.009	2.11	<0.001	0.39	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.004	1.8	<0.001	0.303	<0.0001	0.007	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.02	<0.01	0.042
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	29-Apr-2020	0.13	0.006	2.92	<0.001	0.5	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.004	1.23	<0.001	0.301	<0.0001	0.008	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.019	<0.01	0.053
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	19-May-2020	0.08	0.012	2.69	<0.001	0.49	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.005	1.35	0.001	0.286	<0.0001	0.004	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.015	<0.01	0.082
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	15-Jun-2020	0.09	0.012	3.74	<0.001	0.38	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.004	0.67	<0.001	0.27	<0.0001	0.003	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.016	<0.01	0.043
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	14-Jul-2020	0.13	0.006	5.04	<0.001	0.43	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.005	0.81	<0.001	0.243	<0.0001	0.007	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	0.041
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	19-Aug-2020	0.07	0.005	4.56	<0.001	0.5	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.003	0.46	<0.001	0.235	<0.0001	0.003	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	0.034
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	22-Sep-2020	0.11	0.012	5.32	<0.001	0.46	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.005	0.75	<0.001	0.231	<0.0001	0.003	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.04
9	DW7093W2	Interburden	20-Oct-2020	0.11	0.004	6.98	<0.001	0.31	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.008	0.6	<0.001	0.241	<0.0001	0.003	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.034
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	25-Sep-2019	0.29	0.002	0.712	<0.001	0.76	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.015	2.18	0.001	0.109	<0.0001	0.009	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.032
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	28-Oct-2019	0.22	0.002	0.675	<0.001	0.8	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.005	2.49	<0.001	0.106	<0.0001	0.006	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.034
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	21-Nov-2019	0.37	0.002	0.694	<0.001	0.9	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.005	3.5	<0.001	0.143	<0.0001	0.009	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.036
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	16-Dec-2019	0.04	0.002	0.754	<0.001	0.78	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	2.6	<0.001	0.211	<0.0001	0.004	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.016
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	29-Jan-2020	0.05	0.003	0.605	<0.001	0.65	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	1.95	<0.001	0.128	<0.0001	0.003	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.013
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	19-Feb-2020	0.04	0.003	0.525	<0.001	0.62	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	1.59	<0.001	0.105	<0.0001	0.005	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.014
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	31-Mar-2020	0.08	<0.001	0.615	<0.001	0.62	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.01	1.2	0.001	0.098	<0.0001	0.003	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.056
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	29-Apr-2020	0.2	0.001	0.872	<0.001	0.73	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.002	1.76	<0.001	0.134	<0.0001	0.005	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.016
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	19-May-2020	0.09	<0.001	0.52	<0.001	0.74	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	0.81	<0.001	0.101	<0.0001	0.004	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.022
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	15-Jun-2020	0.07	0.001	0.503	<0.001	0.81	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.019	3.19	<0.001	0.092	<0.0001	0.005	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.052
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	14-Jul-2020	0.08	<0.001	0.696	<0.001	0.88	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.009	4.74	<0.001	0.09	<0.0001	0.005	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.03
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	19-Aug-2020	0.03	0.001	0.596	<0.001	1.04	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.012	3.16	<0.001	0.09	<0.0001	0.004	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.048
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	22-Sep-2020	0.05	0.002	1.13	<0.001	1.05	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.002	2.15	0.001	0.113	<0.0001	0.004	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.017
9	DW7093W3	Pollux Lower Lower Seam	20-Oct-2020	0.03	<0.001	0.354	<0.001	0.72	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.058	1.23	0.001	0.082	<0.0001	0.003	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.082
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	24-Sep-2019	0.46	0.006	2.92	<0.001	0.48	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	1.54	<0.001	0.078	<0.0001	0.005	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	0.026
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	24-Oct-2019	0.32	0.017	1.48	<0.001	0.54	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	2.5	<0.001	0.184	<0.0001	0.003	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.017
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	21-Nov-2019	0.07	0.019	1.22	<0.001	0.54	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	4.38	<0.001	0.228	<0.0001	0.004	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.064
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	16-Dec-2019	0.09	0.018	0.983	<0.001	0.6	<0.0001	0.002	0.001	0.002	2.72	<0.001	0.425	0.0001	0.002	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.095
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	29-Jan-2020	0.11	0.039	1.14	<0.001	0.59	<0.0001	0.004	0.001	0.012	3.67	<0.001	0.264	<0.0001	0.003	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.072
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	19-Feb-2020	0.33	0.01	2.56	<0.001	0.28	<0.0001	0.005	<0.001	0.013	1.84	<0.001	0.111	<0.0001	0.005	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.044
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	18-Mar-2020	0.15	0.028	1.66	<0.001	0.5	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.003	2.51	<0.001	0.194	<0.0001	0.005	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.073
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	29-Apr-2020	0.15	0.017	1.76	<0.001	0.57	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.005	1.45	<0.001	0.138	<0.0001	0.006	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.091
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	19-May-2020	0.11	0.015	1.73	<0.001	0.52	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.003	0.68	0.001	0.152	<0.0001	0.006	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.054
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	15-Jun-2020	0.11	0.018	1.23	<0.001	0.43	<0.0001	0.004	0.001	0.004	1.44	<0.001	0.092	<0.0001	0.007	0.024	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	0.082
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	14-Jul-2020	0.17	0.012	1.91	<0.001	0.46	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.005	1.31	<0.001	0.114	<0.0001	0.006	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.052
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	19-Aug-2020	0.13	0.025	1.99	<0.001	0.53	<0.0001	0.007	<0.001	0.005	1.43	<0.001	0.07	0.0002	0.006	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.063
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	22-Sep-2020	0.11	0.038	2.31	<0.001	0.53	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.006	1.92	<0.001	0.067	<0.0001	0.005	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.043
9	DW7093W1	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	20-Oct-2020	0.12	0.005	3.1	<0.001	0.31	<0.0001	0.005	<0.001	0.008	0.78	<0.001	0.051	<0.0001	0.005	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.041
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	26-Sep-2019	0.8	0.005	0.034	<0.001	0.33	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.6	0.002	0.008	<0.0001	0.003	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.026
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	24-Oct-2019	0.38	0.004	0.06	<0.001	0.33	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.007	0.25	<0.001	0.008	<0.0001	0.024	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.007
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	25-Nov-2019	0.27	0.004	0.03	<0.001	0.31	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	0.19	<0.001	0.006	<0.0001	0.025	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.009
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	13-Dec-2019	0.24	0.003	0.034	<0.001	0.31	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.006	0.2	<0.001	0.005	<0.0001	0.023	0.001	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.01

Appendix C3: Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/a	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/a
	Livestock Trigger - ANZECC 2000			5	0.5	n/a	n/a	5	0.01	1	1	1	n/a	0.1	n/a	0.002	0.15	1	0.02	n/a	0.2	n/a	20
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	22-Sep-2020	0.15	0.002	0.085	<0.001	0.29	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.005	0.14	<0.001	0.006	<0.0001	0.007	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.012
10	DW7105W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	20-Oct-2020	0.24	0.001	0.157	<0.001	0.25	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.29	<0.001	0.009	<0.0001	0.007	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.015
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	27-Sep-2019	2.41	0.004	0.18	<0.001	1.74	<0.0001	0.005	0.009	0.012	5.2	0.002	0.226	<0.0001	<0.001	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.051
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	25-Oct-2019	0.69	0.003	0.177	<0.001	1.58	<0.0001	0.001	0.01	0.007	2.2	0.001	0.25	<0.0001	0.002	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.041
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	15-Nov-2019	3.01	0.006	0.149	<0.001	1.37	<0.0001	0.006	0.029	0.015	5.8	0.003	0.347	0.0002	<0.001	0.03	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.01	0.08
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	27-Dec-2019	2.22	0.004	0.314	<0.001	1.5	<0.0001	0.004	0.019	0.011	5.47	0.002	0.329	<0.0001	<0.001	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.046
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	29-Jan-2020	1.37	0.004	0.198	<0.001	1.51	<0.0001	0.003	0.016	0.011	7.02	0.002	0.291	<0.0001	<0.001	0.022	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.132
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	18-Feb-2020	0.6	0.003	0.19	<0.001	1.35	<0.0001	0.001	0.017	0.013	2.23	<0.001	0.323	<0.0001	<0.001	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.06
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	20-Mar-2020	1.57	0.006	0.173	<0.005	1.53	<0.0005	<0.005	0.016	0.012	7.53	<0.005	0.342	<0.0001	<0.005	0.02	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.036
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	27-Apr-2020	5.88	0.008	0.2	<0.005	2.1	<0.0005	0.013	0.032	0.043	14.1	0.006	0.388	0.0003	<0.005	0.055	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.206
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	18-May-2020	3.48	0.005	0.218	<0.001	1.51	<0.0001	0.012	0.028	0.023	7.52	0.003	0.37	<0.0001	<0.001	0.031	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.02	0.138
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	17-Jun-2020	2.5	0.004	0.207	<0.001	1.32	<0.0001	0.006	0.018	0.029	7.11	0.007	0.271	<0.0001	0.001	0.029	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.01	0.154
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	13-Jul-2020	4.02	0.006	0.278	<0.001	1.36	<0.0001	0.008	0.024	0.021	8.31	0.004	0.333	<0.0001	0.001	0.033	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	0.02	0.119
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	18-Aug-2020	2.04	0.005	0.224	<0.001	1.67	<0.0001	0.008	0.021	0.014	5.5	0.002	0.319	<0.0001	0.001	0.025	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.143
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	21-Sep-2020	5.54	0.006	0.165	0.001	1.67	<0.0001	0.016	0.023	0.04	17	0.005	0.316	0.0002	0.001	0.038	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	0.03	0.091
11	DW7178W2	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	19-Oct-2020	6.15	0.008	0.196	<0.001	1.07	<0.0001	0.014	0.024	0.034	16.4	0.006	0.326	0.0003	<0.001	0.04	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.03	0.084
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	27-Sep-2019	4.8	0.002	0.331	<0.001	1.6	<0.0001	0.014	0.003	0.007	3.21	0.002	0.011	<0.0001	0.002	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	0.01	0.024
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	25-Oct-2019	2.02	0.002	0.237	<0.001	1.47	<0.0001	0.005	0.002	0.002	1.18	<0.001	0.022	<0.0001	0.004	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.014
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	26-Nov-2019	3.16	0.001	0.267	<0.001	1.33	<0.0001	0.008	0.002	0.003	1.7	<0.001	0.008	<0.0001	0.002	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.039
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	18-Dec-2019	3.52	0.002	0.278	<0.001	1.38	<0.0001	0.009	0.002	0.004	2.47	<0.001	0.019	<0.0001	0.003	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.014
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	29-Jan-2020	4.16	0.002	0.193	<0.001	1.39	<0.0001	0.013	0.005	0.006	6.14	0.001	0.014	<0.0001	0.002	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	0.01	0.081
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	19-Feb-2020	4.94	0.002	0.274	<0.001	1.33	<0.0001	0.017	0.002	0.006	8.86	0.001	0.021	<0.0001	0.002	0.023	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	0.02	0.038
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	20-Mar-2020	2.19	0.001	0.24	<0.001	1.33	<0.0001	0.007	0.001	0.071	1.56	<0.001	0.017	<0.0001	0.003	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.088
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	27-Apr-2020	3.58	0.001	0.229	<0.001	1.52	<0.0001	0.011	0.002	0.017	3.02	0.001	0.018	<0.0001	0.004	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	0.01	0.04
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	18-May-2020	1.66	<0.001	0.229	<0.001	1.52	<0.0001	0.006	0.002	0.004	1.11	<0.001	0.022	<0.0001	0.002	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.078
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	17-Jun-2020	2.28	0.001	0.161	<0.001	1.22	<0.0001	0.008	0.002	0.013	1.85	0.004	0.008	<0.0001	0.003	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.09
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	13-Jul-2020	4.39	0.002	0.183	<0.001	1.3	<0.0001	0.012	0.002	0.008	2.6	0.001	0.014	<0.0001	0.003	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	0.01	0.068
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	18-Aug-2020	1.78	0.001	0.189	<0.001	1.53	<0.0001	0.008	0.002	0.008	1.23	<0.001	0.013	0.0001	0.003	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	0.089
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	21-Sep-2020	5	0.002	0.281	<0.001	1.47	<0.0001	0.028	0.002	0.012	4.98	0.001	0.018	0.0001	0.004	0.023	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	0.02	0.045
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	19-Oct-2020	3.58	0.002	0.25	<0.001	1.02	<0.0001	0.015	0.001	0.01	3.26	<0.001	0.014	<0.0001	0.002	0.024	<0.01	<0.001	0.007	0.01	0.045
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	25-Sep-2019	3.82	0.005	0.15	<0.001	0.17	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	0.019	2.46	0.005	0.214	<0.0001	<0.001	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.081
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	25-Oct-2019	1.75	0.005	0.076	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	0.008	1.84	0.002	0.228	<0.0001	<0.001	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.059
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	22-Nov-2019	3.38	0.004	0.122	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	0.017	2.92	0.005	0.215	<0.0001	<0.001	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.085
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	17-Dec-2019	1.78	0.006	0.078	<0.001	0.17	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	0.009	1.78	0.001	0.23	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.103
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	30-Jan-2020	5.31	0.008	0.173	<0.001	0.14	<0.0001	0.004	0.008	0.027	3.64	0.007	0.257	0.0002	<0.001	0.028	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.222
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	21-Feb-2020	3.04	0.007	0.126	<0.001	0.1	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	0.016	2.64	0.006	0.236	<0.0001	<0.001	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.246
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	18-Mar-2020	1.97	0.008	0.089	<0.001	0.13	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	0.01	2.44	0.002	0.26	<0.0001	<0.001	0.023	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.12
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	30-Apr-2020	9.98	0.009	0.318	0.002	0.12	<0.0001	0.007	0.009	0.045	6.38	0.014	0.29	0.0002	<0.001	0.032	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.02	0.196
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	21-May-2020	2.04	0.006	0.088	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	0.011	2.48	0.003	0.196	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.141
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	16-Jun-2020	1.03	0.008	0.098	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	0.005	4.28	0.001	0.213	<0.0001	<0.001	0.025	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.214
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	16-Jul-2020	3.98	0.008	0.16	<0.001	0.17	<0.0001	0.003	0.007	0.022	2.83	0.005	0.214	<0.0001	<0.001	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.114
12	DW7220W2	Castor Seam	21-Aug-2020	2.01	0.009	0.092	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	0.01	2.63	0.002	0.22	0.0003</							

Appendix C3: Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
	Livestock Trigger - ANZECC 2000			5	0.5	n/a	n/a	5	0.01	1	1	1	n/a	0.1	n/a	0.002	0.15	1	0.02	n/a	0.2	n/a	20
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	16-Jun-2020	0.04	<0.001	0.137	<0.001	0.89	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.31	<0.001	0.043	<0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.07
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	16-Jul-2020	0.1	0.001	0.098	<0.001	0.92	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	1.29	<0.001	0.048	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.04
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	20-Aug-2020	0.03	<0.001	0.084	<0.001	1.1	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.64	<0.001	0.06	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.039
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	23-Sep-2020	0.07	0.001	0.101	<0.001	1.05	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	1.57	<0.001	0.056	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.038
12	DW7220W3	Pollux Lower Upper Seam	19-Oct-2020	0.03	<0.001	0.1	<0.001	0.72	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.048	1.4	0.001	0.046	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.111
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	25-Sep-2019	0.32	0.002	0.103	<0.001	0.15	<0.0001	0.004	0.002	0.005	0.19	<0.001	0.148	<0.0001	0.031	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.028
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	25-Oct-2019	0.28	0.003	0.102	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	0.007	0.003	0.005	0.27	<0.001	0.15	<0.0001	0.028	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.032
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	22-Nov-2019	1.94	0.003	0.136	<0.001	0.19	0.0002	0.012	0.004	0.018	1.34	0.002	0.175	<0.0001	0.019	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.054
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	17-Dec-2019	0.41	0.003	0.11	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.52	<0.001	0.181	<0.0001	0.016	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.052
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	31-Jan-2020	0.12	0.002	0.115	<0.001	0.15	<0.0001	0.005	0.005	0.013	0.54	<0.001	0.195	<0.0001	0.011	0.041	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.242
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	21-Feb-2020	0.29	0.003	0.138	<0.001	0.12	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	0.008	0.45	0.004	0.215	<0.0001	0.01	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.129
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	18-Mar-2020	0.97	0.003	0.133	<0.001	0.16	0.0001	0.006	0.005	0.025	1.06	0.002	0.232	<0.0001	0.01	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.086
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	30-Apr-2020	0.59	0.003	0.183	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	0.003	0.005	0.007	0.74	<0.001	0.243	<0.0001	0.01	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.117
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	21-May-2020	0.7	0.002	0.135	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	0.003	0.005	0.011	0.79	0.001	0.21	<0.0001	0.007	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.16
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	16-Jun-2020	0.25	0.002	0.153	<0.001	0.23	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	0.003	1.9	<0.001	0.182	<0.0001	0.006	0.021	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.162
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	16-Jul-2020	0.37	0.002	0.162	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	0.004	0.5	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	0.005	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.089
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	21-Aug-2020	0.14	0.002	0.146	<0.001	0.22	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.44	<0.001	0.182	0.0001	0.004	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.129
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	23-Sep-2020	0.09	0.002	0.139	<0.001	0.22	<0.0001	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.54	<0.001	0.175	<0.0001	0.004	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.095
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	19-Oct-2020	1	0.002	0.248	<0.001	0.2	0.0001	0.004	0.005	0.081	0.79	0.003	0.19	<0.0001	0.003	0.021	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.426
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	25-Sep-2019	0.06	0.007	0.204	<0.001	0.22	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.001	7.81	<0.001	1.79	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.029
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	25-Oct-2019	0.25	0.004	0.197	<0.001	0.23	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.006	7.23	<0.001	1.71	<0.0001	0.001	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.04
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	22-Nov-2019	0.67	0.003	0.315	<0.001	0.21	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.003	8.89	0.002	1.8	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.065
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	16-Dec-2019	0.08	0.002	0.356	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	18.2	<0.001	1.84	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.062
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	30-Jan-2020	0.04	0.001	0.194	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	7.76	<0.001	1.64	<0.0001	<0.001	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.094
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	21-Feb-2020	0.21	0.001	0.177	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.002	6.83	<0.001	1.5	<0.0001	0.002	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.049
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	18-Mar-2020	0.04	0.002	0.187	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	9.23	<0.001	1.75	<0.0001	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.04
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	30-Apr-2020	0.1	<0.001	0.254	<0.001	0.14	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	7.69	<0.001	1.9	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.035
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	21-May-2020	0.3	<0.001	0.162	<0.001	0.16	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	6.59	<0.001	1.58	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.05
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	17-Jun-2020	0.02	<0.001	0.186	<0.001	0.15	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	6.1	<0.001	1.53	<0.0001	<0.001	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.12
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	16-Jul-2020	0.35	<0.001	0.176	<0.001	0.2	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	5.44	<0.001	1.46	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.07
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	20-Aug-2020	0.03	<0.001	0.174	<0.001	0.23	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	5.87	<0.001	1.44	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.071
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	23-Sep-2020	0.02	<0.001	0.157	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	5.7	<0.001	1.46	<0.0001	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.03
13	DW7221W1	Aries 3 Seam	19-Oct-2020	0.05	<0.001	0.264	<0.001	0.2	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.08	5.79	0.001	1.38	<0.0001	<0.001	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.325
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	26-Sep-2019	0.47	0.006	0.189	<0.001	0.49	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	0.003	4.24	<0.001	0.207	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.079
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	24-Oct-2019	0.17	0.008	0.293	<0.001	0.46	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.001	4.71	<0.001	0.201	<0.0001	0.002	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.025
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	22-Nov-2019	0.09	0.005	0.215	<0.001	0.38	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.001	5.37	<0.001	0.384	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.034
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	16-Dec-2019	0.09	0.004	0.249	<0.001	0.42	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	0.001	10.6	<0.001	0.403	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.044
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	30-Jan-2020	0.07	0.008	0.138	<0.001	0.38	<0.0001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	5.37	<0.001	0.247	<0.0001	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.048
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	21-Feb-2020	0.09	0.004	0.188	<0.001	0.42	<0.0001	<0.001	0.001	0.047	4.76	0.001	0.198	<0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.157
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	18-Mar-2020	0.02	0.003	0.226	<0.001	0.59	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.96	<0.001	0.186	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.03
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	30-Apr-2020	0.06	0.002	0.235	<0.001	0.69	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	3.32	<0.001	0.177	<0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.051
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	21-May-2020	0.06	0.001	0.185	<0.001	0.64	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.47	<0.001	0.128	<0.0001	<0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.039
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	17-Jun-2020	0.18	0.003	0.191	<0.001	0.6	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	3.17	0.001	0.104	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.058
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	16-Jul-2020	0.06	0.002	0.195	<0.001	0.68	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.46	<0.001	0.123	<0.0001	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.037
13	DW7221W2	Castor Seam	20-Aug-2020	<0.01	0.002	0.181	<0.001	0.75	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.62	<0.001	0.131	<							

Appendix C3: Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)	
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Livestock Trigger - ANZECC 2000				5	0.5	n/a	n/a	5	0.01	1	1	1	n/a	0.1	n/a	0.002	0.15	1	0.02	n/a	0.2	0.2	n/a	20
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	17-Mar-2020	0.06	0.015	0.116	<0.001	0.42	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.07	2.73	<0.001	0.116	<0.0001	0.002	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.068	
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	29-Apr-2020	0.27	0.014	0.141	<0.001	0.59	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.66	<0.001	0.116	<0.0001	0.002	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.049	
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-May-2020	0.4	0.011	0.138	<0.001	0.62	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.08	<0.001	0.11	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.009	
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	16-Jun-2020	0.06	0.012	0.136	<0.001	0.53	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.7	<0.001	0.104	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.034	
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	14-Jul-2020	0.41	0.008	0.161	<0.001	0.58	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	2.6	<0.001	0.099	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.04	
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-Aug-2020	0.04	0.01	0.154	<0.001	0.68	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	3.35	<0.001	0.102	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.03	
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	22-Sep-2020	0.44	0.009	0.159	<0.001	0.67	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.003	3.52	<0.001	0.112	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.024	
14	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	20-Oct-2020	0.06	0.009	0.524	<0.001	0.47	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	3.3	<0.001	0.127	<0.0001	0.001	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.008	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	25-Sep-2019	1.1	0.003	1.29	<0.001	0.77	0.0003	0.003	0.002	0.03	3.08	0.002	0.14	<0.0001	0.002	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.107	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	28-Oct-2019	0.36	0.002	1.41	<0.001	0.68	0.0001	0.002	0.001	0.02	4.27	<0.001	0.137	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.122	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	21-Nov-2019	0.33	0.004	1.07	<0.001	0.59	0.0001	0.001	0.001	0.005	2.84	<0.001	0.13	<0.0001	0.003	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.087	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	13-Dec-2019	0.08	0.004	1.12	<0.001	0.7	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.168	3.19	<0.001	0.109	<0.0001	0.002	0.003	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.066	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	30-Jan-2020	0.1	0.003	1.18	<0.001	0.6	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.017	4.58	<0.001	0.113	<0.0001	0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.072	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	18-Feb-2020	0.05	0.002	1.31	<0.001	0.5	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	4.54	<0.001	0.116	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.056	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	17-Mar-2020	0.05	0.002	1.38	<0.001	0.64	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.057	5.02	<0.001	0.106	<0.0001	0.001	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.074	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	29-Apr-2020	0.22	0.003	1.42	<0.001	0.68	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.004	4.72	<0.001	0.112	<0.0001	0.002	0.009	<0.01	0.002	0.002	<0.01	0.092	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	19-May-2020	0.16	0.002	1.29	<0.001	0.63	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	5.62	<0.001	0.104	<0.0001	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.039	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	16-Jun-2020	0.11	0.001	1.38	<0.001	0.61	0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.03	5.46	<0.001	0.105	<0.0001	0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.132	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	15-Jul-2020	0.18	0.001	1.42	<0.001	0.63	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.01	3.37	<0.001	0.098	<0.0001	0.001	0.02	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.094	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	20-Aug-2020	0.04	0.001	1.37	<0.001	0.72	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.002	3.86	<0.001	0.1	0.0002	0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.087	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	22-Sep-2020	0.1	0.001	1.42	<0.001	0.72	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	4.54	<0.001	0.095	<0.0001	0.001	0.006	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.027	
14	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	20-Oct-2020	0.03	0.001	1.59	<0.001	0.51	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	4.41	<0.001	0.09	<0.0001	<0.001	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.012	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	24-Sep-2019	10.6	0.004	0.159	<0.001	0.66	<0.0001	0.016	0.002	0.009	2.08	0.002	0.032	<0.0001	0.013	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.101	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	24-Oct-2019	5.6	0.004	0.117	<0.001	0.61	<0.0001	0.014	0.002	0.03	2.58	0.002	0.032	<0.0001	0.012	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.01	0.056	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	21-Nov-2019	5.36	0.003	0.139	<0.001	0.55	<0.0001	0.014	0.002	0.004	2.13	0.001	0.049	<0.0001	0.007	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.01	0.078	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	13-Dec-2019	2.18	<0.001	0.127	<0.001	0.6	<0.0001	0.006	0.001	0.042	0.83	<0.001	0.044	<0.0001	<0.001	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.133	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	30-Jan-2020	4.61	0.001	0.15	<0.001	0.5	0.0001	0.012	0.002	0.018	1.64	0.001	0.03	<0.0001	<0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.19	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	18-Feb-2020	2.21	<0.001	0.167	<0.001	0.4	<0.0001	0.006	0.001	0.014	1.14	<0.001	0.026	<0.0001	<0.001	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.125	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	17-Mar-2020	2.8	<0.001	0.149	<0.001	0.56	<0.0001	0.009	<0.001	0.067	1.23	0.002	0.021	<0.0001	0.002	0.015	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.228	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	29-Apr-2020	3.81	0.001	0.171	<0.001	0.55	<0.0001	0.01	0.001	0.003	1.84	0.001	0.044	<0.0001	0.003	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.128	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	19-May-2020	3.93	0.002	0.206	<0.001	0.56	<0.0001	0.013	0.001	0.003	4.85	0.001	0.035	<0.0001	<0.001	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.031	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	16-Jun-2020	2.4	<0.001	0.204	<0.001	0.52	<0.0001	0.009	<0.001	0.004	6.4	<0.001	0.023	<0.0001	<0.001	0.022	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.098	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	14-Jul-2020	4.72	<0.001	0.225	<0.001	0.56	<0.0001	0.013	0.002	0.003	6.98	0.001	0.024	<0.0001	<0.001	0.024	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.01	0.062	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	19-Aug-2020	1.64	<0.001	0.222	<0.001	0.66	<0.0001	0.005	<0.001	0.003	4.66	<0.001	0.025	<0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.05	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	22-Sep-2020	3.27	<0.001	0.223	<0.001	0.56	<0.0001	0.012	0.002	0.005	6.81	0.001	0.033	<0.0001	<0.001	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.03	
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	20-Oct-2020	1.69	<0.001	0.211	<0.001	0.52	<0.0001	0.004	0.001	0.047	0.69	0.002	0.017	<0.0001	<0.001	0.013	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.187	
15	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	27-Sep-2019	0.88	0.002	0.324	<0.001	0.78	<0.0001	0.022	<0.001	0.015	2.38	0.002	0.126	<0.0001	0.012	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.008	<0.01	0.043	
15	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	28-Oct-2019	0.77	0.001	0.141	<0.001	0.74	<0.0001	0.005	<0.001	0.01	2.71	0.001	0.123	<0.0001	0.005	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.009	<0.01	0.058	
15	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	26-Nov-2019	0.1	0.003	0.199	<0.001	0.66	<0.0001	0.005	<0.001	0.002	0.41	0.002	0.042	<0.0001	0.03	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.015	
15	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	17-Dec-2019	0.04	0.002	0.065	<0.001	0.61	<0.0001	0.004	<0.001	0.004	0.94	<0.001	0.028	<0.0001	0.018	0.002	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.015	
15	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	31-Mar-2020	0.07	0.002	0.099	<0.001	0.61	<0.0001	0.005	<0.001	0.003	0.74	<0.001	0.053	<0.0001	0.008	0.023	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.026	
15	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	27-Apr-2020	0.09	<0.005	0.095	<0.005	1.03	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	0.96	<0.005	0.215	<0.0001	<0.005	0.019	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.041	
15	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	18-May-2020	0.09	0.001	0.11	<0.001	0.7	<0.0001	0.005	0.003	0.014	0.66	<0.001	0.149	<0.0001	0.002	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.066	
15	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	17-Jun-2020	0.06	<0.001	0.101	<0.001	0.61	<0.0001	0.003	<0.001	0.004	0.44	<0.001	0.11	<0.0001	0.002	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	0.004	<0.01	0.024	
15	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	13-Jul-2020	0.1	<0.001	0.15	<0.001	0.62	<0.0001	0.007	0.001	0.003	1.98	<0.001	0.385	<0.0001	0.003	0.01	<0.01	<0.001	0.006	<0.01	0.06	
15	DW7264W2	Aries 1 Seam	18-Aug-2020	0.03	0.001	0.157	<0.001	0.76	<0.0001	0.004	<0.													

Appendix C3: Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site No.	Bore No.	Groundwater Unit	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
	Livestock Trigger - ANZECC 2000			5	0.5	n/a	n/a	5	0.01	1	1	1	n/a	0.1	n/a	0.002	0.15	1	0.02	n/a	0.2	n/a	20
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	27-Apr-2020	0.11	0.002	1.76	<0.001	0.4	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.01	0.69	<0.001	0.066	<0.0001	0.006	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.024
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	18-May-2020	0.1	<0.001	1.76	<0.001	0.37	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.006	0.48	<0.001	0.081	<0.0001	0.005	0.007	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.03
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	17-Jun-2020	0.08	<0.001	2.42	<0.001	0.33	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.005	0.47	<0.001	0.063	<0.0001	0.005	0.008	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.024
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	13-Jul-2020	0.07	<0.001	2.06	<0.001	0.35	<0.0001	0.001	<0.001	0.005	0.33	<0.001	0.076	<0.0001	0.006	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.041
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	18-Aug-2020	0.05	<0.001	1.67	<0.001	0.42	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.006	0.51	<0.001	0.076	<0.0001	0.006	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.043
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	21-Sep-2020	0.07	0.001	1.64	<0.001	0.41	<0.0001	0.002	<0.001	0.004	0.61	<0.001	0.104	<0.0001	0.004	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.022
15	DW7264W3	Aries 3 Seam	19-Oct-2020	0.06	<0.001	1.96	<0.001	0.25	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.57	<0.001	0.082	<0.0001	0.003	0.005	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.012
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	26-Sep-2019	0.32	<0.005	0.173	<0.005	0.91	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	3.57	<0.005	0.103	<0.0001	<0.005	0.006	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.039
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	28-Oct-2019	0.18	<0.001	0.132	<0.001	0.74	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.007	3.96	<0.001	0.097	<0.0001	0.002	0.004	<0.01	<0.001	0.003	<0.01	0.055
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	25-Nov-2019	0.17	0.002	0.208	<0.001	0.75	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	3.35	<0.001	0.112	<0.0001	0.002	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.073
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	17-Dec-2019	0.25	<0.005	0.16	<0.005	1.24	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.007	5.21	<0.005	0.146	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.044
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	20-Feb-2020	0.18	<0.005	0.125	<0.005	0.81	0.0013	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	4.92	<0.005	0.124	<0.0001	0.006	0.013	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.112
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	20-Mar-2020	0.22	<0.005	0.119	<0.005	0.68	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.122	6.38	<0.005	0.134	<0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.081
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	27-Apr-2020	0.17	<0.005	0.118	<0.005	1.03	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.021	4.92	<0.005	0.131	<0.0001	0.008	0.01	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.098
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-May-2020	0.15	<0.005	0.109	<0.005	1.04	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	4.76	<0.005	0.118	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.112
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	17-Jun-2020	0.06	<0.001	0.125	<0.001	0.58	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.001	0.005	4.02	<0.001	0.1	<0.0001	<0.001	0.012	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.096
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	14-Jul-2020	0.13	<0.005	0.117	<0.005	0.76	<0.0005	0.006	<0.005	0.02	4.36	<0.005	0.122	<0.0001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.09
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-Aug-2020	<0.05	<0.005	0.116	<0.005	0.97	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	4.14	<0.005	0.094	0.0001	<0.005	0.008	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.1
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	21-Sep-2020	0.08	<0.005	0.125	<0.005	0.97	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.007	4.66	<0.005	0.123	<0.0001	<0.005	0.007	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.054
16	DW7282W2	Aries 3 Seam	19-Oct-2020	0.22	<0.005	0.112	<0.005	0.98	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.008	3.72	<0.005	0.09	<0.0001	<0.005	0.011	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.042
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	26-Sep-2019	4.4	0.008	0.316	<0.001	1.62	<0.0001	0.009	0.006	0.019	8.38	0.008	0.412	<0.0001	0.001	0.021	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.01	0.066
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	28-Oct-2019	1.34	0.005	0.166	<0.001	1.57	<0.0001	0.003	0.003	0.011	2.58	0.003	0.312	<0.0001	0.002	0.011	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.076
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	25-Nov-2019	3.16	0.007	0.25	<0.001	1.46	<0.0001	0.007	0.005	0.011	5.22	0.005	0.281	<0.0001	0.002	0.025	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.101
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	17-Dec-2019	2.26	0.006	0.171	<0.001	1.59	0.0001	0.004	0.004	0.016	3.5	0.003	0.297	<0.0001	0.003	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.058
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	20-Feb-2020	2.88	0.004	0.148	<0.001	1.39	<0.0001	0.009	0.004	0.011	6.18	0.003	0.282	<0.0001	0.002	0.027	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.081
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	20-Mar-2020	2.07	0.008	0.118	<0.005	1.65	<0.0005	0.006	<0.005	0.009	6.25	<0.005	0.289	<0.0001	<0.005	0.018	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.059
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	27-Apr-2020	3.44	0.006	0.154	<0.005	1.97	<0.0005	0.01	<0.005	0.031	6.04	<0.005	0.283	<0.0001	<0.005	0.028	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.112
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	19-May-2020	2.67	0.005	0.132	<0.001	1.51	<0.0001	0.007	0.004	0.034	4.8	0.003	0.268	<0.0001	0.002	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.136
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	17-Jun-2020	1.66	0.005	0.114	<0.001	1.4	<0.0001	0.004	0.003	0.008	3.42	0.002	0.238	<0.0001	0.002	0.017	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.088
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	14-Jul-2020	1.79	<0.005	0.124	<0.005	1.96	<0.0005	<0.005	<0.005	0.056	3.79	<0.005	0.268	<0.0001	<0.005	0.018	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.05	0.115
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	19-Aug-2020	0.62	0.003	0.171	<0.001	1.67	<0.0001	0.004	0.002	0.026	1.24	0.001	0.228	0.0005	0.002	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.109
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	21-Sep-2020	1.98	0.003	0.124	<0.001	1.76	<0.0001	0.009	0.003	0.019	4.11	0.002	0.256	<0.0001	0.002	0.016	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	<0.01	0.049
16	DW7282W1	Overburden	19-Oct-2020	2.48	0.007	0.154	<0.001	0.96	<0.0001	0.005	0.003	0.013	5.17	0.002	0.266	<0.0001	0.001	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	0.001	<0.01	0.051
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	25-Sep-2019	11.1	0.004	0.146	<0.001	0.97	<0.0001	0.028	0.004	0.018	14	0.01	0.164	<0.0001	0.002	0.018	<0.01	<0.001	0.013	0.05	0.341
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	28-Oct-2019	17.8	0.003	0.158	0.002	0.47	<0.0001	0.046	0.004	0.026	21	0.013	0.113	0.0002	0.001	0.019	<0.01	<0.001	0.005	0.09	0.207
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	25-Nov-2019	5.6	0.003	0.161	<0.001	0.42	<0.0001	0.016	0.003	0.008	5.6	0.003	0.112	0.0001	<0.001	0.014	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.03	0.123
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	31-Mar-2020	3.19	<0.001	0.068	<0.001	0.18	<0.0001	0.031	0.003	0.098	3.23	0.006	0.075	<0.0001	0.002	0.097	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	0.01	0.409
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	27-Apr-2020	6.06	0.002	0.076	<0.001	0.22	<0.0001	0.029	0.002	0.067	7.36	0.008	0.117	<0.0001	0.002	0.085	<0.01	<0.001	0.002	0.03	0.5
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	18-May-2020	3.26	0.002	0.072	<0.001	0.17	0.0002	0.02	0.003	0.098	3.67	0.002	0.049	<0.0001	<0.001	0.035	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	0.02	0.57
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	17-Jun-2020	0.96	0.001	0.052	<0.001	0.19	<0.0001	0.006	<0.001	0.045	1.71	0.002	0.044	<0.0001	<0.001	0.036	<0.01	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	0.218
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	13-Jul-2020	3.76	<0.001	0.099	<0.001	0.31	<0.0001	0.013	0.002	0.07	3.55										


Appendix C-4: Summary Statistics for pH, EC, TDS, Major Ion Data																
Site	Groundwater Unit	pH		Electrical Conductivity		TDS	Major Ions									
		Lab	Field	Lab	Field	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	Na	Ca	Mg	K	Cl	SO4	Carbonate Alkalinity	Bicarbonate Alkalinity	Hydroxide Alkalinity	Total Alkalinity
Major Ion, pH, EC, TPH Statistics																
Quaternary Alluvium	Total No. of Samples	29	30	29	30	29	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31
	No. Samples >LOR	29	30	29	30	29	31	31	31	25	31	31	1	31	0	31
	% >LOR	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	81	100	100	3	100	0	100
	Min	6.04	5.53	1560	1594	932	267	5	20	1	501	10	562	54	0	54
	Max	8.51	7.49	16600	17106	11300	3760	73	407	17	4290	249	562	3680	0	3680
	Mean	7.42	6.77	10211	10437	6655	2229	33	211	12	2731	140	562	2054		2072
	Median	7.72	7.21	14300	14479	9340	3050	33	277	14	3630	184	562	2960		2980
	80th Percentile	8.00	7.34	15340	15149	10040	3410	47	329	16	4040	209	562	3480		3480
	95th Percentile	8.07	7.38	16200	16209	10560	3665	66	376	16	4180	226	562	3610		3610
Standard Deviation	0.68	0.70	6299	6302	4182	1395	19	138	6	1554	86		1521		1535	
Tertiary Sediments	Total No. of Samples	66	68	66	68	66	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69
	No. Samples >LOR	66	68	66	68	66	69	69	69	69	69	69	1	69	0	69
	% >LOR	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	1	100	0	100
	Min	6.22	5.77	1400	1415	823	181	63	10	3	288	25	8	243	0	243
	Max	8.29	7.47	22600	22783	16400	4540	259	709	101	8160	635	8	740	0	740
	Mean	7.21	6.50	12413	12262	8151	2168	135	314	26	4194	170	8	519		519
	Median	7.26	6.56	9880	10003	6285	1740	122	238	10	3310	146	8	552		552
	80th Percentile	7.57	6.75	21300	21380	14300	3790	189	551	51	7474	271	8	655		655
	95th Percentile	7.84	6.94	22050	22362	14875	3986	232	592	73	7836	346	8	719		719
Standard Deviation	0.42	0.34	7870	7913	5438	1454	54	218	26	2923	118		141		141	
Coal Measures	Total No. of Samples	347	363	347	363	347	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368
	No. Samples >LOR	347	363	347	363	347	368	368	368	368	368	368	15	368	0	368
	% >LOR	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	4	100	0	100
	Min	6.02	5.56	959	949	606	237	4	4	2	70	2	9	214	0	214
	Max	8.70	8.50	32800	33343	25600	6060	1050	998	43	11500	841	41	1130	0	1130
	Mean	7.37	6.66	18173	18272	12134	3334	262	400	16	6262	384	24	595		596
	Median	7.31	6.55	17900	17757	11000	3580	249	373	13	6055	348	23	593		594
	80th Percentile	7.78	6.94	25880	26066	17600	4666	376	641	23	9032	619	31	715		715
	95th Percentile	8.21	7.53	28400	28692	19900	5383	508	792	28	9897	766	37	878		878
Standard Deviation	0.48	0.48	8001	8115	5849	1481	159	247	9	2930	229	9	168		167	

Appendix C-4: Summary Statistics for Dissolved Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site	Groundwater Unit	Unit Type	Date	Al (diss)	As (diss)	Ba (diss)	Be (diss)	B (diss)	Cd (diss)	Cr (diss)	Co (diss)	Cu (diss)	Fe (diss)	Pb (diss)	Mn (diss)	Hg (diss)	Mo (diss)	Ni (diss)	Se (diss)	Ag (diss)	U (diss)	V (diss)	Zn (diss)	
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
ANZECC 2000 - 95% Freshwater Species Protection				0.055	0.013			0.37	0.0002	0.001		0.0014		0.0034	1.9	0.0006		0.011	0.011	0.00005				0.008
Dissolved Metals Statistics																								
Quaternary Alluvium	Total No. of Samples			31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31
	No. Samples >LOR			18	22	31	0	31	1	3	24	20	16	0	31	0	23	29	0	0	21	9	21	
	% >LOR			58	71	100	0	100	3	10	77	65	52	0	100	0	74	94	0	0	68	29	68	
	Min			0.010	0.001	0.044	0.000	0.190	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.050	0.000	0.030	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.010	0.007	
	Max			0.090	0.003	0.344	0.000	4.810	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.273	0.660	0.000	0.289	0.000	0.009	0.083	0.000	0.000	0.058	0.030	0.533	
	Mean			0.042	0.002	0.158		2.479	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.031	0.128		0.105		0.002	0.014			0.033	0.016	0.138	
	Median			0.045	0.002	0.167		3.300	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.015	0.090		0.077		0.002	0.005			0.033	0.010	0.028	
	80th Percentile			0.060	0.003	0.217		4.380	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.044	0.130		0.138		0.003	0.023			0.051	0.020	0.264	
	95th Percentile			0.090	0.003	0.269		4.660	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.069	0.278		0.227		0.004	0.056			0.058	0.026	0.461	
Standard Deviation			0.027	0.001	0.076		1.884		0.000	0.001	0.060	0.145		0.067		0.002	0.020			0.017	0.007	0.166		
Tertiary Sediments	Total No. of Samples			69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69
	No. Samples >LOR			13	22	69	1	69	1	6	47	29	42	0	69	1	49	68	0	2	61	0	67	
	% >LOR			19	32	100	1	100	1	9	68	42	61	0	100	1	71	99	0	3	88	0	97	
	Min			0.010	0.001	0.090	0.001	0.120	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.050	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.007	
	Max			0.080	0.003	0.270	0.001	1.610	0.000	0.003	0.005	0.147	5.710	0.000	0.228	0.000	0.028	0.039	0.000	0.001	0.012	0.000	0.370	
	Mean			0.024	0.002	0.170		0.826		0.002	0.002	0.017	0.855		0.057		0.006	0.012			0.006		0.068	
	Median			0.020	0.002	0.161		0.820		0.002	0.002	0.003	0.190		0.028		0.004	0.011			0.006		0.047	
	80th Percentile			0.026	0.003	0.211		1.282		0.003	0.004	0.022	0.412		0.087		0.008	0.015			0.008		0.096	
	95th Percentile			0.050	0.003	0.250		1.460		0.003	0.004	0.065	5.148		0.190		0.017	0.020			0.010		0.172	
Standard Deviation			0.018	0.001	0.044		0.446		0.001	0.001	0.031	1.552		0.065		0.005	0.006			0.003		0.064		
Coal Measures	Total No. of Samples			368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368
	No. Samples >LOR			52	260	368	1	368	6	35	103	110	350	8	368	0	235	357	0	5	190	0	285	
	% >LOR			14	71	100	0	100	2	10	28	30	95	2	100	0	64	97	0	1	52	0	77	
	Min			0.010	0.001	0.024	0.001	0.070	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.050	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.005	
	Max			0.420	0.039	6.380	0.001	2.010	0.001	0.011	0.025	0.131	18.500	0.007	1.770	0.000	2.850	0.046	0.000	0.007	0.041	0.000	0.371	
	Mean			0.036	0.006	0.341		0.835	0.000	0.003	0.006	0.017	2.143	0.004	0.242		0.026	0.009			0.005		0.059	
	Median			0.020	0.004	0.134		0.815	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.006	1.790	0.004	0.192		0.002	0.007			0.003		0.047	
	80th Percentile			0.040	0.011	0.282		1.226	0.000	0.003	0.008	0.016	3.342	0.004	0.293		0.007	0.012			0.007		0.092	
	95th Percentile			0.060	0.019	1.377		1.420	0.001	0.007	0.019	0.083	5.090	0.006	0.468		0.081	0.020			0.018		0.150	
Standard Deviation			0.057	0.007	0.644		0.394	0.000	0.002	0.006	0.027	1.843	0.002	0.276		0.192	0.006			0.006		0.049		

Appendix C-4: Summary Statistics for Total Metals/ Metalloid Data

Site	Groundwater Unit	Unit Type	Date	Al (tot)	As (tot)	Ba (tot)	Be (tot)	B (tot)	Cd (tot)	Cr (tot)	Co (tot)	Cu (tot)	Fe (tot)	Pb (tot)	Mn (tot)	Hg (tot)	Mo (tot)	Ni (tot)	Se (tot)	Ag (tot)	U (tot)	V (tot)	Zn (tot)
				mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Livestock Trigger - ANZECC 2000				5	0.5	n/a	n/a	5	0.01	1	1	1	n/a	0.1	n/a	0.002	0.15	1	0.02	n/a	0.2	n/a	20
Total Metals Statistics																							
Quaternary Alluvium	Total No. of Samples			31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31
	No. Samples >LOR			31	25	31	3	31	2	31	29	31	31	29	31	4	22	31	1	0	25	24	30
	% >LOR			100	81	100	10	100	6	100	94	100	100	94	100	13	71	100	3	0	81	77	97
	Min			0.960	0.001	0.052	0.002	0.160	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.890	0.001	0.044	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.010	0.000	0.001	0.010	0.009
	Max			20.100	0.009	0.389	0.004	4.710	0.000	0.046	0.008	0.452	21.000	0.020	0.321	0.000	0.004	0.097	0.010	0.000	0.073	0.090	0.570
	Mean			6.183	0.003	0.196	0.003	2.830		0.014	0.004	0.129	3.625	0.006	0.158		0.002	0.018			0.037	0.026	0.142
	Median			4.810	0.003	0.196	0.002	3.890		0.010	0.004	0.096	2.220	0.005	0.154		0.002	0.010			0.038	0.020	0.053
	80th Percentile			8.430	0.004	0.292	0.003	4.440		0.020	0.004	0.232	3.670	0.008	0.240		0.002	0.026			0.053	0.034	0.276
	95th Percentile			17.050	0.005	0.342	0.004	4.670		0.031	0.008	0.385	10.680	0.014	0.291		0.003	0.062			0.064	0.049	0.459
Standard Deviation			4.683	0.002	0.093	0.001	1.911		0.010	0.002	0.127	4.151	0.004	0.079		0.001	0.022			0.020	0.017	0.160	
Tertiary Sediments	Total No. of Samples			69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69
	No. Samples >LOR			69	42	69	3	69	6	69	55	69	69	37	69	9	47	69	0	0	61	16	68
	% >LOR			100	61	100	4	100	9	100	80	100	100	54	100	13	68	100	0	0	88	23	99
	Min			0.090	0.001	0.102	0.001	0.120	0.0001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.190	0.001	0.008	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.010	0.008
	Max			27.400	0.004	0.331	0.002	1.600	0.0002	0.106	0.005	0.160	8.860	0.009	0.243	0.001	0.031	0.041	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.060	0.426
	Mean			3.407	0.002	0.186		0.850	0.0001	0.012	0.002	0.014	2.061	0.002	0.063	0.000	0.006	0.014			0.006	0.019	0.079
	Median			2.650	0.002	0.183		0.780	0.0001	0.008	0.002	0.007	1.260	0.002	0.035	0.000	0.004	0.013			0.008	0.015	0.058
	80th Percentile			4.340	0.003	0.229		1.330	0.0002	0.013	0.004	0.015	2.676	0.003	0.101	0.001	0.009	0.017			0.009	0.020	0.113
	95th Percentile			9.528	0.003	0.272		1.520	0.0002	0.032	0.005	0.059	6.646	0.004	0.204	0.001	0.018	0.023			0.012	0.045	0.189
Standard Deviation			3.931	0.001	0.050		0.474	0.0001	0.014	0.001	0.024	1.982	0.001	0.067	0.000	0.006	0.006			0.004	0.014	0.067	
Coal Measures	Total No. of Samples			368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368	368
	No. Samples >LOR			351	291	368	4	368	26	151	120	341	368	92	368	14	246	359	1	5	197	10	365
	% >LOR			95	79	100	1	100	7	41	33	93	100	25	100	4	67	98	0	1	54	3	99
	Min			0.010	0.001	0.030	0.001	0.100	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.090	0.001	0.004	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.010	0.001	0.001	0.010	0.007
	Max			10.400	0.039	6.980	0.004	2.100	0.001	0.022	0.032	1.470	18.200	0.015	1.900	0.001	2.910	0.055	0.010	0.004	0.048	0.030	0.420
	Mean			0.522	0.006	0.440		0.872	0.000	0.004	0.006	0.022	2.989	0.003	0.255		0.028	0.010			0.005		0.069
	Median			0.110	0.004	0.145		0.880	0.000	0.003	0.004	0.007	2.610	0.002	0.197		0.003	0.008			0.003		0.055
	80th Percentile			0.410	0.010	0.322		1.266	0.000	0.005	0.007	0.021	4.306	0.005	0.305		0.009	0.014			0.007		0.097
	95th Percentile			2.880	0.020	1.943		1.577	0.001	0.012	0.021	0.081	6.954	0.009	0.533		0.100	0.024			0.019		0.157
Standard Deviation			1.208	0.007	0.815		0.425	0.000	0.004	0.007	0.084	2.361	0.003	0.296		0.192	0.007			0.007		0.054	







Legend





- 1 Site Number
- DW7082W1 Bore Number
- Outline of Proposed Pit
- MLA Boundary







CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Groundwater Monitoring Bore Locations	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 1



CLIENT		Magnetic South		PROJECT		Gemini Project	
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE Groundwater Level Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 1, 2 & 3			
CHECKED		DATE					
SCALE	As Shown	A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No.	2	







CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Groundwater Level Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 4, 5 & 6	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 3







CLIENT	Magnetic South	PROJECT	Gemini Project
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020
CHECKED		DATE	
SCALE	As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005
			FIGURE No. 4

**Groundwater Level Data
Monitoring Bores at Sites 7, 8 & 9**







CLIENT		Magnetic South		PROJECT		Gemini Project	
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE			
CHECKED				DATE			
SCALE		As Shown		A4		PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005
						FIGURE No.	5





**Groundwater Level Data
Monitoring Bores at Sites 10, 11 & 12**







CLIENT		Magnetic South		PROJECT		Gemini Project	
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE			
CHECKED				DATE			
SCALE		As Shown		A4		PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	
						FIGURE No. 6	







	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE	
	CHECKED		DATE	
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 7
Groundwater Level Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 16 & 17				







CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Electrical Conductivity (EC) Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 1, 2, 3 & 4	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 8




CLIENT		Magnetic South		PROJECT		Gemini Project	
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE Electrical Conductivity (EC) Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 5, 6, 7 & 8			
CHECKED		DATE					
SCALE	As Shown	A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No.	9	








CLIENT		Magnetic South		PROJECT		Gemini Project	
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE Electrical Conductivity (EC) Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 9, 10, 11 & 12			
CHECKED		DATE					
SCALE	As Shown	A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No.	10	







CLIENT		Magnetic South		PROJECT		Gemini Project		
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE Electrical Conductivity (EC) Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 13, 14, 15 & 16				
CHECKED		DATE						
SCALE	As Shown		A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005		FIGURE No.	11







	CLIENT		Magnetic South	PROJECT		Gemini Project	
	DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	Electrical Conductivity (EC) Data Monitoring Bores at Site 17		
	CHECKED		DATE				
	SCALE		As Shown	A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No.







CLIENT		Magnetic South		PROJECT		Gemini Project		
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE				
				Sulphate (SO₄) Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 1, 2, 3 & 4				
CHECKED		DATE						
SCALE	As Shown		A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005		FIGURE No.	13




CLIENT	Magnetic South		PROJECT	Gemini Project	
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE	Sulphate (SO₄) Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 5, 6, 7 & 8
CHECKED		DATE			
SCALE	As Shown	A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No.
					14








CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Sulphate (SO₄) Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 9, 10, 11 & 12	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 15







CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Sulphate (SO₄) Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 13, 14, 15 & 16	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 16







	CLIENT		Magnetic South	PROJECT		Gemini Project	
	DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE		Field pH Data Monitoring Bores at Site 17
	CHECKED		DATE				
	SCALE	As Shown		A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No.







CLIENT		Magnetic South		PROJECT		Gemini Project	
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE Field pH Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 1, 2, 3 & 4			
CHECKED		DATE					
SCALE	As Shown		A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No.	18




CLIENT	Magnetic South		PROJECT	Gemini Project	
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE	Field pH Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 5, 6, 7 & 8
CHECKED		DATE			
SCALE	As Shown	A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No.
					19





CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Field pH Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 9, 10, 11 & 12	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 20







CLIENT		Magnetic South		PROJECT		Gemini Project		
DRAWN	JWB	DATE	Nov 2020	TITLE				
				Field pH Data Monitoring Bores at Sites 13, 14, 15 & 16				
CHECKED								
SCALE	As Shown		A4	PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005		FIGURE No.	21






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Field pH Data Monitoring Bores at Site 17	
	CHECKED	DATE		
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 22






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project		
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Proposed Groundwater Compliance and Reference Bores		
	CHECKED	DATE			
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 23	







CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	Field Electrical Conductivity (EC) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 24






CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Sulphate (SO₄) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 25






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project		
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Field pH Data Proposed Compliance Bores		
	CHECKED	DATE	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005		
	SCALE As Shown	A4	FIGURE No. 26		






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project		
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Dissolved Aluminium (Al) Data Proposed Compliance Bores		
	CHECKED	DATE	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005		
	SCALE As Shown	A4	FIGURE No. 27		






CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Dissolved Arsenic (As) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 28






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project		
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	Dissolved Boron (B) Data Proposed Compliance Bores		
	CHECKED	DATE			
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 29	






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project		
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	Dissolved Cadmium (Cd) Data Proposed Compliance Bores		
	CHECKED	DATE			
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 30	






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project		
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Dissolved Cobalt (Co) Data Proposed Compliance Bores		
	CHECKED	DATE	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005		
	SCALE As Shown	A4	FIGURE No. 31		






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project		
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Dissolved Chromium (Cr) Data Proposed Compliance Bores		
	CHECKED	DATE			
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 32	



CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Dissolved Copper (Cu) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 33






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project		
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	Dissolved Mercury (Hg) Data Proposed Compliance Bores		
	CHECKED	DATE			
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 34	






CLIENT	Magnetic South	
DRAWN	JWB	DATE Nov 2020
CHECKED		DATE
SCALE	As Shown	A4




PROJECT	Gemini Project	
TITLE	Dissolved Manganese (Mn) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
PROJECT No.	JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 35






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project		
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	Dissolved Molybdenum (Mo) Data Proposed Compliance Bores		
	CHECKED	DATE			
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 36	







CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Dissolved Nickel (Ni) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 37






CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Dissolved Lead (Pb) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 38






	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	Dissolved Selenium (Se) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
	CHECKED	DATE		
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 39



	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	Dissolved Uranium (U) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
	CHECKED	DATE		
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 40



	CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
	DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	Dissolved Vanadium (V) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
	CHECKED	DATE		
	SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 41



CLIENT Magnetic South		PROJECT Gemini Project	
DRAWN JWB	DATE Nov 2020	TITLE Dissolved Zinc (Zn) Data Proposed Compliance Bores	
CHECKED	DATE		
SCALE As Shown	A4	PROJECT No. JBT01-071-005	FIGURE No. 42

Appendix E Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan

Report Prepared for Magnetic South Pty Ltd

Gemini Project

UNDERGROUND WATER MONITORING PROGRAM

December 2020



JBT01-071-006

RECORD OF ISSUE

File Name	Description	Issued to:	Date Issued	Method of Delivery
JBT01-071-006	Final	H Carney	14 December 2020	email

JBT Consulting Pty Ltd

John Bradley
PRINCIPAL HYDROGEOLOGIST

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY	2
3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING BORES	4
4.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING	6
4.1 Water Level Monitoring	6
4.2 Water Quality Monitoring.....	6
4.3 Assessment of Groundwater Monitoring Data Against Trigger Values	7
4.3.1 Water Level Data	7
4.3.2 Water Quality Data.....	7
5.0 MONITORING AND SAMPLE ANALYSIS METHODS	7
6.0 STORAGE AND PUBLISHING OF MONITORING DATA.....	8
7.0 REPORTING	8

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Summary of Regional and Site Stratigraphy	2
Table 3-1: Gemini Project - Groundwater Monitoring Bores.....	4
Table 4-1: Groundwater Quality Monitoring Parameters and Limits	6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: 1:100,000 Scale Surface Geology.....	3
Figure 2-2: Solid Geology	3
Figure 3-1: Groundwater Monitoring Bore Locations	5

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Underground Water Monitoring Program (UWMP) for the Gemini Project (the Project) has been prepared by JBT Consulting, on behalf of Magnetic South Pty Ltd (Magnetic South) to satisfy the anticipated conditions of the Project's Environmental Authority (EA) and Associated Water Licence (AWL). The UWMP will be amended as required to reflect the final EA and AWL conditions.

2.0 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

The Gemini coal deposit is hosted within the Permian Rangal Coal Measures and within the Yarrabee Structural Zone. Seven seams or seam groups have been identified at the Gemini Project site, which belong to either the Rangal Coal Measures or the underlying Burngrove Formation (Boyd 2019). In descending stratigraphic order the seams include the Aries, Castor, Pollux, Orion, Pisces, Virgo and Leo seams. The seams contain a number of individual plies that have identified for mining at site; the main coal seams that are encountered at site and their typical thickness are shown below in Table 3-1.

The surface geology at site is shown in Figure 2-1. It predominantly comprises sediments of the Tertiary Duaringa Formation and Quaternary alluvium associated with ephemeral creeks including Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek. At one location north of Pit C a small area of remnant basalt has been identified from drilling, measuring approximately 600 m long and 200 m wide with a thickness of approximately 20 m (JTB 2019). Groundwater monitoring bore DW7105W1 is located within the basalt and is dry (JBT 2019).

Figure 2-2 shows the project location in relation to the underlying Bowen Basin solid geology (i.e. the surficial unconsolidated Quaternary and Tertiary units have been removed, revealing the relationship between the underlying Triassic and Permian sediments as well as the prevalence of regional-scale faults). The two mining areas (A-B Pit and C Pit) are located in areas where folding has brought the coal seams close to surface at depths that can be economically mined.

Table 2-1: Summary of Regional and Site Stratigraphy

Geological Age	Unit	Coal Seams	Description	Typical Thickness at Site (m)
Quaternary	Alluvium		Unconsolidated soil, silt clay, sand and gravel associated with current surface drainage systems, e.g. Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek	1.5
Tertiary	Duaringa Formation		Mudstone, sandstone, conglomerate, siltstone	15 - 30
	Basalt		Minor basalt at one location north of Pit C.	20
Triassic	Rewan Group		Lithic sandstone, pebbly lithic sandstone, green to reddish brown mudstone and minor volcanilithic pebble conglomerate at base	0 - 50
Permian	Rangal Coal Measures	Aries Upper	Feldspathic and lithic sandstone, carbonaceous mudstone, siltstone, tuff and coal seams. Includes the Aries, Castor and Pollux Coal Seam, which are the target coal seam for mining at the Gemini Project	2.1
		Aries Lower		4
		Castor Upper		1.6
		Castor Lower		2
		Pollux Upper		1.9
		Pollux Lower Upper		2.9
		Pollux Lower Lower		3.5
		Orion		6.1
		Pisces Upper		1.7
		Yarrabee Tuff		0.9
		Pisces Lower		0.7
	Burngrove Formation	Virgo	Mudstone, siltstone, sandstone, coal, tuff	2.8
		Leo		4.4
Gyranda Formation		Siltstone and shale with minor tuff and volcanilithic sandstone and rare coal	0 to 100 m+	




Figure 2-1: 1:100,000 Scale Surface Geology




Figure 2-2: Solid Geology

3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING BORES

The selection of sampling locations has been determined by assessing the location of existing bores in the Project area, the planned area of mining disturbance, as well as aquifer characteristics. The frequency of monitoring has been determined by considering spatial and temporal factors associated with the aquifer, with the intention to allow any change in groundwater conditions to be determined.

The Gemini Project Monitoring program will include monitoring of 15 Compliance Bores at nine sites and 14 Reference Bores at six sites. Replacement monitoring bores will be constructed (potentially at an alternative location) if any of the bores are decommissioned due to mining. The bores will also be replaced if they become unserviceable for any other reason (e.g. due to bore collapse or failure).

Monitoring sites and monitoring frequency are shown in Table 3-1 and bore locations are presented on Figure 3-1.

Table 3-1: Gemini Project - Groundwater Monitoring Bores

Site	Bore ID	Unit Monitored	Easting (GDA94)	Northing (GDA94)	Collar RL (mAHD)	Monitoring* Frequency
Compliance Bores						
2	DW7068W	Tertiary	730785	7382391	134	2-Monthly
3	DW7069W	Permian	730397	7382699	132.57	2-Monthly
	DW7071W	Permian	730394	7382703	132.4	2-Monthly
4	DW7073W	Permian	729926	7382666	122.09	2-Monthly
	DW7074W	Permian	729922	7382666	122.04	2-Monthly
	DW7075W	Tertiary	729918	7382666	121.83	2-Monthly
5	DW7076W	Quaternary Alluvium	729750	7382723	119.81	2-Monthly
6	DW7033W1	Tertiary	731543	7383768	124.4	2-Monthly
	DW7033W2	Permian	731546	7383773	124.45	2-Monthly
	DW7033W3	Permian	731548	7383777	124.43	2-Monthly
7	DW7035W3	Permian	730957	7384050	116.67	2-Monthly
11	DW7178W1	Tertiary	732174	7383260	128.65	2-Monthly
15	DW7264W2	Permian	733391	7382921	112.24	2-Monthly
	DW7264W3	Permian	733391	7382925	112.24	2-Monthly
17	DW7292W1	Quaternary Alluvium	732905	7381108	113.58	2-Monthly
Reference Bores						
8	DW7082W1	Permian	728989	7378746	135.26	2-Monthly
	DW7082W2	Permian	728986	7378742	135.33	2-Monthly
9	DW7093W1	Permian	730096	7378974	139	2-Monthly
	DW7093W2	Permian	730092	7378973	139.05	2-Monthly
	DW7093W3	Permian	730088	7378974	139.12	2-Monthly
10	DW7105W2	Permian	730193	7380729	128.7	2-Monthly
12	DW7220W1	Tertiary	729775	7379648	128.68	2-Monthly
	DW7220W2	Permian	729775	7379651	128.64	2-Monthly
	DW7220W3	Permian	729774	7379655	128.68	2-Monthly
13	DW7221W1	Permian	729846	7379745	129.32	2-Monthly
	DW7221W2	Permian	729845	7379742	129.25	2-Monthly
14	DW7225W1	Tertiary	730467	7378359	140.64	2-Monthly
	DW7225W2	Aries 3 Seam	730466	7378355	140.69	2-Monthly
	DW7225W3	Castor Seam	730465	7378351	140.7	2-Monthly




Figure 3-1: Groundwater Monitoring Bore Locations

4.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

4.1 Water Level Monitoring

Water level monitoring will be undertaken at all sites on a 3-monthly basis, with data loggers fitted to groundwater monitoring bores that monitor the Quaternary alluvium. The alluvial bores that are to be fitted with data loggers are located adjacent to Charlevue Creek (DW7076W) and Springton Creek (DW7292W1). The logger data from these will be analysed to establish seasonal variations in water levels, including response to rainfall recharge and response to flow events. The monitoring frequency will be 6-hourly initially, with the long-term monitoring interval to be determined by a suitably qualified person following review of initial data.

4.2 Water Quality Monitoring

A water quality monitoring program will be undertaken for the parameters shown in Table, at the monitoring frequency and for the parameters shown below in Table 4-1. Groundwater quality parameters to be analysed have been determined based on likely and potential contaminants identified in the baseline assessment, which may be elevated as a result of mining operations, or may be of significance for protection of environmental values. The water quality data in compliance monitoring bores (Table 3-1) will be assessed against the trigger values shown in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1: Groundwater Quality Monitoring Parameters and Limits

Parameter	Groundwater Unit and Trigger Values		
	Quaternary Alluvium	Tertiary	Permian
pH (pH units)	5.5 – 8.5	5.5 – 8.5	5.5 – 8.5
Electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	16209	22362	28692
Metals/metalloids (dissolved – mg/L) (1)			
Aluminium	0.09	0.13	0.13
Arsenic	0.013	0.013	0.019
Boron	4.66	1.46	1.42
Cadmium	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002
Cobalt	0.004	0.004	0.019
Chromium	0.001	0.001	0.001
Copper	0.069	0.065	0.083
Mercury	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Manganese	1.9	1.9	1.9
Molybdenum	0.034	0.034	0.034
Nickel	0.056	0.02	0.02
Lead	0.034	0.034	0.034
Selenium	0.01	0.01	0.01
Uranium	0.058	0.01	0.018
Vanadium	0.026	0.01	0.01
Zinc	0.46	0.17	0.15
Major Ions (mg/L)			
Sulphate	226	346	766
Calcium, chloride, potassium, magnesium, sodium, alkalinity	For interpretation purposes only		

(1) All metals and metalloids to be measured as total (unfiltered) and dissolved (filtered).

4.3 Assessment of Groundwater Monitoring Data Against Trigger Values

4.3.1 Water Level Data

Proposed groundwater level triggers are based on the bore trigger thresholds in the *Water Act 2000* as shown in the excerpt below:

Water Act 2000
Chapter 3 Underground water management

[s 362]

bore trigger threshold, for an aquifer, means a decline in the water level in the aquifer that is—

- (a) if a regulation prescribes the bore trigger threshold for an area in which the aquifer is situated—the prescribed threshold for the area; or
- (b) otherwise—
 - (i) for a consolidated aquifer—5m; or
 - (ii) for an unconsolidated aquifer—2m.

Proposed water level triggers are therefore:

- Consolidated aquifers (Permian Sediments/ Rewan Group) – 5 m/year
- Unconsolidated aquifers (Quaternary Alluvium, Tertiary sediments) – 2 m/year

4.3.2 Water Quality Data

The assessment of water quality data will be undertaken as follows:

- Two consecutive exceedances of a trigger value as defined in Table 4-1 will constitute a trigger level exceedance
- Four consecutive exceedances of a trigger value as defined in Table 4-1 will constitute a limit exceedance.

The response to a trigger level exceedance will be in accordance with the requirements of the EA.

5.0 MONITORING AND SAMPLE ANALYSIS METHODS

Sampling of groundwater will be conducted in accordance with the procedures and practices outlined in the Queensland Monitoring and Sampling Manual, i.e.:

DES (2018) *Monitoring and Sampling Manual: Environmental Protection (Water) Policy*.
Department of Environment and Science.

The method of sample collection will be selected to ensure that water samples are collected that are representative of the water chemistry of the formation in which the bore is screened. Sampling may be undertaken using pumps or bailers (where appropriate), with the sampling methodology for each monitoring bore to be determined by a suitably qualified person.

Prior to any water quality sampling, water level measurements will be taken and reported to an accuracy of 0.01 m.

A calibrated field water quality meter will be used for assessment of field parameters (EC, pH) and to allow determination of the stabilisation of parameters (e.g. EC, pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, redox) during well purging and prior to collection of samples.

Duplicate samples will be collected at the approximate number of one duplicate sample for every ten samples.

Once collected, bottled and labelled, samples will be appropriately chilled and stored and sent to a NATA accredited laboratory, accompanied by chain of custody documentation.

6.0 STORAGE AND PUBLISHING OF MONITORING DATA

All monitoring results will be compiled and kept for a minimum of at least five years.

Monitoring data required to be collected under the UWMP will be published within the following timeframes:

- (a) For water level data, within 10 business days from measurement; and,
- (b) For water quality data, within 40 business days from measurement.

7.0 REPORTING

An Annual Monitoring Report will be prepared by an appropriately qualified person and will include, as a minimum:

- (a) the underground water levels in the monitoring bores of the approved Underground Water Monitoring Program;
- (b) any changes in water quality in the monitoring bores;
- (c) maps showing the actual water level drawdown contours caused by the take of associated water for each aquifer;
- (d) details of any review undertaken of the numerical underground water model since the previous Annual Monitoring Report;
- (e) an assessment of any differences between the actual water level impact and the impact predicted for the same period in the most current numerical underground water model;
- (f) details of any bores which are predicted by the most current numerical underground water model to be located in the affected area; and
- (g) raw data provided in a format as requested by the chief executive.

The Annual Monitoring Report will be provided to the Department of Resources (DOR) within three months after the end of the relevant water year (i.e. by end of September each year)

Appendix F Groundwater Dependent Ecosystem
Assessment

Gemini Project – Groundwater Dependent Ecosystem Assessment

Prepared by 3d Environmental

for

**Magnetic South Pty Ltd
V2_ 25 November 2020**

Project No. 2020_253

Project Manager: David Stanton

Client: Magnetic South Pty Ltd

Purpose: Groundwater dependent ecosystem assessment for the Gemini Project, Magnetic South Pty Ltd.

Draft	Date Issued	Issued By.	Purpose
Revision 1	13 November 2020	David Stanton	First Draft GDE Assessment Report
Revision 2	25 November 2020	David Stanton	Second Draft following comments by G Brampston / Heath Carney.

NOTICE TO USERS OF THIS REPORT

Purpose of the report: 3D Environmental has produced this report in its capacity as consultant for and on the request of Magnetic South Pty Ltd (the "Client"). The information and any recommendations in this report are particular to the Specified Purpose and are based on facts, matters and circumstances particular to the subject matter of the report and the specified purpose (GDE Assessment) at the time of production. This report is not to be used, nor is it suitable, for any purpose other than the Specified Purpose. 3D Environmental disclaims all liability for any loss and/or damage whatsoever arising either directly or indirectly as a result of any application, use or reliance upon the report for any purpose other than the Specified Purpose.

Whilst 3D Environmental believes all the information in it is deemed reliable at the time of publication, it does not warrant its accuracy or completeness. To the full extent allowed by law, 3D Environmental excludes liability in contract, tort or otherwise, for any loss or damage sustained by any person or body corporate arising from or in connection with the supply or use of the whole or any part of the information in this report through any cause whatsoever.

Executive Summary

Magnetic South Pty Ltd (the 'Proponent') proposes to develop the Gemini Project, 110 km east of Emerald and 125 km west of Rockhampton, within the Bowen Basin, Central Queensland. The project centres on Mining Lease application 700056 and will mine metallurgical coal using open cut mining methods, with the operational footprint including both mining pits and associated infrastructure. An assessment of the presence of GDEs within the Project area is presented in this document as a component of the approval process.

To complete the GDE assessment, multiple lines of evidence including measurement of leaf water potential, soil moisture potential and analysis of stable isotopes from various sources were applied to assess the dependence of vegetation in the Gemini Project area on groundwater. Based on a targeted survey effort, two areas of likely groundwater dependence, and hence GDEs, were recognised in the Project area being:

1. Charlevue Creek Area 1, being an area identified as a terrestrial GDE on Charlevue Creek, to the west and upstream of the mine infrastructure area.
2. Springton Tributary Area 1, being a terrestrial GDE associated with an ephemeral drainage system, currently located within the footprint of the mine infrastructure area.

The study confirmed that the Charlevue Creek GDE area is hosted in an area of recent river alluvium which forms a flood terrace that is dissected by the channel of Charlevue Creek and traversed by a series of flood overflow channels. The GDE area is supported by an unconfined, fresh and seasonal alluvial aquifer that is perched above the regional groundwater table associated with Tertiary, Permian and older alluvial sediments. The supporting perched aquifer is conceptualised to be seasonally recharged during surface flows including flood events and associated rainfall. Based on salinity data and stable isotope comparisons between twig xylem and groundwater samples, it is inferred that the perched aquifer is hydraulically disconnected from the regional Tertiary and alluvial aquifers which hold groundwater with salinity values that range from mildly to highly toxic to trees. Based on stable isotope data, there is no indication that trees at this site, nor any tree sampled during the GDE assessment is utilising groundwater associated with the regional Tertiary, alluvial or Permian coal seam aquifers to any significant degree.

The Springton Tributary Area 1 GDE system is supported by a seasonally variable perched aquifer system that is hosted in a thick, coarse sand horizon developed below the flood plain of the minor tributary. The strong iron staining associated with the sandy aquifer material provides evidence for considerable seasonal fluctuation in groundwater levels, which are inferred to recharge and drain rapidly in response to rainfall and surface flows. Similar to the Charlevue Creek GDE system, the Springton Tributary system is perched above the regional Tertiary groundwater table and trees at the site, based on evidence from stable isotope analysis, show no evidence for utilisation of the deeper groundwater system.

The Springton Tributary GDE system covers an area of 5.54 hectares and is located entirely within the mine infrastructure area, which necessitates clearing and unavoidable direct impact. The environmental values and impacts associated with direct clearing of vegetation on are discussed in the Terrestrial Flora and Fauna Report (AARC 2019).

The Charlevue Creek GDE system is supported by an alluvial aquifer that is conceptualised to be perched above, and hydraulically disconnected from the deeper regional aquifers associated with the Tertiary sediments, older alluvial systems, and the Permian coal seams. Groundwater drawdown associated with mining void development is modelled to impact the regional groundwater table below the Charlevue Creek GDE system. Due to limited connectivity between the perched alluvium and deeper groundwater systems, it is anticipated that these impacts will not be propagated into the perched aquifer system. Furthermore, the perched aquifer that supports the Charlevue Creek GDE system is recharged by surface water flows, flooding and seasonal rainfall events which will not be altered by mining development.

Mitigations to prevent impact to the Charlevue Creek system include general operational measures associated with project approval conditions which include development of the Mine WMS and ESCP. With these routine mitigations, in conjunction with ongoing groundwater sampling of the existing groundwater monitoring network to be completed as part of the ongoing groundwater monitoring program, it is considered that the risk to the Charlevue Creek GDE system posed by mine development is negligible.

Contents

1.0 Introduction	12
1.1 Project Background.....	12
1.2 Project Objectives	13
1.3 Relevant Legislation	13
1.4 GDE Definition Used for Assessment.....	14
1.5 Groundwater Definition Used in this Assessment.....	14
1.6 Climatic Considerations	14
2.0 Ecohydrological Setting	18
2.1 Ecological Characteristics of GDE Species and Communities	18
2.1.1 <i>Regional Ecosystems</i>	18
2.1.2 <i>Mapped Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems</i>	18
2.1.3 <i>Groundwater Dependent Species</i>	19
2.2 Hydrogeological Setting.....	21
3.0 Methods	27
3.1 Site Selection.....	27
3.2 Hand Auger Sampling.....	30
3.3 Leaf Water Potential	30
3.4 Soil Moisture Potential	31
3.5 Stable Isotope Sampling and Analyses.....	31
3.5.1 <i>Soil moisture isotopes</i>	32
3.5.2 <i>Xylem water isotopes</i>	32
3.5.3 <i>Groundwater monitoring bore sampling</i>	33
3.5.4 <i>Data reconciliation and interpretation</i>	33
3.6 Limitations and Other Information Relevant to the Assessment	34
4.0 Results	35
4.1 Leaf Water Potential Measurements.....	35
4.2 Hand Auger Profiling and Soil Moisture Potential.....	44
4.3 Stable Isotope Sampling and Analyses.....	49
5.0 Discussion and Conceptual Site Models (CSMs)	55
5.1 Suitability of Groundwater Resources to Support GDEs.....	55
5.2 Ecohydrological Function of the HES Wetland	55
5.3 Conceptual Site Models / Cross Sections.....	56
5.3.1 <i>Charlevue Creek Area 1</i>	56
5.3.2 <i>Springton Tributary Area 1</i>	61
6.0 Assessment of Impacts to GDEs	63

6.1	Summary of Findings Relevant to Impact Assessment	63
6.2	Potential Impacts to GDEs	64
6.2.1	<i>Direct clearing</i>	64
6.2.2	<i>Partial or total loss or reduction in pressure of the aquifer being utilised by GDEs</i>	64
6.2.3	<i>Change in the magnitude and timing of volume fluctuations in the aquifer being utilised by GDEs</i>	65
6.2.4	<i>Changes to the interaction between surface flows and aquifers being utilised by a GDE</i>	65
6.2.5	<i>Change in chemical composition of an aquifer detrimentally impacting the health of a GDE</i>	67
6.3	Cumulative Impacts	67
6.4	Mitigation and Management Measures	67
6.4.1	<i>General operational measures</i>	68
6.4.2	<i>Groundwater monitoring</i>	68
6.5	Risk Assessment.....	70
7.0	Conclusions	74
8.0	References	76
	Appendices	80
	Appendix A – Tree LWP Measurements and Details	81
	Appendix B – Auger Hole Logs	85
	Appendix C – Soil Moisture Potential Raw Data	87
	Appendix D – Stable Isotope Analytical Results	89

List of Figures

Figure 1.	Project location and facilities.....	16
Figure 2.	Rainfall for the period from January 2019 to June 2020 from the Duaringa Post Office recording station.....	17
Figure 3.	Evapotranspiration compared to rainfall for January 2019 to March 2020 from the Moranbah Airport.	17
Figure 4.	Cumulative Rainfall Departure calculated for Dingo from SILO (2020) indicating a drying climatic cycle leading up to the survey in June 2020.....	17
Figure 5.	Regional ecosystem mapping produced by AARC (2019) for the Project in relation to EPC881.	23
Figure 6.	Groundwater dependent ecosystems from the GDE Atlas (BOM 2020b) shown in relation to EPC881 and the project footprint.	24
Figure 7.	Surface geology in the Project area from DNRM (2018).	25
Figure 8.	Location of groundwater monitoring bores in the Project Area shown in relation to mapped GDEs (BOM 2020b).	26
Figure 9.	Sandy channel bed of Charlevue Creek at Site M7.....	28

Figure 10. GDE assessment areas and sampling locations for LWP and soil augers. 29

Figure 11. Average LWP readings for all GDE Assessment Areas. The blue line (>-0.2MPa) indicates typical LWPs for trees in equilibrium with a non-saline saturated source of soil moisture; the orange line (>-0.55MPa) indicating typical values for trees in equilibrium with a moderately saline soil moisture source (EC 10 000 μ S/cm) and the red line indicative of trees in equilibrium with saline source of moisture at 17000 μ S/cm. Standard Wilting Point (<-1.5MPa) is also indicated. 36

Figure 12. Spatial representation of average water availability for all trees at each GDE assessment site..... 37

Figure 13. LWP measurement for all trees and species at all sites assessed during the GDE survey. . 38

Figure 14. Sampling locations and tree water availability for individual trees at Charlevue Creek Area 1..... 39

Figure 15. Sampling locations and tree water availability for individual trees at Springton Tributary Area 1 and Site M3..... 40

Figure 16. Sampling locations and tree water availability for individual trees at Charlevue Creek Area 2..... 41

Figure 17. Sampling locations and tree water availability for individual trees at Springton Creek Area 1..... 42

Figure 18. Sampling locations and tree water availability for individual trees at Site M11 and M13.. 43

Figure 19. Downhole SMP for auger holes placed at Site M7 (19a) and Site M9 (19b on left) indicating increasing water availability at depths >2mbgl. The green shading indicates the range of LWPs measured in trees at these sites. 45

Figure 20. Downhole SMP for auger holes placed at Site M10 (20a) and Site M12 (20b on right) indicating increasing water availability at depths >2mbgl. The green shading indicates the range of LWPs measured in trees at these sites..... 45

Figure 21. Downhole SMP for Site M1 (Figure 21a) and Site M4 (Figure 21b right) at the Springton Creek Tributary Area 1..... 47

Figure 22. Soil moisture availability at site M5, Springton Creek Area 1. 47

Figure 23. Soil moisture availability at Site M13, Charlevue Creek..... 48

Figure 24. Stable isotope scatters for all data with LMWL for Rockhampton indicated in by the blue line after Crosbie et al (2012) shown as reference. The circles delineate the sample groupings of soils, xylem, groundwater and surface water..... 50

Figure 25. Stable isotope biplot for Charlevue Creek Area 1 with the LMWL shown in blue dashed line..... 50

Figure 26. Stable isotope biplot for Charlevue Creek Area 2 with the LMWL shown in blue dashed line..... 51

Figure 27. Stable isotope biplot for Springton Tributary Area 2 with the LMWL shown in blue dashed line..... 52

Figure 28. Stable isotope biplot for Springton Creek Area 1 with the LMWL shown in blue dashed line..... 53

Figure 29. Isotopic profile downhole in Site M5 (M5_AU1) indicating increasing isotopic enrichment below 1 mbgl..... 53

Figure 30. Stable isotope biplot for Charlevue Creek Site M13, demonstrating strong differentiation between groundwater and twig xylem..... 54

Figure 31. Stable isotope biplot for Charlevue Creek Site M2, M3 and M13, demonstrating strong differentiation between groundwater and twig xylem. 54

Figure 32. Location of GDE Areas identified during field assessment. 58

Figure 33. Conceptual model of the Charlevue Creek GDE system showing dry season scenario (33a), flooding scenario (losing system) (33b) and post flooding / baseflow scenario (33c). 60

Figure 34. Cross section model of the Springton Tributary Area 1 perched aquifer system in the dry season (**Figure 34a**) and wet season (**Figure 34b**). 62

Figure 35. The location of identified GDE areas relative to predicted groundwater drawdown (from JBT 2020). 66

List of Tables

Table 1. Details of groundwater monitoring bores used to inform assessment. 22

Table 2. Descriptors and ranking for the likelihood of impact occurring. 70

Table 3. Descriptors of Impact Magnitude applied in the risk assessment. 70

Table 4. Matrix applied in the risk assessment. 71

Table 5. List of relevant mitigations 71

Table 6. Risk assessment for potential impacts. 72

Glossary

Alluvial aquifer	An aquifer comprising unconsolidated sediments deposited by flowing water usually occurring beneath or adjacent to the channel of a river.
Aquifer	A geological formation or structure that stores or transmits water to wells or springs. Aquifers typically supply economic volumes of groundwater
Aquatic GDE	Vegetation supported by surface expression of groundwater (e.g. spring fed watercourses and associated fringing vegetation).
Base flow	Streamflow derived from groundwater seepage into a stream.
Capillary fringe	The unsaturated zone above the water table containing water in direct contact with the water table though at pressures that are less than atmospheric. Water is usually held by soil pores against gravity by capillary tension.
Confined aquifer	A layer of soil or rock below the land surface that is saturated with water with impermeable material above and below providing confining layers with the water in the aquifer under pressure.
Perched groundwater system	A groundwater system or aquifer that sit above the regional aquifer due to a capture of infiltrating moisture on a discontinuous aquitard.
Phreatic zone	The zone of sub-surface saturation separated from the unsaturated zone in unconfined aquifers by the water table.
Phreatophyte	Plants whose roots extend downward to the water table to obtain groundwater or water within the capillary fringe
Obligate phreatophyte	A plant that is completely dependent on access to groundwater for survival
Edaphic	Relating to properties of soil or substrate including its physical and chemical properties and controls those factors impose on living organisms.
Evapotranspiration	The movement of water from the landscape to the atmosphere including the sum of evaporation from the lands surface and transpiration from vegetation through stomata
Facultative phreatophyte	A plant that occasionally or seasonally utilises groundwater to maintain high transpiration rates, usually when other water sources aren't available.
Fractured rock aquifer	An aquifer in which water flows through and is stored in fractures in the rock caused by folding and faulting.
Fluvial	Relating to processes produced by or found in rivers
Groundwater	Those areas in the sub-surface where all soil or rock interstitial porosity is saturated with water. Includes the saturated zone and the capillary fringe.
Water table	The upper surface of the saturated zone in the ground, where all the pore space is filled with water.
Groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDE)	Natural ecosystems which require access to groundwater on a permanent or intermittent basis to meet all or some of their water requirements so as to maintain their communities of plants and animals, ecological processes and ecosystem services (Richardson et al. 2011)

Infiltration	Passage of water into the soil by forces of gravity and capillarity, dependent on the properties of the soil and moisture content.
Leaf water potential (LWP)	The total potential for water in a leaf, consisting of the balance between osmotic potential (exerted from solutes), turgor pressure (hydrostatic pressure) and matric potential (the pressure exerted by the walls of capillaries and colloids in the cell wall).
Leaf area index (LAI)	The ratio of total one-sided area of leaves on a plant divided by the area of the canopy when projected vertically on to the ground.
Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL)	Describes the relationship between hydrogen and oxygen isotope (Oxygen-18 and Deuterium) ratios in local natural meteoric waters. LMWL is usually developed from precipitation data collected from either a single location or a set of locations within a “localised” area of interest (USGS, 2018).
Percolation	The downward movement of water through the soil due to gravity and hydraulic forces.
Permeability	A materials ability to allow a substance to pass through it, such as the ability of soil or rocks to conduct water under the influence of gravity and hydraulic forces.
Permanent wilting point	The water content of the soil at which a plant can no longer extract water and leaves will wilt and die. Usually -1.5 Mpa (-217 psi). Generally applied to crops although Australian flora typically have much larger stress thresholds.
Piston flow	The movement of a water front through the soil uniformly downwards to the aquifer, with the same velocity, negligible dispersion, pushing older water deeper into the soil profile.
Preferential flow	Movement of surface water rapidly from surface to aquifer along preferential flow paths, bypassing older moisture in the upper soil profile.
Unconfined aquifer	An aquifer whose upper surface is at atmospheric pressure, producing a water table, which can rise and fall in response to recharge by rainfall
Soil water potential	A measure of the difference between the free energy state of soil water and that of pure water. Essentially a measure of the energy required to extract moisture from soil.
Stable isotope	An isotope that does not undergo radioactive decay.
Standard Wilting Point	The minimum LWP or corresponding soil moisture potential that can be tolerated before a plant wilts in response to negative water supply. This is accepted at -15 bars or -1.5 MPa (or -217.55 PSI)
Specific Yield	The ratio of the volume of water that a saturated rock or soil will yield by gravity to the total volume of the rock or soil.
Surface water	Movement of water above the earths’ surface as runoff or in streams
Transpiration	The process of water loss from leaves, through stomata, to the atmosphere.
Terrestrial GDE	Terrestrial vegetation supported by sub-surface expression of groundwater (i.e. tree has roots in the capillary fringe of groundwater table).
Vadose zone	The unsaturated zone, above the water table in unconfined aquifers

Water Potential	The free energy potential of water as applied to soils, leaves plants and the atmosphere.
Wetting front	The boundary of soil wet by water from rainfall and dry soil as the water moves downward in the unsaturated zone.

1.0 Introduction

Magnetic South Pty Ltd (Magnetic South) (the 'Proponent') proposes to develop the Gemini Project located approximately 110 km east of Emerald and 125 km west of Rockhampton, within the Bowen Basin, Central Queensland, herein referred to as 'the Project' (**Figure 1**). The project centres on Mining Lease Application (MLA 7000556) and will mine metallurgical coal using open cut mining methods, with the operational footprint including both mining pits and associated infrastructure.

Coal mining developments have potential to alter natural groundwater regimes or groundwater quality and may therefore impact on ecosystems that are reliant on a groundwater resource. These ecosystems are captured under the general term of groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs). This report provides an assessment of the presence of GDEs within the Project area and includes an assessment of potential project related impacts to GDEs.

1.1 Project Background

The main activities associated with the Project include:

- Exploration activities continuing to support mine planning.
- Development of a Mine Infrastructure Area (MIA) including mine offices, bathhouse, crib rooms, warehouse/stores, workshop, fuel storage, refuelling facilities, explosives magazine and sewage, effluent and liquid waste storage.
- Construction and operation of a facilities specific to coal handling including Run-of-Mine (ROM) coal, product stockpiles and rejects bin/overflow.
- Construction and operation of a surface conveyor including rail loading facilities connecting to coal terminals at Gladstone for export.
- Construction of access roads from the Capricorn Highway to the MIA. Development of internal roads and mine haul roads will be ongoing including a causeway over Charlevue Creek.
- Installation of a raw water supply pipelines, 66 kV transmission line and substation.
- Development of mine areas (open cut pits) and out-of-pit waste rock emplacements as well as drilling and blasting of competent waste material.
- Mine operations using conventional surface mining equipment (excavators, front end loaders, rear dump trucks, dozers) and progressive placement of waste rock in emplacements adjacent to and near the open cut voids.
- Progressive establishment of soil stockpiles, laydown area and borrow pits (for road base and civil works) with material sourced from quarries where required.
- Development of water storage dams and sediment dams, and the installation of pumps, pipelines, and other water management equipment and structures including temporary levees, diversions, and drains.

Mining will extract up to 1.9 Million tonnes per annum (Mtpa) ROM Coal, with an average 1.8 Mtpa for a construction/production period of approximately 20 years. The project footprint is shown in **Figure 1**.

1.2 Project Objectives

Objectives of GDE assessment are to:

- Identify if vegetation within and surrounding the Project area accesses and utilises groundwater for transpiration, either permanently or intermittently, consistent with classification of a GDE.
- Determine the source and nature of aquifers utilised by GDEs, if any.
- Identify the degree of dependence of vegetation communities on groundwater for survival and sustenance through periods of drought.
- Provide an assessment of potential mining impacts on identified GDEs extending throughout the life of the mine.

1.3 Relevant Legislation

Environmental Protection Act 1994: Under regulatory provisions of the Environmental Protection Act 1994 (EP Act), a site-specific Environmental Authority (EA) is required under Section 125 of the EP Act. A component of the EA will be requirement is to address impacts to GDEs, and this report has been prepared in response to an information request requiring further assessment of the potential for impact to GDEs.

1.4 GDE Definition Used for Assessment

The definition of a GDE applied to this assessment is consistent with the definition provided in the guidance document *Modelling water-related ecological responses to coal seam gas extraction and coal mining* prepared by Commonwealth of Australia (2015) on the advice from the Independent Expert Scientific Committee on Coal Seam Gas and Large Coal Mining Development (IESC) and IESC 2018a. This definition is described below:

Groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs): Natural ecosystems which require access to groundwater on a permanent or intermittent basis to meet all or some of their water requirements so as to maintain their communities of plants and animals, ecological processes and ecosystem services (Richardson et al. 2011). The broad types of GDE are (from Eamus et al. 2006a and 2006b):

- Ecosystems dependent on surface expression of groundwater (springs).
- Ecosystems dependent on subsurface presence of groundwater (terrestrial GDEs).
- Subterranean ecosystems (caves).

Ecosystems dependent on surface expression of groundwater are extended to spring fed streams and rivers, otherwise defined as aquatic GDE's.

1.5 Groundwater Definition Used in this Assessment

Eamus (2006a) defines groundwater (when related to GDEs) as;

'all water in the saturated sub-surface; water that flows or seeps downwards and saturates soil or rock, supplying springs and wells, water stored underground in rock crevices and in the pores of material'.

For this assessment of GDEs, the term groundwater refers to those areas in the sub-surface where all soil or rock interstitial porosity is saturated with water including the associated capillary fringe. It is assumed that in the overlying unsaturated zone, water may be present in varying amounts over time although saturation is rarely reached during infiltration or percolation of rainfall, stream water or other surface sources of groundwater recharge moving under gravity. The definition of groundwater excludes wetting fronts, being the transitional zone between wet and dry soil that surrounds a water body; and ephemeral zones of saturation that result from rapid infiltration of surface water, whereby the down-gradient migration of infiltrating water is merely slowed rather than halted.

1.6 Climatic Considerations

The annual rainfall at Duaringa Post Office (25km to the east) for January 2019 to June 2020, being the nearest reliable recording station to the Project, is presented in **Figure 2**. The data indicates below average rainfall for nearly all months through 2019 with a total rainfall of 321.3mm for the year, being half the long-term annual average of 704.3mm (BOM 2020a). February 2020, four months preceding the survey, was extremely wet with 346.7mm falling for the month compared to the long-term monthly average of 115.3mm. Following this intense period of precipitation, rainfall for the four months preceding the survey (March to June 2020) was dry with below average rainfall recorded across all months. There was no rainfall recorded at Duaringa for two weeks preceding the survey which was completed across 6 days from 27th June 2020 to 2nd July 2020.

Plant growth in the region is strongly limited by moisture rather than temperature (Hutchinson et al. 1992) which is reflected in the evapotranspiration rates at the Duinga Post Office for the 2019 – 2020 period, with data for all months indicating evapotranspiration as being considerably higher than rainfall except in February 2020. Annual evapotranspiration rates tend to peak in January and are typically at their lowest in June / July (**Figure 3**) (BOM 2020a).

The region has experienced several significant drought events, many of which have resulted in tree dieback. The early to mid-1990's drought, the worst on record for central and north Queensland, and the millennium drought from 2000 through to 2007 both resulted in substantial dieback of native woodland habitats, typically affecting ironbark woodlands and most severely on basaltic substrates (Fensham and Holman 1999; Fensham et al 2009). **Figure 4** demonstrates the major climatic cycles in terms of Cumulative Rainfall Departure (CRD) (Weber and Stewart 2004), representing a cumulative departure of monthly rainfall from the long-term mean monthly rainfall (1990 to 2020) from point data at Dingo (SILO 2020). Strongly decreasing rainfall trends between 1990 to 1996; and 2000 to 2007 representing major drought periods are strongly evident. Following a period of relatively stable / average rainfall conditions occurring between 2013 to 2017, the current trend is for decreasing rainfall with below average conditions experienced post 2017 indicating a longer-term regime of ecological water deficit preceded the assessment. The analysis of cumulative rainfall departure is relevant to this assessment as shallow water tables generally follow similar trends, with rising water tables during upward precipitation trends.




Figure 1. Project location and facilities.




Figure 2. Rainfall for the period from January 2019 to June 2020 from the Duaringa Post Office recording station.




Figure 3. Evapotranspiration compared to rainfall for January 2019 to March 2020 from the Moranbah Airport.




Figure 4. Cumulative Rainfall Departure calculated for Dingo from SILO (2020) indicating a drying climatic cycle leading up to the survey in June 2020.

2.0 Ecohydrological Setting

As GDEs define a continuum between groundwater, soils and surface ecology, this section provides a description of both biotic factors and abiotic controls, enabling linkages to be drawn between groundwater and terrestrial ecology within impact assessment sections.

2.1 Ecological Characteristics of GDE Species and Communities

2.1.1 Regional Ecosystems

Regional ecosystem (RE) mapping completed by AARC (2019), provided in **Figure 5**, for the Project defines broad number of regional ecosystems, typically dominated by eucalypt woodland and open forest habitats. This includes:

- RE 11.3.25, dominated by forest red gum (*Eucalyptus tereticornis*) and river red gum (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) with scattered Moreton Bay ash (*Corymbia tessellaris*), Clarkson's bloodwood (*Corymbia clarksoniana*) and river oak (*Casuarina cunninghamia*) is the dominant regional ecosystem associated with major watercourses and drainage lines in the Project area.
- RE 11.3.2, dominated by poplar box (*Eucalyptus populnea*) associated with loamy flood plain areas on older, higher alluvial terraces. RE11.3.2 is typically mapped as a terrestrial GDE in BOM GDE mapping databases (BOM 2020b).
- RE11.5.2, typically dominated by narrow leaf ironbark (*Eucalyptus crebra*) with scattered poplar box occurring on older residual sands and gravels above flood level.
- 11.7.2 dominated by lancewood (*Acacia shirleyi*) on residual jump ups.

The dominant species within these regional ecosystems and their potential capacity to utilise groundwater are discussed in **Section 2.1.3**.

2.1.2 Mapped Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems

The mapping of GDEs has been completed at a national level by the Bureau of Meteorology which has produced the GDE Atlas (BOM 2020b) which identifies the following GDEs types, consistent with the definition of a GDE applied in this assessment.

- **Aquatic** ecosystems that rely on the surface expression of groundwater—this includes surface water ecosystems which may have a groundwater component, such as rivers, wetlands, and springs. Marine and estuarine ecosystems can also be groundwater dependent, but these are not mapped in the Atlas.
- **Terrestrial** ecosystems that rely on the subsurface presence of groundwater—this includes all vegetation ecosystems.
- **Subterranean** ecosystems—this includes cave and aquifer ecosystems.

The BOM GDE layer has been compiled with national scale datasets and rules to describe the potential for groundwater interaction, and within the assessment area corresponds directly with GDE and potential aquifer mapping produced by DES (2018). Due to the limited ground verification, the dataset is considered unsuitable for site specific GDE assessment. The mapping of GDEs over the Project Area and surrounds, as produced by BOM (2020b) is provided in **Figure 6**. In general, this

assessment shows 'Low Potential' for 'Terrestrial' GDEs associated with riparian vegetation and watercourses. It is noted that an area mapped as a 'High Ecological Significance' wetland is also indicated on **Figure 6**, which in prior versions of the GDE Atlas was mapped as a 'Low Potential Aquatic GDE' (refer to Terrestrial Ecology Assessment prepared by AARC 2019). Updates to the GDE Atlas incorporated in August 2020 no longer consider this wetland area to represent a GDE, either terrestrial or aquatic.

2.1.3 Groundwater Dependent Species

Eucalypts: The GDE investigation area and surrounds are characterised by the presence of both river red gum (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) and forest red gum (*Eucalyptus tereticornis*) which typically form the upper canopy of the riparian ecosystem RE11.3.25. Moreton Bay ash (*Corymbia tessellaris*) and minor Clarkson's bloodwood (*Corymbia clarksoniana*) are found in some localities, more typically in elevated positions on the riverbank. Poplar box (*Eucalyptus populnea*) is more typically found on elevated upper terraces at greatest distance and elevation from the stream channel.

River red gum is a well-studied species known to have deep sinker roots, hypothesised to grow down towards zones of higher water supply (Bren et al., 1986) and the species mixes with forest red gum along the river frontage. For this assessment, the physiological attributes of river red gum and forest red gum are assumed to be similar as the species can inhabit and mix within a similar ecological niche. Forest red gum is however a more adaptable species, occupying dry hill slopes in some localities and it would be expected to be more tolerant of changes to hydrological regime than *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* which is a riparian specialist. Malik and Sharma (2004) found that *Eucalyptus tereticornis* also has a strong capacity to extract moisture from the shallow soil profile (0 – 150cm). Therefore, attributing forest red gum with the ecological characteristics of the more specialised river red gum is prudent from a risk management perspective, because it adopts the attributes of the species with the highest sensitivity.

River red gum is adapted to arid and semi-arid environments and will go through alternate phases of shedding and regaining its crown, depending on the availability of water and it is adapted to do so and over time and across the flood frequency classes, having capacity to self-regulate and adjust their transpiration rates to match the average flood return interval (Collof 2014) while the species maintain a strong capacity for genetic selection to increase capacity for the species to survive drought stress. Trees less able to survive drought tend to die off, hence the genes that are associated with drought tolerance traits become more common in the remaining population.

The species is considered opportunistic in its water use, sourcing water according to osmotic and matric water potential and source reliability (Thorburn et al., 1993; Mensforth et al., 1994; Holland et al., 2006; Doody et al., 2009) with the water requirements obtained from three main sources being groundwater, rainfall, and river flooding. Flooding enables the species to survive in semi-arid areas (ANBG 2004) where stands are intimately associated with the surface-flooding regime of watercourses and related groundwater flow. River red gum are considered a facultative phreatophyte, shifting between a combination of surface soil moisture and groundwater during periods of high rainfall, then shifting to exclusive use of groundwater during drier periods. They are likely to achieve this shift through inactivation of surface roots during drier periods with increased reliance on deeper tap roots when surface water is unavailable. River red gum will often use saline

groundwater in preference to fresh surface water, probably because it represents a more reliable supply (Colloff 2014). Doody et al. (2015) demonstrated that soil moisture alone can sustain the health of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* through periods of drought up to six years before significant decline in tree health is noted.

The maximum potential rooting depth of river red gum is subject to considerable conjecture in current literature, although it is widely accepted that the species has capacity to access deep groundwater sources (Eamus et al 2006a). Horner et al. (2009) found rooting depths at 12–15 mbgl based on observed mortality in plantation river red gum forests on the Murray River Floodplain. From excavations in 20 year-old plantation forests of *Eucalyptus tereticornis*, Kallarackal and Somen (1998) found that roots were traceable to depths of 9.3 mbgl and Jones et al (2020) found maximum rooting depths of 8.1 mbgl in river red gum in a broad study area in the Great Artesian Basin. In conclusion, maximum rooting depth of red gum is likely to be variable, dependent on-site geology and depth to saturation with the capillary fringe being the general depth at which root penetration will be arrested (Eamus et al 2006b).

All eucalyptus species are potential users of groundwater (Cook et al 2007) although few studies demonstrating this dependence exist. Fensham and Fairfax (2007) consider both poplar box and narrow-leaved ironbark to possess a shallow rooting system with limited investment in deep root architecture, rendering them susceptible to droughting. Poplar box is more typically associated with upper terraces that are elevated above the river channel requiring a deeper rooting system to access groundwater. Narrow leaf ironbark generally occupies more elevated portions of the landscape, away from drainage lines where depth to groundwater would be greatest. For the remaining species, O’Grady et al (2006b) concluded the following when studying groundwater usage of trees on a tropical floodplain savannah:

1. Clarkson’s bloodwood utilised groundwater when the water table was at 10 mbgl indicating the potential for the species to develop a deep sinker root. Clarkson’s bloodwood should be considered a facultative phreatophyte. It is likely that Clarkson’s bloodwood occurring on the banks of ephemeral watercourses will utilise groundwater if it is within reach of rooting depth and not saline.
2. Moreton Bay ash demonstrated groundwater usage when the water table was at 4 mbgl, although it is not known whether the species has capacity to utilise deeper groundwater sources. Moreton Bay ash should be considered a facultative phreatophyte.

Both Moreton Bay ash and Clarkson’s bloodwood are scattered throughout the frontages of Springton and Charlevue Creek’s within RE11.3.25, generally occupying lower terraces where depth to groundwater decreases.

River oak: The water use strategy of river oak (*Casuarina cunninghamiana*) appears dependent on its position relative to a watercourse. O’Grady et al (2006b) determined river oak mainly utilised river water when adjacent to a stream channel, which is its most common topographic position. There has been no demonstration that river oak has capacity to utilise deeper groundwater sources. River Oak is not considered to be groundwater dependent in the Project area.

Lancewood: The acacia's, in particular lancewood, are considered shallow rooted species and based on their ecological preference for elevated portions of the landscape, are considered unlikely to utilise groundwater to any degree.

2.2 Hydrogeological Setting

The project mining area is in the north-west extent of the Bowen Basin, a broad sedimentary basin formed in the Permian / Triassic period with a variable cover of Tertiary period sediment and basic volcanic rocks (basalts). The surface geology is summarised from DNRM (2018) as below:

- Quaternary age alluvial deposits are associated with the major drainage features of Charlevue and Springton Creek's which occur to the west and east of the proposed mining area respectively.
- The Tertiary age Duaringa Formation dominates the surface geology providing exposures of weathered mudstone, sandstone, siltstone and conglomerate.
- Residual ferricrete occurs as scattered surficial deposits throughout the landscape.
- Permian period sedimentary rocks of the Rangal Coal Measures (Rr) which includes the economic seams to be mined, though are not exposed at the surface within the area of development (see **Figure 7**).

JBT Consulting (2020) describes the hydrogeological regimes associated with the various lithologies in the assessment area. Information from this assessment, coupled with more recent ground water quality data, is summarised below:

- Recharge of the alluvium is via direct rainfall with the water level ranging from 8.77 m to 11.19 mbgl for bores adjacent to the creek channels. The EC at bore DW7076W (Charlevue Creek alluvium) ranges from 14,439 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 17,106 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. Field value from bore DW7292W1 (Springton Creek alluvium) range from 1669 - 5948 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. Hydraulic conductivity (K value) measured in the alluvium from a single monitoring bore is 0.097 m/day, with rates calculated by chloride mass balance to range from 0.4 to 0.8 mm/year indicative of extremely low rates of infiltration.
- The Tertiary sediments of the Duaringa formation are variably saturated with the elevation of the base of Tertiary being a control on the occurrence of water within the sediments. The water level with the Tertiary sediments ranges from dry (5 bores, ranging in depth from 14 to 23 m) to 15.38-44.74 mbgl (where water is present). Recharge of the Tertiary sediments is via direct rainfall calculated by chloride mass balance to range from 0.4 to 0.8 mm/year. The salinity of groundwater in the Tertiary sediments ranges from 1, 439 (DW7220W1) to 26, 831 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (DW7282W1) indicative of a low rate of recharge as well as a long residence time for groundwater.
- Groundwater in the Permian sediments occurs preferentially in the coal seams due to the open nature of the cleats, providing a conduit for infiltrating rainfall. Standing water level (SWL) in the coal seam ranges from 16.91 to 46.62 mbgl. Interburden is hydraulically tight with (K = 0.001 to 0.002 m/day) compared to the coal seams where hydraulic conductivity is typically much higher, ranging from 0.002 to 5.387 m/day. Salinity of groundwater in coal seams ranges from 984 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (DW7105W2 in the Pollux lower to upper seam) to 33,343 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.


While direct infiltration of rainfall will be the predominant source of groundwater recharge over much of the Project area, surface flows and seasonal flooding on drainage lines will provide a significant localised source of recharge for alluvial sediments, particularly along the major watercourses of Charlevue and Springton Creeks .

A summary of groundwater monitoring bores used to inform the assessment of GDEs, including target unit, standing water level (SWL), salinity (EC) is provided in **Table 1** summarised from the hydrogeology report produced by JBT (2020) and groundwater monitoring data collected in May to September 2020. The location of groundwater monitoring bores is shown in **Figure 8**.

Table 1. Details of groundwater monitoring bores used to inform assessment.

Hole	Groundwater Unit	SWL (mbgl)*	Field Salinity Range (µS/cm)**
DW7076W	Alluvium	8.77	14439 – 17106
DW7292W1	Alluvium	11.19	1669 - 5948
DW7220W1	Tertiary	15.38	1439 - 1757
DW7225W1	Tertiary	32.37	9425 – 10348
DW7282W1	Tertiary	26.25	22483 - 26831
DW7033W1	Tertiary	29.94	7001 - 7748
DW7220W2	Permian Coal (Castor)	19.25	1594 - 1799
DW7082W1	Permian Coal (Castor Lower)	17.99	11115 - 12779
DW7035W3	Permian Coal (Orion 1)	21.75	13516- 14400
DW7221W1	Permian Coal (AR3)	20.50	3325 - 3977
DW7178W2	Permian Coal (PLU2)	38.45	24933 - 26921
DW7082W2	Permian Coal (Pollux Upper)	17.04	13317 - 14100
DW7105W2	Permian Coal (PLU1)	31.18	984 - 1326
DW7221W2	Permian Coal (Castor)	20.50	7851 - 14661
DW7033W2	Permian Coal (Orion 5)	29.01	14393 - 15733
DW7220W3	Permian Coal (PLU1)	19.04	17398 - 20041
DW7225W2	Permian Coal (AR3)	32.10	14691 - 15585
DW7093W1	Permian Coal (Pollux 2)	28.44	13310 - 15868
DW7282W2	Permian Coal (AR3)	32.10	30478 - 33343
DW7264W2	Permian Coal (AR1)	21.59	20756 - 23690
DW7225W3	Permian Coal (Castor)	31.60	15202 - 16333
DW7093W3	Permian Coal (PLL2)	28.46	17043 - 18467
DW7264W3	Permian Coal (AR3)	21.58	17757 - 19307
DW7033W3	Permian Interburden	28.93	12973 - 15280
DW7093W2	Permian Interburden	28.45	13699 - 16117

*Date of measurement between 19 May 2020 and 25 September 2020; **Date of measurement range from 25 Sept 2019 to 17 July 2020; **Bold** = specimen submitted for stable isotope sampling.



Legend

- Drainage
- A-B Pit
- C Pit

Remnant Vegetation

Vegetation management act class

- Of Concern
- Least Concern
- EPC881

Source: AARC (2019)

Figure 5. Field verified regional ecosystem mapping.

Client


Magnetic South Pty Ltd

0 1.25 2.5 3.75 5
Kilometers



P. O. Box 959
Kenmore, Qld 4069
Mobile: 0447 822 119
www.3denvironmental.com.au

Scale 1:80,000	Drawn By DG	Checked DS	File Path	Date 12/11/2020	A4
----------------	-------------	------------	-----------	-----------------	----



Legend

- 'HES Wetland (non- GDE)
- Infrastructure footprint buffer
- A-B Pit
- C Pit
- Drainage
- EPC881

Terrestrial GDE

- Low potential GDE - from regional studies

Source: BOM GDE Atlas (2020).

Figure 6. Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems in the assessment area


Client
Magnetic South Pty Ltd

0 1.2 2.4 3.6 4.8
 Kilometers

3d Environmental
 LANDSCAPE AND VEGETATION SCIENCE

P. O. Box 959
 Kenmore, Qld 4069
 Mobile: 0447 822 119
 www.3denvironmental.com.au

D:\Backup C Drive 265193D Environmental\Magnetic_South\Map_Site_Map_101020.mxd



Legend

- Drainage
- A-B Pit
- C Pit
- EPC881

LITH_SUMM

Quaternary

- Quaternary alluvium

Tertiary

- Rudite / Breccia
- Duringa Formation (mudstone)
- Ferricrete

Permian

- Rewan Group (arenite / mudrock)
- Gyandra Subgroup (siltstone and shale)

Source: Detailed Surface Geology Queensland (DNRM 2018)


Figure 7. Surface geology in the Project area



Client
Magnetic South Pty Ltd

0 1.25 2.5 3.75 5
Kilometers

P. O. Box 959
Kenmore, Qld 4069
Mobile: 0447 822 119
www.3denvironmental.com.au



Legend

- Monitoring Bores
- Drainage
- A-B Pit
- C Pit
- EPC881

Terrestrial GDE

- Low potential GDE - from regional studies

Source: BOM GDE Atlas (2020).

Figure 8. Groundwater monitoring bores in the Project area against mapped GDEs.

Client
Magnetic South Pty Ltd



3d Environmental
LANDSCAPE AND VEGETATION SCIENCE

P. O. Box 959
Kenmore, Qld 4069
Mobile: 0447 822 119
www.3denvironmental.com.au

Scale 1:80,000	Drawn By DG	Checked DS	File Path	Date 19/10/2020	A4
----------------	-------------	------------	-----------	-----------------	----

3.0 Methods

The following section provides an overview of methods used to assess groundwater dependence of vegetation within the Project Area and surrounds. It describes site selection, use of shallow hand auger holes, assessment of leaf water potential (LWP), soil moisture potential (SMP) and analysis of stable isotope composition in a manner that is consistent with Jones et al (2020) and supplemented with methodology from Richardson et al (2011), IESC (2018b) and Eamus (2009).

Field assessment was completed over a six-day period from the 27th June 2020 to 2nd July 2020. In prior reference to **Figure 3**, Weather conditions during the survey were mild to warm, with an estimated maximum daily temperature from 26°C to 30°C.

3.1 Site Selection

The survey focused on areas mapped as potential GDEs in BOM (2020b) with additional sites identified where vegetation characteristics were otherwise indicative of potential groundwater dependence. Assessment sites were generally confined to drainage lines including the larger systems of Springton and Charlevue Creek. In total, four broad areas were targeted for assessment (as per **Figure 9**) being:

1. Charlevue Creek Area 1 providing representation of a reach of Charlevue Creek where riparian vegetation is well developed and preserved within a broad alluvial flood plain. Vegetation in this area is mapped as RE11.3.25 in AARC (2019) and the area is considered representative of the best developed and preserved riparian vegetation within the Project area. This area was targeted in Sites M7, M8 and M9. Charlevue Creek in this area is incised into a broad alluvial flood plain to a depth of approximately 6m with a sandy channel floor (see **Figure 9**).
2. Charlevue Creek Area 2 provides representation of a more disturbed reach of Charlevue Creek where riparian vegetation has been reduced to a thin strip within the confines of the river channel and immediate stream banks. This area is similar to Charlevue Creek Area 1 though vegetation is not as well preserved, and the area is not recognised as a potential GDE in the GDE Atlas (BOM 2020b). Charlevue Creek Area 2 was sampled in Sites M10 and M12.
3. Springton Creek Area 1 provides a representation of the riparian vegetation fringing Springton Creek which is in closest proximity to the proposed AB Pit. Vegetation on this reach is mapped as RE11.3.25 in AARC (2019) with flood plain vegetation, represented by RE11.3.2, extending for several hundred metres on either side of the flood channel in some localities. All fringing vegetation is mapped as a 'low potential' terrestrial GDE in the GDE Atlas (BOM 2020b). Springton Creek Area 1 was sampled at Sites M5, M6 and M14. Site M14 was specifically targeted within the road reserve as a representation of RE11.3.2 (*Eucalyptus populnea* dominant woodland), an ecosystem which could not be sampled elsewhere within the EPC Area due to land access restrictions.
4. Springton Creek Tributary Area 1, provided representation of a larger ephemeral tributary of Springton Creek which is closest to C Pit. Vegetation is mapped as a 'low potential' terrestrial GDE in the GDE Atlas (BOM 2020b). The area was assessed with Site M1 and M4.


Other more discrete targets were chosen to test for groundwater dependence in other areas including:

1. Site M2, targeting an area mapped as a 'low potential' GDE that was mapped as RE11.5.2 in vegetation mapping completed by AARC (2019).
2. Site M3, targeting a mapped 'low potential' GDE within a minor depression that drains into Springton Creek.
3. Site M11, targeting another area mapped as a 'low potential' GDE within a minor depression that drains into Charlevue Creek. Vegetation is mapped as RE11.5.2 in the terrestrial ecology report prepared by AARC (2019).
4. Site M13, targeting the riparian fringe of Charlevue Creek in an off-tenure location, within an area not recognised as a potential GDE (BOM 2020b).

The HES Wetland mapped to the east of the assessment area (see **Figure 6**) was also observed from the road edge during field inspection, though could not be accessed on foot due to entrance restriction imposed by the landowner. The location of all GDE assessment localities is shown in **Figure 10**.



Figure 9. Sandy channel bed of Charlevue Creek at Site M7.



Legend

- GDE Site Localities
- A-B Pit
- C Pit
- GDE Assessment
- Drainage
- EPC881

Terrestrial GDE

- Low potential GDE - from regional studies

Figure 10. The location of GDE assessment sites and areas.

Client
Magnetic South Pty Ltd

0 1.2 2.4 3.6 4.8
Kilometers

3d Environmental
LANDSCAPE AND VEGETATION SCIENCE

P. O. Box 959
Kenmore, Qld 4069
Mobile: 0447 822 119
www.3denvironmental.com.au

Scale 1:80,000	Drawn By DG	Checked DS	File Path	Date 13/11/2020	A4
----------------	-------------	------------	-----------	-----------------	----

D:\Backup_C Drive_26519\3D Environmental\Magnetic_South\Mag_Sth_Map_101020.mxd

3.2 Hand Auger Sampling

Where practical, a hand auger placed to a maximum depth of 2.35m was utilised to sample shallow soil and sub-soil and collect samples at varying depths in the soil profile. Hand auger placement was limited by substrate and the auger could not penetrate deeply in some localities due to hard substrates, or refusal occurred at relatively shallow depths in the soil profile due to the presence root material. In total, 19 auger holes were placed across the 14 GDE assessment sites. Within each auger hole, the following observations were made at regular depth intervals:

1. Soil structure, colour, and texture.
2. Presence of root matter.
3. Soil moisture / water and depth to saturation.

Soil sampling was undertaken at regular intervals (generally 0.2m with following samples taken every 0.5m) down the soil profile for analysis of stable isotopes of oxygen ($\delta^{18}O$) and deuterium (δ^2H). Duplicate samples were retained for analysis of soil moisture potential (SMP). The location of hand auger samples is shown in figures relevant to each GDE assessment site (see **Section 4.0**).

3.3 Leaf Water Potential

Leaf Water Potential (LWP) is defined as the amount of work that must be done per unit quantity of water to transport that water from the moisture held in soil to leaf stomata. LWP consists of the balance between osmotic potential, turgor pressure and matric potential. It is defined as the amount of work that must be done per unit quantity of water to transport that water from the moisture held in soil to leaf stomata. It is a function of soil water availability, evaporative demand, and soil conductivity.

LWP was measured pre-dawn (prior to sunrise) as per standard protocol. Due to a lack of transpiration, LWP will equilibrate with the wettest portion of the soil that contains a significant amount of root material. Pre-dawn LWP will shift to a lower status as soil dries out on a seasonal basis (Eamus 2006a). Measurement pre-dawn LWP thus gives an indication of the water availability to trees at each assessment site and provides an indication as to whether trees are tapping saturated zones of the soil profile where water is freely accessible, or utilising moisture that is more tightly bound to soil particles.

Survey localities were visited pre-dawn (first light to pre-sunrise) and leaves were collected from the canopy with the aid of a 7.5m extension pole fitted with a lopping head. Leaves were collected from three to six mature canopy trees at each site with 66 trees sampled in total across all assessment areas. Collected samples were double bagged in black plastic to avoid moisture loss and sun exposure and LWP was measured on-site within half an hour of harvest. Suitable leaf material was trimmed with a fine blade and inserted into an appropriate grommet for sealing within a Model 3115 Plant Water Status Console (Soil Moisture Equipment Corp, 2007). The chamber was sealed and gradually pressurised with nitrogen until the first drop of leaf water emerged from the petiole. Two reading readings were taken at each GDE site to calculate an average with a third taken where significant differences between reading was noted. Readings were taken in pounds per square inch (PSI) which is converted to a negative value in millipascals (MPa) for direct comparison to SMP

measurements. The location of trees sampled for LWP relative to GDE assessment areas is shown in provided in **Section 4.0**.

3.4 Soil Moisture Potential

Duplicate samples collected during soil augering were subject to analysis of SMP (SMP) at regular intervals with an aim to collect samples at 0.2 mbgl, 0.5 mbgl and at 0.5 to 1.0m intervals to the end of hole. As samples were collected, they were immediately sealed in airtight plastic vials and placed on ice. For each interval sampled, one sample was dispatched to the Australian National University (ANU) Stable Isotope Laboratory (Farquhar Laboratory) for the analysis of the naturally occurring stable isotopes of hydrogen and oxygen within soil moisture (see **Section 3.6.1**). The second sample was retained for the measurement of laboratory tested SMP.

SMP, which includes the matric (dryness) and osmotic (saltiness) potential, is a measure of the energy required to extract moisture from soil. Water only has capacity to move down a hydraulic gradient from soil to root (Gardner 1960) and only areas in the soil profile that have a SMP that is less negative than measured pre-dawn LWP will be accessible as a source of moisture. It is widely agreed in ecohydrology and plant physiology fields, that large, mature trees are unable to extract moisture from regions in the soil profile where the total SMP is significantly below LWP measured in pre-dawn leaf material (Feikema et al. 2010, Lamontagne et al. 2005, Thorburn et al. 1994, Mensforth et al. 1994, Holland et al 2009 and Doody et al. 2015).

For crops, the maximum suction roots can apply to a soil/rock before a plant wilts due to negative water supply is approximately -15 bars or -1.5 MPa (or -217.55 psi). This wilting point is considered relatively consistent between all plant species (Mackenzie et al, 2004), although many Australian plants have adapted to conditions of low water availability and can persist strongly in soil conditions where soils moisture potential is below standard wilting point (Eamus 2006a). As a general measure however, where measured LWP is below standard wilting point, it indicates plant water deficit, and the tree is unlikely to be supported by a saturated water source unless highly saline.

The measurement of SMP was completed in the laboratory by a portable Dew Point Potentiometer (WP4C) (Meter Group Inc, 2017). The WP4C meter uses the chilled mirror dew point technique with the sample equilibrated within the headspace of a sealed chamber that contains a mirror and a means of detecting condensation on the mirror. Soil moisture potential samples were measured in megapascal pressure units (MPa). A single 7 ml soil sample was inserted into the WP4C meter using a plastic measuring tray with a stainless-steel base.

3.5 Stable Isotope Sampling and Analyses

Trees may utilise water from a range of sources including the phreatic zone (saturated zone), the vadose zone (unsaturated zone) and surface water. The stable isotopes of water, oxygen 18 (^{18}O) and deuterium (^2H) are useful tools to help define the predominant source of water used by terrestrial vegetation. The method relies on a comparison between the stable isotope ratios of water contained in plant xylem (from a twig or xylem core) with stable isotope ratios found in the various sources of water including a shallow groundwater table, potential sub-artesian aquifer water sources or shallow soil moisture. Methods used to assess stable isotopes are detailed below.

3.5.1 Soil moisture isotopes

Sampling was undertaken at regular intervals in auger holes to capture isotopic signatures from a range of potential plant moisture sources from the upper soil surface to the top of the phreatic zone in shallow water tables. The sampling intervals for soil moisture isotope analyses was dependent on auger yield and soil variation although in general, the initial soil sample taken within the top 20cm of the soil profile and subsequent samples taken at 0.5m intervals down the soil profile to the end of hole. Approximately 200mg of soil was collected for isotope analysis, sealed in airtight plastic sampling containers, double sleeved in click-seal plastic bags and placed on ice for storage prior to dispatch to ANU Stable Isotope Laboratory for analysis where they were snap frozen until analysis was complete.

3.5.2 Xylem water isotopes

Twigs were collected from the outer canopy branches of target trees used to sample LWP. The following sampling procedure was applied:

1. Outer branches of trees of the GDE target tree were harvested for twig material. Two duplicate samples were prepared from each branch for analysis.
2. The position of trees subject to assessment were marked with a GPS and structural measurements were recorded including height and diameter at breast height (dbh).
3. Outer branches from each tree were harvested with an extendable aluminium pole.
4. Stem material equivalent approximately 5cm in length was sourced using clean stainless-steel secateurs.
5. Bark was immediately removed, and stems were sealed in wide mouth sample containers with leakproof polypropylene closure (approx. 125ml volume) and immediately labelled with the tree number and placed in an iced storage vessel prior to dispatch to the ANU Stable Isotope Laboratory.
6. Upon receipt of samples at the ANU Stable Isotope Laboratory, samples were snap frozen (-18°C) until analysis.
7. For all twigs, samples were taken from xylem as close to the centre of twig as possible. For both xylem and soil samples, extracted water was analysed using a Picarro L2140i cavity ring-down spectrometer.

For xylem water analysis, multiple samples were taken from a single branch sample at all sampling localities. From each branch sampled, the twig samples retaining the lowest degree of isotopic enrichment was used as the reference. This is because there may be considerable partitioning of isotope ratios across a twig cross-section (moving from the xylem to phloem) and it is not always possible to sample the same region of a twig consistently when multiple samples are submitted for analysis. There is also potential for fractionation of stable isotope values, particularly ^2H , during movement of water through the xylem from roots to leaves (Evaristo et al 2017, Petit and Froend 2018). As fractionation will likely result in isotopic enrichment rather than depletion, the least enriched sample from each tree is considered most likely to be representative of the soil moisture or groundwater source.

3.5.3 Groundwater monitoring bore sampling

To compare the isotopic signature of groundwater to that of vegetation, groundwater samples from developed monitoring wells were collected which were despatched to Australian National University (ANU) for analysis of stable isotopes of oxygen and deuterium. Monitoring wells where groundwater was sampled for stable isotope analysis have been indicated in **Table 1 (Section 2.2)**.

3.5.4 Data reconciliation and interpretation

Data interpretation followed a structured approach in which multiple lines of evidence were filtered to provide an assessment of groundwater dependence. The biophysical measurement of LWP formed the primary assessment, followed by the adjunct comparison with SMP, with stable isotope data used to provide supplementary evidence where ambiguity remained. Further context to the approach is provided below.

Step 1. LWP: An initial comparison was undertaken to identify individual trees with LWP measurements within the expected range for known terrestrial GDEs subject to various salinity regimes. This data is drawn from a range of published sources including Jones et al (2020), Holland et al (2006) and Mensforth et al (1994) and values are conservatively applied against laboratory calculated values for water at various salinity levels (Meter Group Inc, 2017):

- Expected LWP for trees in equilibrium with a fresh to brackish saturated source of moisture (EC<1500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) = >-0.2MPa.
- Expected LWP for trees in equilibrium with a moderately saline soil moisture source (EC>1500 to 10 000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) = <-0.2MPa to >-0.55MPa.
- Expected LWP for trees in equilibrium with a saline soil moisture source (EC>10 000 to 17 000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) = <-0.55MPa to >-0.9MPa.

Trees that demonstrate LWP values that are more negative than expected ranges for the local groundwater salinity regime are assessed to not be groundwater dependent and not subject to additional scrutiny, other than for comparative purposes. A value of 17 000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ was chosen as a reference as this represents the upper levels of salinity measured in the alluvium at Springton monitoring bore DW7076W (see **Table 1**).

Step 2. SMP: For trees where LWP was within the expected range of values for GDE's under specific local salinity regimes, an assessment of SMP from the auger profile was undertaken to identify the likelihood that moisture for transpiration was being supplied from the upper soil profile, or whether deeper sources of moisture must be inferred. As described in **Section 3.4**, water only has capacity to move down a hydraulic gradient from soil to root meaning that only those portions of the soil profile that have a SMP that is less negative than measured pre-dawn LWP will be accessible as a source of moisture (Gardner 1960). This does not provide an absolute assessment of groundwater dependence though identifies potential sources of moisture to provide context to assessment of stable isotopes (Step 3).

Step 3. Stable Isotope Signatures: For trees that demonstrate potential groundwater dependence from LWP measurements, stable isotope signatures from the xylem samples were compared to signatures from the upper 2m of the soil profile, groundwater, and surface water from pools in creek lines to provide a fingerprint for the source of moisture being utilised.

Where three lines of evidence indicated utilisation of a groundwater source, the tree was generally accepted as being groundwater dependent. Where ambiguity remained in the assessment, additional features were considered including site specific geology, geomorphology, soil physical properties and depth to water table at the location were utilised to inform the final assessment of groundwater dependence for any tree or site.

3.6 Limitations and Other Information Relevant to the Assessment

This assessment provides a snapshot of eco-hydrological process at each of the four GDE assessment localities identified during pre-survey desktop assessment and field reconnaissance. Specific limitations include:

1. Climatic conditions preceding the assessment were dry, although preceding wet season rainfall events (in February 2020) would have saturated shallow portions of the soil profile with residual wetness being present in some soil horizons. Where ambiguity in biophysical measurements were apparent, stable isotope signatures were relied upon to differentiate groundwater from other soil moisture sources.
2. Access was limited in some localities due to landowner / site access restrictions. Sampling localities were modified prior to the assessment to allow sampling to be completed within the constraints of pre-dawn survey requirements. It is not anticipated that changes to original survey plans has negatively influenced or compromised the outcomes of the assessment.
3. Due to the intensive nature of the data collection, representative areas were chosen for GDE sampling which were used as a basis for extrapolation over broader areas considered to present similar ecohydrological function. The data collection process aimed to inform conceptualisation of the types of GDEs present on the site and their general distribution, so an informed risk assessment could be completed.
4. The ecological processes and hydrogeological conditions encountered within the Project area are complex and transient. Interpretations and conceptualisations presented here are based upon multiple lines of evidence and represent what the author considers is the most appropriate interpretation of the data. However continued refinement of the presented conceptual models may result from further data collection.

4.0 Results

Survey results are divided into individual sections which apply to each of the assessment methods employed including, LWP, SMP and assessment of stable isotopes. For data interpretation and discussion, presented in **Section 5.0**, these data form multiple lines of evidence which are the basis for identification and characterisation of GDEs throughout the Project area.

4.1 Leaf Water Potential Measurements

A summary of LWP sampling results for all trees, including locations of sampled trees relative to the watercourse is provided in **Appendix A**. Representation of average LWP results within the four broad assessment areas, including the 14 assessment sites is provided in **Figure 11** with spatial representation of average water availability per site provided in **Figure 12**. The results indicate:

1. The following sites demonstrate average LWP that is consistent with utilisation of a saturated low salinity (<1500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) source of moisture, possibly groundwater, or near saturated portions of the soil profile:
 - a. Charlevue Creek Area 1 (Sites M7, M8¹ and M9) and Site M10 at Charlevue Creek Area 2.
 - b. Site M1 in Springton Tributary Area 1.
2. The following sites demonstrate average LWP values that suggest utilisation of a non-saturated source of readily available soil moisture in the vadose zone, or utilisation of a saline groundwater source with a salinity not greater than 10 000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.
 - a. Site M4 in Springton Tributary Area 1
 - b. Site M12 in Charlevue Creek Area 2.
 - c. Site M11 and Site M13.
3. The following sites demonstrate average LWP results indicative of moisture utilisation from a dry portion of the soil profile in the vadose zone.
 - a. All sites associated with Springton Creek Assessment Area 1 (Site M5, Site M6 and Site M14).
 - b. Site M2 and Site M3.

For point 3, the results of LWP analysis are more negative than would be imparted by use of saline groundwater in the alluvium, attributed with a maximum salinity of 17 000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (based on monitoring bore DW7076W on Springton Creek). Based on these results, all sites associated with Springton Creek Assessment Area 1, Site M2 and Site M3 are unlikely to represent terrestrial GDEs with trees sourcing moisture from dry portions of the soil profile / vadose zone. Further analysis of assessment sites listed against Point 1 and Point 2 are provided in the following sections for SMP and stable isotopes. A more comprehensive analysis of LWP data for individual trees is provided in **Figure 13**, which indicates levels of water availability for different species sampled across all sites.

¹ Note average LWP results are inflated through inclusion of Tree 5, which is a *Eucalyptus populnea* on the margins of the flood plain.





Figure 11. Average LWP readings for all GDE Assessment Areas. The blue line ($>-0.2\text{MPa}$) indicates typical LWPs for trees in equilibrium with a non-saline saturated source of soil moisture; the orange line ($>-0.55\text{MPa}$) indicating typical values for trees in equilibrium with a moderately saline soil moisture source ($\text{EC } 10\,000\ \mu\text{S/cm}$) and the red line indicative of trees in equilibrium with saline source of moisture at $17000\ \mu\text{S/cm}$. Standard Wilting Point ($<-1.5\text{MPa}$) is also indicated.

Figure 13 shows that LWPs for both *Eucalyptus tereticornis* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* vary considerably across the survey area with trees at Site M1, M7, M8 and M9 demonstrate extremely high water availability ($>-0.2\text{MPa}$). In comparison, *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* at Site M5 and M6 (Springton Creek) demonstrate LWP indicative of extreme moisture deficit ($<-2.0\text{MPa}$ in some cases). This highlights the ability of both species to adapt to extremely dry conditions.

Both *Eucalyptus populnea* (Site M8, M2 and M14) and *Eucalyptus crebra* (Site M2) demonstrate high levels of water deficit at all sites (LWP values from -0.9MPa to -2.0MPa) consistent with the findings of Fensham and Fairfax (2007) that these species have limited investment in deep root architecture and are not adept at utilising deeper sources of soil moisture or groundwater. Similarly, the single coolabah (*Eucalyptus coolabah*) measured at Site M13 is suffering considerable water deficit, which would be expected considering the propensity of the species to occupy heavy clay soils which hold on to water very tightly when dry, and also provide an impediment to deeper root penetration. A spatial representation of water availability for individual trees measured at each assessment site is provided in **Figure 14** to **Figure 18**.



Legend

Tree Water Availability

- Extremely High
- Very High
- High
- Moderate
- Low
- Very Low

- A-B Pit
- C Pit
- GDE Assessment
- Drainage
- EPC881

Terrestrial GDE

- Low potential GDE - from regional studies

Source: BOM GDE Atlas (2020).

Figure 12. Average tree water availability per assessment site.

Client

Magnetic South Pty Ltd

0 1.25 2.5 3.75 5

Kilometers

3d Environmental

LANDSCAPE AND VEGETATION SCIENCE

P. O. Box 959
 Kenmore, Qld 4069
 Mobile: 0447 822 119
 www.3denvironmental.com.au

Scale 1:80,000	Drawn By DG	Checked DS	File Path	Date 12/11/2020	A4
----------------	-------------	------------	-----------	-----------------	----

D:\Backup_C Drive_26519\3D Environmental\Magnetic_South\Mag_Sth_Map_101020.mxd





Figure 13. LWP measurement for all trees and species at all sites assessed during the GDE survey.




Legend

- Auger holes
- Tree Water Availability**
- Extremely High
- Very High
- High
- Moderate
- Low
- Very Low
- Drainage
- GDE_Assessment_Areas
- EPC881
- Terrestrial GDE**
- Low potential GDE - from regional studies

Source: BOM GDE Atlas (2020).

Figure 14. Tree Water Availability in Charlevue Creek Area 1


Client
Magnetic South Pty Ltd



3d Environmental
LANDSCAPE AND VEGETATION SCIENCE

P. O. Box 959
Kenmore, Qld 4069
Mobile: 0447 822 119
www.3denvironmental.com.au

Scale 1:21,960	Drawn By DG	Checked DS	File Path	Date 21/10/2020	A4
----------------	-------------	------------	-----------	-----------------	----



Legend

- Auger holes
- Tree Water Availability**
- Extremely High
- Very High
- High
- Moderate
- Low
- Very Low
- GDE Assessment
- Drainage
- EPC881
- Terrestrial GDE**
- Low potential GDE - from regional studies

Source: BOM GDE Atlas (2020).

Figure 15. Tree Water Availability in Springton Tributary Area 1 and Site M3

Client
Magnetic South Pty Ltd

0 0.4 0.8 1.2 1.6
 Kilometers

3d Environmental
 LANDSCAPE AND VEGETATION SCIENCE

P. O. Box 959
 Kenmore, Qld 4069
 Mobile: 0447 822 119
 www.3denvironmental.com.au

Scale 1:22,553	Drawn By DG	Checked DS	File Path	Date 12/11/2020	A4
----------------	-------------	------------	-----------	-----------------	----

D:\Backup_C Drive_26519\3D Environmental\Magnetic_South\Mag_Sth_Map_101020.mxd

Legend

● Auger holes

Tree Water Availability

● Extremely High

● Very High

● High

● Moderate

● Low

● Very Low


— Drainage

--- GDE_Assessment_Areas

▭ EPC881

Terrestrial GDE

▭ Low potential GDE - from regional studies




Source: BOM GDE Atlas (2020).

Figure 16. Tree Water Availability in Charlevue Creek Area 2

Client

Magnetic South Pty Ltd



Scale 1:19,574

Drawn By DG

Checked DS

File Path

Date 21/10/2020


A4

3d Environmental
LANDSCAPE AND VEGETATION SCIENCE

P. O. Box 959
Kenmore, Qld 4069
Mobile: 0447 822 119
www.3denvironmental.com.au

Legend

- Auger holes
- Tree Water Availability**
- Extremely High
- Very High
- High
- Moderate
- Low
- Very Low
- GDE Assessment
- Drainage
- EPC881
- Terrestrial GDE
- Low potential GDE - from regional studies



Source: BOM GDE Atlas (2020).

Figure 17. Tree Water Availability in Springton Creek Area 1




Client
Magnetic South Pty Ltd

0 0.4 0.8 1.2 1.6
Kilometers

P. O. Box 959
Kenmore, QLD 4069
Mobile: 0447 822 119
www.3denvironmental.com.au

Scale 1:28,511	Drawn By DG	Checked DS	File Path	Date 12/11/2020	A4
----------------	-------------	------------	-----------	-----------------	----

D:\Backup_C Drive_26519\3D Environmental\Magnetic_South\Map_Sth_Map_101020.mxd




Legend

- Auger holes
- Tree Water Availability**
- Extremely High
- Very High
- High
- Moderate
- Low
- Very Low
- GDE Assessment
- Drainage
- EPC881
- Terrestrial GDE**
- Low potential GDE - from regional studies

Figure 18. Tree water availability at Site M11 and M13 on Charlevue Creek and minor tributary

Client
Magnetic South Pty Ltd



3d Environmental

LANDSCAPE AND VEGETATION SCIENCE

P. O. Box 959
Kenmore, Qld 4069
Mobile: 0447 822 119
www.3denvironmental.com.au

Scale 1:30,000	Drawn By DG	Checked DS	File Path	Date 13/11/2020	A4
----------------	-------------	------------	-----------	-----------------	----

D:\Backup_C Drive_26519\3D Environmental\Magnetic_South\Map_101020.mxd

4.2 Hand Auger Profiling and Soil Moisture Potential

Summary profiles from hand auger holes for site where an auger was completed are provided in **Appendix B** which provide an indication of soil structure, texture and moisture content. As per **Section 3.5.4**, the purpose of the SMP testing is to identify, for those trees where LWP measurement indicate potential groundwater dependence, whether sufficient moisture is available in the upper unsaturated portion of the soil profile (i.e vadose zone) to explain LWP measurements that may be indicative of groundwater usage, either saline or otherwise. From **Section 4.1**, this includes the following:

1. All trees in Charlevue Creek Area 1 (except for Tree 5 at Site M8 being *Eucalyptus populnea*).
2. Trees in Charlevue Creek Area 2 (including Site M10 and M12)
3. All trees in Springton Creek Tributary Area 1 (Site M1 and M4).
4. Tree 2, Tree 3 and Tree 4 at Charlevue Creek Site M13.
5. Tree 1, Tree 2 and Tree 3 at Site M11.

Where collected, data from other sites including Springton Creek Area 1, are also presented for comparative purposes. Penetration of the auger at Site M11 was arrested at a shallow depth (0.35 mbgl) due to intersection of basement rock, and at Site M6 where the alluvial soil profile was cemented. Auger profiles are not provided for these sites although soil samples were extracted from the stream bank for analysis of SMP and stable isotopes.

Charlevue Creek Area 1: Two auger holes were placed at both Site M7 and Site M9 to depths of 2.2mbgl with one auger hole placed on the terrace, and a second auger hole placed in the floor of the sandy creek channel. Details of auger holes (M7_AU1, M7_AU2 and M9_AU1 and M9_AU2) are provided in **Appendix B**. Additional auger holes were placed at Site M8 for the purpose of collecting samples for stable isotope analysis. Downhole SMP profiles for Site M7 and M9 are presented in **Figure 19a** and **Figure 19b** demonstrating that moisture availability generally increases with depth down the auger hole. For auger holes placed in the stream channel (M7_AU2 and M9_AU3), SMP was $> -0.02\text{MPa}$ down most of the profile, corresponding with the LWP for most trees. This suggests that free water is available for tree uptake throughout most of the sandy soil profile. For augers placed on higher alluvial terraces, SMP increased significantly at depths below 1.5mbgl, and generally matched measured LWPs at depths of 2.2mbgl. This indicates moisture at, or deeper than this depth, is available for moisture uptake by trees.

Charlevue Creek Area 2: Single auger holes were placed at both Site M10 and Site M12 with M10_AU1 placed on the upper terrace (5m from top of bank) while M12_AU1 was placed in the channel floor, 5m below the terrace. For M10_AU1, SMP to depths of 2.2 mbgl was significantly more negative than LWP of trees sampled at the site (**Figure 20a**), indicating trees are sourcing moisture from regions of the soil profile that are deeper than 2 mbgl. For M12_AU1 (Site M12) SMP at depths below 1 mbgl matched measured LWP for trees at the site indicating that soil moisture within the profile below these depths is available for uptake by trees (**Figure 20b**). Auger M12_AU1 was completed at 1.2 mbgl due to a high density of tree root material which arrested deeper penetration of the auger.




Figure 19. Downhole SMP for auger holes placed at Site M7 (19a) and Site M9 (19b on left) indicating increasing water availability at depths >2mbgl. The green shading indicates the range of LWPs measured in trees at these sites.




Figure 20. Downhole SMP for auger holes placed at Site M10 (20a) and Site M12 (20b on right) indicating increasing water availability at depths >2mbgl. The green shading indicates the range of LWPs measured in trees at these sites.

Springton Tributary Area 1: Single auger holes were placed at Site M1 and Site M4 at Springton Tributary Area 1. Site M1 was installed to a depth of 2.2m, with a coarse sand layer intersected between 1.5m and the end of hole (**Figure 21a**). The sand was strongly mottled with iron staining suggesting the sand formed a seasonal aquifer with strongly fluctuating water levels. While no free water was intersected in the auger, it is expected that saturation would occur rapidly after rainfall, and that free water may have been available deeper in the sandy profile. At 2.2 mbgl, a coarse gravel band was intersected which arrested deeper auger penetration and it is possible that free water may have been present at deeper levels in the soil profile. Downhole SMP does indicate high levels of moisture availability in the sand, matching LWP for trees measured at the site, and moisture would be available for uptake between 1.7 and 2.0 mbgl. For Site M4 (see **Figure 21b**), a fine silty sand was intersected between 1.0 and 1.3 mbgl with a hardpan at the base which arrested deeper auger penetration. The entire hole was dry with SMP that was considerably lower than LWP for trees recorded at the site, indicating that trees are most likely accessing soil moisture from deeper regions of the soil profile.

Springton Creek Area 1: There were severe difficulties installing auger holes into any of the three Springton Creek sites (M5, M6 and M14) due to the compacted nature of the soils, and basement rock outcrop in some localities. Auger penetration was arrested at Site M6 at 0.35 mbgl due to a cemented soil horizon and at M14, penetration was arrested at 1.2mbgl due to compacted soils. SMP measurements for Auger M14_AU1 ranged from -1.1 to -3.5 MPa, which corresponded to the low LWP measurements recorded in poplar box at the site (-1.1 to -2.0 MPa) suggesting that trees may be extracting moisture from the shallow soil profile in this locality.

A single auger hole was installed into the channel of Springton Creek at Site M5, to a depth of 1.9 mbgl. The hole was placed below Tree 3, which was the only tree at the site to demonstrate a high LWP (>-0.2 MPa) indicative of high moisture availability in the soil profile (see **Figure 13**). The results indicate SMP in the soil profile below the channel sufficiently explains the high LWP recorded in Tree 3, with moisture between 0.75 and 1.9 mbgl available for tree uptake (see **Figure 22**). Other trees (Tree 1, Tree 2, Tree 4, Tree 5 and Tree 6) all demonstrate significant water deficit indicating trees are accessing moisture from a much drier soil profile in those locations.

Site M13 (Charlevue Creek): Auger M13_AU1 was installed in the road reserve within the creek channel, encountering 2m of moist heavy clay. **Figure 23** demonstrates Tree 1 (*Eucalyptus coolabah*), which was located on the upper terrace 10 from the top of bank, was sourcing moisture from an extremely dry portion of the soil profile, and moist soil conditions were largely restricted to the channel where other trees were sampled. SMP in auger M13_AU1 is extremely high from surface down to 2.0 mbgl, accounting for the high LWP recorded in Tree 2 to Tree 4. The moist conditions recorded in the auger hole are likely to represent a wetting front below the stream channel, although no free water was intersected.





Figure 21. Downhole SMP for Site M1 (Figure 21a) and Site M4 (Figure 21b right) at the Springton Creek Tributary Area 1.




Figure 22. Soil moisture availability at site M5, Springton Creek Area 1.




Figure 23. Soil moisture availability at Site M13, Charlevue Creek.

4.3 Stable Isotope Sampling and Analyses

Figure 24 shows stable isotope values ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^2\text{H}$) for all values including soil, surface water (from stream pools), groundwater and twig xylem water analysed during the assessment. The main groupings have been circled to better indicate the inter-relationship between the groups and highlight areas of dataset overlap. The scatter shows:

1. Broad isotopic overlap between soil and all other sample types, with soil presenting the greatest spread of isotopic values. Twig xylems samples were generally enriched above the soil and groundwater with some overlap between soil and groundwater.
2. Twig (xylem) samples and groundwater samples do not demonstrate any overlap with groundwater demonstrating much more depleted isotopic values, although twig samples overlap with isotopic values of surface waters.
3. There is no overlap between surface water samples and groundwater, the latter being subject to significant evaporative enrichment.

The broad scatter of isotopic values in the soil samples is expected, as this would be imparted by infiltration of surface water with varying degrees of isotopic enrichment including direct infiltration of unfractionated rainfall or infiltration of evaporatively enriched surface waters. The most significant feature is however the complete lack of overlap between twig samples (which are relatively isotopically enriched) and groundwater samples (isotopically depleted) indicating trees are not utilising groundwater in the regional Tertiary or alluvial aquifers to any degree, even when groundwater would be within reach of maximum rooting depth (e.g. SWL at 8.7 mbgl in alluvium at DW7076W and 11.19 mbgl at DW7292W1). This is not unexpected due to the salinity of groundwater that is typical of the regional aquifer systems. The results imply that any groundwater usage by trees on the Project site is from fresh perched aquifers that are recharged through infiltration of evaporatively enriched surface water and are disconnected from the regional aquifer. Note all stable isotope biplots include the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL) for Rockhampton from Crosbie et al (2012) which provides a reference to identify evaporative trends. Raw data for all isotopic samples is provided in **Appendix D**. Stable isotope results for individual sites are briefly discussed below:

Charlevue Creek Area 1 and Charlevue Creek Area 2: Biplots showing the isotopic composition of soils, twigs, groundwater, and surface water from Charlevue Creek Area 1 is shown in **Figure 25** and Charlevue Creek Area 2 in **Figure 26**. For both areas, the results highlight the strong differentiation between isotopic composition of twig samples and groundwater, indicating the regional groundwater table is not being utilised by trees to any degree. There is also no consistent pattern in the soil samples with isotopic enrichment being evident at various depths, where they match the isotopic compositions of twig samples (e.g. M7 Au1_2.0m; M7 AU1_1.15m at Charlevue Creek Area 1) indicating possible utilisation of moisture from those depths in the soil profile. Surface water samples were collected from an overflow channel at Site M8 for Area 1 and from a residual pool at M10 for Area 2, and both are isotopically enriched above all other samples, indicative of exposure to evaporative processes.




Figure 24. Stable isotope scatters for all data with LMWL for Rockhampton indicated in by the blue line after Crosbie et al (2012) shown as reference. The circles delineate the sample groupings of soils, xylem, groundwater and surface water.




Figure 25. Stable isotope biplot for Charlevue Creek Area 1 with the LMWL shown in blue dashed line.




Figure 26. Stable isotope biplot for Charlevue Creek Area 2 with the LMWL shown in blue dashed line.

Springton Tributary Area 1: Biplots showing the isotopic composition of soils, twigs, and groundwater from Springton Tributary Area 1 (Site M1 and M4) is shown in **Figure 27**. Like Charlevue Creek Area 1 and Area 2, the results highlight the strong differentiation between isotopic composition of twig samples and groundwater, indicating the regional groundwater table is not being utilised by trees to any significant degree. There is overlap between twig samples and SMP from some soil samples (particularly M1_AU1_1.5) indicating moisture is being source from these regions by some trees. The enrichment of stable isotope values from twig samples indicates trees are utilising an evaporatively enriched source of moisture, most likely from zones that are deeper in the soil profile, where infiltrated surface water has been able to penetrate.




Figure 27. Stable isotope biplot for Springton Tributary Area 1 with the LMWL shown in blue dashed line.

Springton Creek Area 1: Biplots showing the isotopic composition of soils, twigs, groundwater and surface water from Springton Creek Area 1 (Site M5, M6 and M14) are shown in **Figure 28**. As per previous assessment areas, there is a strong differentiation between isotopic composition of twig samples and groundwater, indicating the regional groundwater table is not being utilised by trees to any degree. Soil samples are generally isotopically enriched over twig samples however, in auger sample M5_AU1, isotopic enrichment increases with depth in the soil profile (see **Figure 29**). This effect strongly suggests that Tree 3 at M5 (being the only tree with high LWP from Site M5) is utilising moisture at depth underneath the stream channel, where it is sourcing infiltrated surface flows that have been subject to evaporative enrichment.

Tree 1 at Site M6, a *Melaleuca leucadendra* on the margins of a semi-permanent waterhole, similarly demonstrates high LWP (see **Figure 13**). Isotopic analysis indicates this tree is utilising moisture directly from the surface water body as indicated by isotopic overlap with the surface water sample from this site (M6SW1 compared to M6_T1). The isotopic values of other trees generally overlap with the isotopic composition of soil moisture from deeper portions of the soil profile. From **Section 4.2 (Figure 22)**, this moisture is from a dry portion of the soil profile which is likely to be below the total depth of the auger profile at M5_AU1.




Figure 28. Stable isotope biplot for Springton Creek Area 1 with the LMWL shown in blue dashed line.




Figure 29. Isotopic profile downhole in Site M5 (M5_AU1) indicating increasing isotopic enrichment below 1 mbgl.

Site M13 (Charlevue Creek): A biplot showing the isotopic composition of soils, twigs, surface water and groundwater from Site M13 at Charlevue Creek is shown in **Figure 30**. The differentiation between isotopic composition of groundwater and twig samples is highly apparent. Twig samples overlap with the isotopic composition of the surface water sample collected at the site (M13SW1). This strongly suggests that trees at Site M13 are utilising water from the wetting front below the creek channel, in moist clays, which is consistent with the high LWP recorded from most trees at the locality (see Figure 13).




Figure 30. Stable isotope biplot for Charlevue Creek Site M13, demonstrating strong differentiation between groundwater and twig xylem.

Other Sites (M2, M3, M11): The remaining sites all demonstrate strong differentiation between groundwater samples and twig xylem, indicating that groundwater is not being utilised by trees at these localities to any significant degree (see **Figure 31**).




Figure 31. Stable isotope biplot for Charlevue Creek Site M2, Site M3 and Site M11, demonstrating strong differentiation between groundwater and twig xylem.

5.0 Discussion and Conceptual Site Models (CSMs)

5.1 Suitability of Groundwater Resources to Support GDEs

Groundwater may be hosted in nearly all geologies in some form and an assessment of its utility to support GDEs is required. The Permian Sediments (Rewan Group and Rangal Coal Measures) are low permeability units that are generally considered aquitards. Groundwater occurs in fracture zones and the degree of permeability is constrained by the degree of fracturing and the low primary porosity of the sediments. Coal seams are the primary aquifers in the Permian sediments and the upper units subcrop into the Tertiary sediments where there may be some leakage and exchange of groundwater between the two geological units. The shallowest recorded groundwater levels in the coal seams are from Pollox Upper Seam (17.04 mbgl) which is close to the inferred threshold depth beyond which tree roots / groundwater interaction is unlikely to occur (DNRM 2013). Similarly, the shallowest groundwater in the Tertiary sediments was recorded in DW7220W1 at 15.35 mbgl which is also at the inferred threshold for tree root penetration, while the groundwater in the alluvium may be considerably shallower (8.77 mbgl in DW7076) suggesting that it may be physically accessible to tree roots.

While physical accessibility provides one controlling factor, salinity also plays a significant role in determining the suitability of groundwater to support ecological processes, including its capacity to support terrestrial GDEs. A lower osmotic potential will be associated / measurable in the leaves of trees exposed to saline soils or groundwater, which will be reflected in a lower total LWP. Eamus et al (2006b) identifies river red gum as being a relatively salt-tolerant species, growing well in groundwater salinities of almost 1,500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. In addition, Mensforth et al. (1994) identified that river red gum will continue to utilise groundwater with salinity as high as 40,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ in the absence of a fresh source of soil moisture, although higher levels of tree stress will be apparent. Measured groundwater salinity in the alluvial and Tertiary sediments ranges from 1 439 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ to 26 831 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, which would be in the mildly to highly toxic range for river red gum. Trees may prefer not to utilise brackish to saline groundwater if more suitable fresh water sources, including infiltrating rainfall and surface water, are available. Based on relatively unambiguous data from comparison of stable isotope signatures between twigs and groundwater, there is no indication that groundwater in even the shallowest regional aquifers within the physical reach of tree roots is being utilised by trees to any significant degree. Where LWP measurements indicate the likelihood that trees are utilising a saturated groundwater source, the stable isotope signatures from twigs indicate this groundwater must be from a perched source of fresh water that is disconnected from the regional groundwater table. Two areas where utilisation of a source of perched groundwater is indicated are identified on Charlevue Creek (particularly Charlevue Creek Area 1) and Springton Tributary Area 1, which are discussed in the following sections, with the location of these areas, relative to infrastructure areas shown in **Figure 32**. There is no evidence for trees associated with the main channel of Springton Creek, including the floodplain areas occupied by RE11.3.2 (*Eucalyptus populnea* dominant) are utilising groundwater from the regional alluvial or Tertiary aquifers.

5.2 Ecohydrological Function of the HES Wetland

The HES wetland feature is an internally drained clay pan with no external connections to watercourses or other wetland areas. Despite an extremely wet February four months prior to the

field inspection, there was no indication of any surface water associated with this feature. This suggests that there is no baseflow into the system, which would be expected if the wetland was linked to a semi-confined aquifer that is recharged directly by rainfall. Pondered surface water in the system clearly evaporates quickly, in conjunction with associated infiltration into the clay subsoil.

The HES wetland was observed from the roadside to comprise a low woodland with a predominant canopy of *Melaleuca nervosa* and emergent forest red gum (*Eucalyptus tereticornis*). Neither of these species are obligate phreatophytes, having capacity to survive in situations where considerable water deficit may occur, such as clay pans and dry hillslopes. While there is a possibility that the HES wetland is supported by a shallow fresh perched water table that is recharged directly by rainfall, there is no suggestion that the feature is supported by a deeper confined aquifer system that is linked to the coal seams proposed for mining. The feature is therefore not considered a concern for this assessment because:

1. The wetland is nourished by surface water flows from within its own internal catchment which does not form part of the mining footprint.
2. There is no indication of baseflow into the system, or inputs from groundwater linked to aquifers in the coal seams.

This is supported by information contained in both national (BOM 2020) and state (DES 2018) GDE mapping databases which do not support this feature as being a GDE.

5.3 Conceptual Site Models / Cross Sections.


Conceptual Site Models (CSMs) illustrating the conceptualised interaction between groundwater and terrestrial ecology have been developed for two likely GDEs in this section. The location of these cross sections is shown on **Figure 32**, being a north south block section through Charlevue Creek Area 1, and an east west cross section through Springton Creek Area 1. The purpose of the CSMs is to illustrate the interaction between terrestrial vegetation and sources of soil moisture for transpiration as they interact and vary on a seasonal basis. CSMs presented in this section are not drawn to scale and are intended to represent soil / moisture and tree relations diagrammatically. It is also noted that these areas provide the most extensive interpreted GDE areas within the Project site and provide a firm basis for conceptualisation of impacts. It is not possible however to exclude other areas where there may be minor or highly seasonal groundwater usage that is similar to what is conceptualised in the models, most likely on Charlevue Creek.

5.3.1 Charlevue Creek Area 1.

Figure 33 defines a N/S section through Charlevue Creek at the approximate location of **Cross Section A**, extending for approximately 500m to the south of the channel and 100m to the north. **Figure 33a** illustrates a dry season scenario where a shallow fresh water aquifer occurs at the base of recent flood plain sediments. The flood plain defines a broad 'flood terrace' that is confined between gentle Tertiary rises and is inferred to be a more recent alluvial feature than areas associated with Charlevue Creek that are otherwise mapped as alluvium. The flood terrace is strongly incised by the main channel of Charlevue Creek to depths of up to six metres and traversed by shallow overflow channels which are activated during periods of overbank flow. Water is held at depth in the dry

sandy creek bed, where residual, isotopically enriched surface water from prior flows remains hydraulically connected to alluvial aquifer. This connection may be broken during extended drought periods with evapotranspiration depleting the alluvial aquifer and, in this sense,, the alluvial aquifer is considered a seasonal feature. The shallow alluvial aquifer is also disconnected from the deeper, brackish to saline Tertiary aquifer that lies at the interface between Tertiary and weathered Permian sediments. While there is some diffuse leakage indicated from the perched into the Tertiary aquifer, this leakage is relatively minor, evidenced from the generally high and temporally consistent salinity of the Tertiary aquifer.

In **Figure 33b**, a flood scenario is indicated where overbank flow activates overflow channels, greatly enhancing recharge of the perched aquifer. The alluvial water table bulges due to bank recharge adjacent to the stream channel, and the watercourse loses surface flow into the alluvial sediments in a typical 'losing stream' scenario. Lateral infiltration of surface flows into the stream channel continues until the level of the surface water drops below the level of the recharged alluvial water table, as shown in the post flooding scenario (**Figure 33c**). In the post flooding scenario, baseflow from the alluvial water table back into the stream channel may occur, acting to sustain surface flows for a short period following flooding. It is noted that during flooding, the perched alluvial aquifer remains hydraulically disconnected from the Tertiary aquifer with only minor leakage through the Tertiary sediments. The salinity of the Tertiary system suggests that recharge through the Tertiary sediments occurs via diffuse 'piston flow' where the movement of a water front occurs through the soil uniformly downwards to the aquifer, pushing older water deeper into the soil profile. Preferential flow, where water migrates through the substrate rapidly via preferential flow paths is not anticipated as this would rapidly and permanently dilute the salinity of the Tertiary aquifer. Preferential flow would also create pathways for tree root penetration into the Tertiary groundwater system, for which there is no evidence.



Legend







-  Infrastructure footprint buffer
-  Alluvial perched groundwater systems
-  A-B Pit
-  C Pit
-  Drainage
-  EPC881

Figure 32. Location of confirmed terrestrial GDE areas

Client
Magnetic South Pty Ltd

0 0.8 1.6 2.4 3.2
 Kilometers

3d Environmental 
 LANDSCAPE AND VEGETATION SCIENCE

P. O. Box 959
 Kenmore, QLD 4069
 Mobile: 0447 822 119
 www.3denvironmental.com.au

Scale 1:45,957	Drawn By DG	Checked DS	File Path	Date 13/11/2020	A4
----------------	-------------	------------	-----------	-----------------	----

D:\Backup_C Drive_26519\3D Environmental\Magnetic_South\Mag_Sth_Map_101020.mxd

Figure 33a. Charlevue Creek Area 1- Dry Season Regime




Figure 33b. Charlevue Creek Area 1- Flood Regime




Figure 33c. Charlevue Creek Area 1- Post Flooding (Baseflow)




Figure 33. Conceptual model of the Charlevue Creek GDE system showing dry season scenario (33a), flooding scenario (losing system) (33b) and post flooding / baseflow scenario (33c).

5.3.2 Springton Tributary Area 1

The thick interval of coarse sand intersected in Auger holes (see profile for M1_AU1 in **Appendix B**) in this locality provides excellent aquifer material, and the presence of strong iron staining and mottling indicates that the sandy interval hosts a fluctuating perched seasonal aquifer that fills and drains rapidly in response to surface flows and seasonal rainfall. During the dry season, the perched aquifer is depleted rapidly through transpiration, with only minor leakage inferred into the underlying Tertiary sediments and groundwater table as shown in **Figure 34a**. Tree roots from the dominant red gum are inferred from stable isotope analysis to be utilising isotopically enriched moisture from the saturated portion of the sandy soil profile, with some shallow anchorage in the Tertiary sediments expected.

In the wet season (**Figure 34b**), the aquifer recharges rapidly through infiltration of surface water, which is enhanced by activation of numerous overflow channels, and drainage flows from the surface of the Tertiary system. The period that the aquifer material remains saturated will depend on follow up recharge and climatic factors, with greatest depletion occurring during periods of high evapotranspiration. Similar to the Charlevue Creek CSM, the salinity of the Tertiary system suggests that recharge through the Tertiary sediments occurs via diffuse 'piston flow' where the movement of a water front through the soil uniformly downwards to the aquifer occurs. While some leakage from the perched into the deeper Permian / Tertiary aquifer is expected, it is not considered to be a significant input into the deeper aquifer systems, as evidenced by their high, and relatively stable levels of groundwater salinity. Due to the low hydraulic conductivity of the Tertiary sediments, there is no capacity to sustain baseflow back into the drainage feature. Based on field evidence, the GDE system relies on its own local catchment and surface flows to replenish the perched groundwater system.




Figure 34b. Springton Tributary - Wet Season Regime




Figure 34. Cross section model of the Springton Tributary Area 1 perched aquifer system in the dry season (Figure 34a) and wet season (Figure 34b).

6.0 Assessment of Impacts to GDEs

Section 6.1 provides a summary of findings for the Charlevue Creek Area 1 and Springton Tributary Area 1 GDE areas, with potential impact mechanisms and their relevance to GDEs within the Gemini project area, discussed in **Section 6.4**. Potential measures for impact mitigation are provided in **Section 6.3** and a risk assessment has been undertaken in **Section 6.5** consistent with the approach identified in the IESC summary guide – assessing groundwater dependent ecosystems (IESC 2018c).

6.1 Summary of Findings Relevant to Impact Assessment

The assessment of impacts to GDEs is based on the findings of the extent and distribution of GDEs identified in this report, and the groundwater impact assessment report (JBT 2020). In summary:

Charlevue Creek Area 1 GDE System:

1. Charlevue Creek is an ephemeral watercourse system defined by an incised channel, up to six metres deep and up to 15 m wide. In this locality, the flood plain widens to form a broad flood terrace that is up to 200m wide, formed from well drained recent alluvial silts and sands.
2. The stream channel has a sandy floor that holds residual water from surface flows for an extended period into the dry season. Water held in the channel sands is conceptualised to have hydraulic linkage with a localised perched groundwater system, which becomes gradually depleted through transpiration into the dry season.
3. The depth of the perched aquifer would fluctuate seasonally with the likelihood that brief periods of baseflow are associated with retreating channel flows. For most of an annual seasonal cycle, the creek channel would be losing water into the alluvial sediments and perched groundwater table.
4. The hydraulic connectivity between the perched groundwater system and the deeper groundwater in the Tertiary sediments is insignificant although there may be minor leakage of groundwater from the perched groundwater system into the Tertiary sediments.
5. The observed good health of mature forest red gum in the Charlevue Creek system, coupled with the extremely high LWP measured during field assessment suggests that trees are not utilising saline groundwater from the Tertiary sediments to any degree, a statement which is strongly supported by analysis of stable isotope compositions from twigs and groundwater.

Springton Gully Area 1 GDE System

1. The Springton Tributary system is an ephemeral watercourse defined by a flat, weakly incised flood plain that has numerous overflow channels that are activated during flooding.
2. A seasonal aquifer is associated with a coarse sand interval intersected below the flood plain and main creek channel. The aquifer is conceptualised as being perched above the regional Tertiary aquifer and fluctuates seasonally dependant of surface flow inputs, with complete saturation potentially occurring during sustained flood events.
3. The hydraulic connectivity between the perched groundwater system and the deeper groundwater in the Tertiary sediments is predicted to be insignificant although there may be

minor leakage of water from the perched system into the Tertiary sediments. This is supported by the high, and relatively stable salinity levels reported from groundwater associated with the Tertiary system.

4. Red gum at this locality are conceptualised to be utilising the perched groundwater system to sustain transpiration into the dry season, though the perched aquifer will likely become depleted during extended periods without recharge.

6.2 Potential Impacts to GDEs

The GDE Toolbox (Richardson et al 2011), provides a starting point for investigating potential impacts on GDEs exposed to threat through the following impact mechanisms:

1. A total or partial loss or reduction in the volume or pressure of the aquifer being utilised by GDEs.
2. A change in the magnitude and timing of volume fluctuations in the aquifer being utilised by GDEs.
3. Changes to the interaction between surface flows and aquifers being utilised by a GDE.
4. Change in chemical composition of an aquifer detrimentally impacting the health of a GDE.

These potential changes can result in:

1. Loss of canopy vigour leading to senescence of groundwater dependent vegetation.
2. Changes to sub-canopy and groundcover because of increased light penetration through the canopy of senescing vegetation
3. Change in species composition with replacement of species not adapted to changing ecological parameters with species that have greater capacity to absorb change.

Direct clearing of a GDE system is also an additional impact which needs to be considered in the context of the Project.

6.2.1 Direct clearing

Vegetation clearing will result in direct impact to 5.54 ha of riparian vegetation (RE11.3.25) which is recognised as a GDE in the Springton Tributary Area 1 GDE system. This clearing action will result in direct removal of the area mapped as Springton Tributary Area 1 GDE system. The extent of clearing has been shown in **Figure 32** with further information on direct impacts is provided in the Terrestrial Ecology Assessment Report (AARC 2019). As this GDE system has been identified to be cleared, it is not considered further in the risk assessment which focuses on the GDEs associated with Charlevue Creek.

6.2.2 Partial or total loss or reduction in pressure of the aquifer being utilised by GDEs.

Charlevue Creek Area 1: The predicted water table drawdown (from JBT 2020) associated with development of mining voids is shown in **Figure 35**. Excluding the GDE area proposed for clearing, Charlevue Creek Area 1 is intersected by both the 2m and 5m drawdown contours which reflects drawdown in the water table associated with mining, affecting groundwater in the Tertiary and alluvial sediments. The alluvial landform associated with the Charlevue Creek GDE system supports a seasonal perched Aquifer. Based on salinity and stable isotope data collected from groundwater and

twigs, the perched aquifer is not considered to be hydraulically connected to the regional groundwater system associated within the Tertiary and older alluvial sediments. Furthermore, considering the perched groundwater system is not in hydraulic connection with regional groundwater table, this unit is not predicted to be subject to drawdown and no impact to groundwater dependent vegetation associated with Charlevue Creek will be incurred.

6.2.3 *Change in the magnitude and timing of volume fluctuations in the aquifer being utilised by GDEs.*


Volume fluctuations in the perched groundwater system associated with Charlevue Creek are regulated by surface flows rather than upward propagation of deeper regional groundwater tables. The interaction between surface flows and the perched groundwater system is elaborated further in **Section 6.2.4.**

6.2.4 *Changes to the interaction between surface flows and aquifers being utilised by a GDE.*

Streams in the Project area are ephemeral and based on the observed behaviour of other streams in the region, streamflow mostly occurs shortly after rainfall between September and April. The proposed mine operations and associated infrastructure footprint (MIA) are largely located outside of the Charlevue Creek and Springton Creek flood inundation areas (WRM 2019). The Charlevue Creek GDE system is located upstream from the proposed mining voids and there will be no loss of catchment area due to mining development that could potentially reduce upstream surface flows. Therefore, surface flow behaviour in the area upstream from the GDE area will be unaffected and similarly, there should be no change to either flood frequency, duration, or intensity that might impact recharge of the perched aquifer.

WRM (2019) report minor increases in flood level immediately upstream from the proposed haul road crossing with increases of 0.01 to 0.05m propagating upstream into the Charlevue GDE Area. These changes to flood intensity are considered insignificant in terms of recharge to the perched alluvial groundwater system.

The assessment of changes in flows, and changes in flood levels and velocities (WRM, 2019) demonstrates that the development of the mining operation will not result in a significant impact on the hydrology of Charlevue Creek to a degree that it would affect the recharge of the perched alluvial groundwater system that supports the GDE.




Legend

- Infrastructure footprint buffer
- Extent of 2m Drawdown Contour - End of Mining
- Extent of 5m Drawdown Contour - End of Mining
- Alluvial perched groundwater systems
- A-B Pit
- C Pit
- Drainage
- EPC881

Figure 35. Identified GDE systems in relation to MIA and modelled groundwater drawdown.

Client
Magnetic South Pty Ltd



3d Environmental
LANDSCAPE AND VEGETATION SCIENCE

P. O. Box 959
Kenmore, Qld 4069
Mobile: 0447 822 119
www.3denvironmental.com.au

D:\Backup_C Drive_26519\3D Environmental\Magnetic_South\Mag_Sth_Map_101020.mxd

6.2.5 Change in chemical composition of an aquifer detrimentally impacting the health of a GDE

The perched groundwater system supporting GDEs on Charlevue Creek is underlain by an unconfined brackish to saline groundwater system in the Tertiary sediments and Permian coal seams. The potential for saline water from these groundwater units to contaminate the fresh perched groundwater system is negligible as upward propagation of saline water under hydrostatic pressure will not occur.

Other potential sources of water quality contamination would be from:

1. discharge of saline mine affected water, or saline leachate into the environs of Charlevue Creek, upstream from or potentially into the Charlevue GDE system. This could potentially increase the salinity of the perched groundwater system and impact GDE health.
2. Discharge of saline water from sediment dams upstream from, or into the Charlevue GDE system, potentially increasing the salinity of the perched groundwater system and impacting GDE health.

Water captured in sediment dams is expected to have low salinity and coarse sediments will settle out, such that any impacts to downstream water quality associated with discharge are expected to be minor (WRM 2019). The management of mine affected water is dealt with in **Section 6.4.1**, although WRM (2019) concludes that any unplanned overflows from the Mine Water Dam, would overflow to the mine pit with the only potential mine water release points being the Mining Infrastructure Area Dam spillways. These dams will be operated in such a way that the risk of release is small.

The groundwater impact assessment (JBT 2020) also concluded that there is no potential pathway for mine pit water from either the AB Pit, or the C Pit, via the base of the Tertiary sediments to migrate into sensitive ecological receptors and there is low risk that water from the mining void will contaminate surrounding groundwater systems.

6.3 Cumulative Impacts

JBT (2020) concluded that there are no existing mining operations within the zone of predicted groundwater drawdown from mining at the Project site, and therefore there are no cumulative impacts to assess.

6.4 Mitigation and Management Measures

It should be noted that the GDE assessment identified a GDE system that is subject to clearing impacts, which will need to be considered in the overall ecological offsets package. Apart from direct clearing impacts, **Section 6.2** identifies that in the absence of mitigation, the risk of impact to GDEs is considered low on account of the hydraulic disconnect between the aquifers supporting GDEs and the regional water table subject to potential impact during mining. While risk assessment is dealt with more comprehensively in **Section 6.5**, general operation measures that will minimise risk of impact to GDEs are provided in **Section 6.4.1**.

6.4.1 General operational measures

Under a project Environmental Authority, a water management system, whose primary objective is to minimise environmental harm, will need to be developed. Any Implemented site Water Management System (WMS) and associated Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) will be directly applicable to management of potential impacts to GDEs.

WMS: Specific objectives under a WMS that are relevant to the management of impact to GDEs are:

- Mine affected water:
 - Ensure any controlled releases of mine affected water prevents environmental harm.
 - Minimise uncontrolled discharges in wet periods.
 - Understand, manage, and minimise the potential impact of the water management system on the regional groundwater system.
- Sediment water: Maintain the quality of water discharging from Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) structures to as close to background levels as reasonably possible.
- Clean water: Separate from the mine affected and sediment water systems as much as practicable and allow it to pass uninterrupted through the catchment.
- Water from the mine Infrastructure area (MIA) (e.g. from fuel storage area): Ensure full separation from other water sources and manage under the specifications of AS1940 - Storage and Handling of Flammable and Combustible Liquids (WRM 2019).

ESCP: Sediment water containment (runoff from spoil and incomplete rehabilitated areas) is managed in accordance with a site ESCP. The ESCP adopts the three cornerstones of erosion and sediment control.

- Drainage control – prevention or reduction of soil erosion caused by concentrated flows and appropriate management and separation of the movement of diverted and surface water through the area of concern.
- Erosion control – prevention or minimisation of soil erosion (from dispersive, non-dispersive or competent material) caused by rain drop impact and exacerbated overland flow on disturbed surfaces.
- Sediment control – trapping or retention of sediment either moving along the land surface, contained within runoff (i.e. from up-slope erosion) or from windborne particles.

6.4.2 Groundwater monitoring

A comprehensive groundwater monitoring network will need to be maintained for the duration of the mining venture, extending into post closure periods. The purpose of the groundwater monitoring network will be to:

1. Assess the effects of extraction of authorised groundwater including the monitoring of:
 - impacts on any watercourses dependent on groundwater flow
 - impacts of other groundwater users
 - groundwater level in all identified geological units across and adjacent to mining infrastructure and voids.

- groundwater inflows and discharges from mine workings
2. To further refine and validate the groundwater flow model used to assess impacts to groundwater; and
 3. To consider requirements of any regional groundwater monitoring and assessment program developed to address potential cumulative impacts.

6.5 Risk Assessment

Drawing on information on GDE presence and function from previous sections, a risk assessment has been prepared which presents the likelihood of an impact occurring and the consequence associated with that impact. The significance of the risks is described below:

- **High significance:** Complete destruction of a GDE in terms of complete loss of keystone species and conversion to an alternate degraded ecological state. Impacts are irreversible and the only feasible option for mitigation is an environmental offset under relevant environmental policy.
- **Moderate significance:** Degradation of a GDE to an extent that 25% or more keystone species are affected by the action. Impacts will be reversible only with mitigation.
- **Low significance:** Impacts are short in duration and reversible without mitigation required.
- **Insignificant:** Impacts are undetectable when assessed against a relevant ecological baseline.

The ranking applied to the assessment of likelihood including descriptor is provided in **Table 2**, descriptions of magnitude are applied in **Table 3** and the derived risk matrix is provided in **Table 4**. A list of applicable mitigations is provided in **Table 5**. The constructed risk assessment with a residual risk score is provided in **Table 6**.

Table 2. Descriptors and ranking for the likelihood of impact occurring.

Rank	Likelihood	Description
1	Highly unlikely	There is no precedent for this event in the industry and similar events have not previously occurred.
2	Unlikely	Impacts have been associated with previous industry actions although similar impact pathways are not identified for the Gemini project.
3	Possible	Impact pathways are not clearly understood and impacts have been previously associated with a similar industry action
4	Likely	Impacts have previously been associated with the industry and a clear impact pathway exists.
5	Highly likely	A common event that is consistently associated with a similar industry action/ of an action that is proposed to occur.

Table 3. Descriptors of Impact Magnitude applied in the risk assessment.

Magnitude	Description
Negligible	No impact identifiable above baseline ecological conditions
Low	Plant stress linked to mining activity that results in the reduction in volume and duration of groundwater supporting a GDE system that does not result in more than 5% dieback of mature canopy trees (defined as a canopy tree with DBH >60cm). Impact localised and reversible with mitigation.
Moderate	Plant stress linked to mining activity that results in the reduction in volume and duration of groundwater supporting a GDE system that does not result in more than 25% dieback of mature canopy trees (defined as a canopy tree with DBH >60cm). Impact is reversible with mitigation.
High	Significant harm (loss of 25 to 50% of mature canopy trees). Impact is reversible although a significant lag in return to pre-disturbance condition occurs (lag>20yrs). Vegetation is converted from remnant to non-remnant status and significant impacts to habitat for protected fauna species occurs. Biodiversity offsets may be required.
Severe	Irreversible impact to > 50% mature trees that cannot be mitigated. Vegetation is converted from remnant to non-remnant status and significant impacts to habitat for protected fauna species occurs. Biodiversity offsets will be required.

Table 4. Matrix applied in the risk assessment.

		Likelihood				
		Highly Unlikely (1)	Unlikely (2)	Possible (3)	Likely (4)	Highly Likely (5)
Consequence	Severe	Insignificant	Low	High	High	High
	High	Insignificant	Low	Moderate	High	High
	Moderate	Insignificant	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
	Low	Insignificant	Low	Low	Low	Low
	Negligible	Insignificant	Insignificant	Insignificant	Insignificant	Insignificant

Table 5. List of relevant mitigations

Mitigation No	Mitigation
1	GDE Avoidance
2	Water Management System
3	Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
4	Groundwater Monitoring (including construction of additional monitoring bores where applicable).
5	Environmental Offsets

Table 6. Risk assessment for potential impacts.

Impact Pathway	Pre-mitigated Risk			Comments	Mitigation Measures	Residual Risk Ranking		
	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk			Likelihood	Consequence	Risk
Springton Tributary Area 1 GDE System								
1. Direct clearing of a GDE system.	5	Severe	High	The GDE is proposed to be cleared. Refer to Terrestrial ecology assessment report (AARC 2019) for clearing impacts.	5	5	Low	Low
2. A total or partial loss or reduction in the volume or pressure of the aquifer being utilised by GDEs.	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA
3. A change in the magnitude and timing of volume fluctuations in the aquifer being utilised by GDEs.	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA
4. Changes to the interaction between surface flows and aquifers being utilised by a GDE.	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA
5. Change in chemical composition of an aquifer detrimentally impacting the health of a GDE ¹ .	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA
Charlevue Creek GDE System								
1. Direct clearing of a GDE	1	Negligible	Insignificant	Mine and infrastructure footprint is located entirely outside the GDE area.	1	1	Negligible	Insignificant
2. A total or partial loss or reduction in the volume or pressure of the aquifer being utilised by GDEs.	2	Negligible	Insignificant	Perched groundwater system supporting GDE and underlying groundwater system in Tertiary sediments are not considered to have a significant hydraulic linkage.	4	1	Negligible	Insignificant
3. A change in the magnitude and timing of volume fluctuations in the aquifer being utilised by GDEs ¹ .	2	Negligible	Insignificant	Volume fluctuations in the perched groundwater system are regulated by surface flows, which will have very minor change due to lack of interruption	4	1	Negligible	Insignificant

Impact Pathway	Pre-mitigated Risk			Comments	Mitigation Measures	Residual Risk Ranking		
	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk			Likelihood	Consequence	Risk
				to upstream hydrology or catchment excision.				
4. Changes to the interaction between surface flows and aquifers being utilised by a GDE.	2	Low	Low	Flooding volume and frequency will not be significantly impacted by the Gemini development.	2, 3, 4	1	Low	Insignificant
5. Change in chemical composition of an aquifer detrimentally impacting the health of a GDE ¹ .	2	Low	Low	No controlled releases of mine water planned for either Charlevue Creek or Springton Creek. Uncontrolled releases of mine water are not predicted throughout the life of the mine.	2, 3, 4	1	Low	Insignificant

¹. Assumes freshwater aquifers / groundwater with EC<1500 µS/cm. Withdrawal of saline aquifers / groundwater may have a positive impact on vegetation / habitat condition of a GDE

7.0 Conclusions

Multiple lines of evidence including measurement of LWP, SMP, stable isotopes and physical observation have been applied to assesses the dependence of vegetation in the Gemini Project area on groundwater. Based on the results of a single field survey event, two areas of likely groundwater dependence are recognised being:

1. Charlevue Creek Area 1, being an area identified as a terrestrial GDE on Charlevue Creek, to the west and upstream of the MIA.
2. Springton Tributary Area 1, being a terrestrial GDE associated with an ephemeral drainage system located within the footprint of the MIA.

The Charlevue Creek GDE area is hosted in an area of recent river alluvium, forming a flood terrace which is dissected by the channel of Charlevue Creek and traversed by a series of flood overflow channels. The GDE area is supported by an unconfined, fresh alluvial aquifer that is perched above the regional groundwater table associated with Tertiary, Permian and older alluvial sediments, and is seasonally recharged during surface flows including flood events and associated rainfall. Based on salinity data and stable isotope comparisons between twig xylem and groundwater samples, it is inferred that the perched aquifer is hydraulically disconnected from the regional Tertiary and alluvial aquifers which host groundwater with salinity values that range from mildly to highly toxic to trees. Based on stable isotope data, there is no indication that trees at this site, nor any tree sampled during the GDE assessment is using groundwater associated with the regional Tertiary, alluvial or Permian coal seam aquifers to any significant degree.

The Springton Tributary Area 1 GDE system is supported by a seasonally variable perched aquifer system that is hosted in a thick, coarse sand horizon developed below the flood plain of the minor tributary. The strong iron staining associated with the sandy aquifer material provides evidence for considerable seasonal fluctuation in groundwater levels, which are inferred to recharge and drain rapidly in response to rainfall and surface flows. Similar to the Charlevue Creek GDE system, the Springton Tributary system is perched above the regional Tertiary groundwater table and trees at the site, based on evidence from stable isotope analysis, show no evidence for utilisation of the deeper groundwater system.

The Springton Tributary GDE system, covering an area of 5.54 hectares is located within the MIA and is proposed for direct clearing impacts. Environmental offsets will be required as the only viable mitigation and these have been captured within offsets proposed for impacts to other significant terrestrial ecology values (as per AARC 2019).

The Charlevue Creek GDE system is supported by an alluvial aquifer that is perched above, and hydraulically disconnected from the deeper regional aquifers associated with the Tertiary, older alluvium, and Permian coal seams. Groundwater drawdown associated with mining void development is modelled to impact the regional groundwater table below the Charlevue Creek system. However, it is not anticipated that these impacts will not be propagated into the perched aquifer due to limited hydraulic connectivity between the perched and deeper aquifer systems that

will be impacted by groundwater drawdown. The Charlevue Creek GDE system is recharged by surface water flows, flooding and seasonal rainfall which will not be altered by mining development.

Mitigations to prevent impact to the Charlevue Creek system include general operational measures associated with project approval conditions which may include development of the Mine WMS and ESCP. Based on these mitigations, it is considered that the risk to the GDE system posed by mine development is negligible.

8.0 References

Australasian Resource Consultants (AARC) (2019). Gemini Project Terrestrial Ecology Assessment. Prepared for Magnetic Sth Pty Ltd.

Australian National Botanic Gardens (ANBG)(2004). Water for a Healthy Country – Taxon Attribute Profile, *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. Available at:

<https://www.anbg.gov.au/cpbr/WfHC/Eucalyptus-camaldulensis/index.html>

quality. Available at: <https://www.waterquality.gov.au/guidelines/anz-fresh-marine>

Bren, L.J. and Gibbs, N.L. (1986) Relationships between flood frequency, vegetation and topography in a river red gum forest. *Australian Forest Research* 16, 357-370.

Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) (2020a). Australian Government. www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/ accessed 27 October 2020.

Bureau of Meteorology (BOM)(2020b). Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems Atlas; available at:

<http://www.bom.gov.au/water/groundwater/gde/map.shtml>

Colloff M. (2014). *Ecology and History of the River Red Gum*. CSIRO Publishing. Collingwood, Victoria.

Commonwealth of Australia (2015), *Modelling water-related ecological responses to coal seam gas extraction and coal mining*, prepared by Auricht Projects and the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) for the Department of the Environment, Commonwealth of Australia'.

Cook, P., & O'Grady, A. (2006). Determining soil and ground water use of vegetation from heat pulse, water potential and stable isotope data. *Oecologia*, 148(1), 97-107.

Crosbie RS, Morrow D, Cresswell RG, Leaney FW, Lamontagne S and Lefournour M (2012) *New insights into the chemical and isotopic composition of rainfall across Australia*. CSIRO Water for a Healthy Country Flagship, Australia

Department of Environment and Science (DES) (2020). *Groundwater dependent ecosystems and potential aquifer mapping – Queensland*.

Department of Natural Resources, Mines and Energy (DNRME 2018). *Detailed surface geology – Queensland*. Digital Database.

Department of Natural Resources and Mines (DNRM) (2013). *Review of Water Resource (Paroo, Buloo and Nebine) Plan 2003*. Queensland Government, Brisbane.

Doody, T., Colloff, M., Davies, M., Koul, V., Benyon, R., & Nagler, P. (2015). Quantifying water requirements of riparian river red gum (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) in the Murray–Darling Basin, Australia – implications for the management of environmental flows. *Ecohydrology*, 8(8), 1471-1487.

Doody, T. M., Holland K. L., Benyon R. G., and Jolly I. D. (2009). Effect of groundwater freshening on riparian vegetation water balance. *Hydrological Processes* 23.24: 3485-3499.

Eamus, D (2009). Identifying groundwater dependent ecosystems – A guide for land and water managers. University of Technology, Sydney.

Eamus D., Hatton T., Cook P., Colvin C. (2006a). Ecohydrology. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Australia.

Eamus D., Froend F., Loomes R., Hose G., Murray B., (2006b). A functional methodology for determining the groundwater regime needed to maintain the health of groundwater dependent vegetation. Australian Journal of Botany; 54, 97 -114.

Evaristo, J; McDonnell, J.; Clemens, J. Plant source water apportionment using stable isotopes: A comparison of simple linear, two-compartment mixing model approaches. Hydrological Processes , Volume 31 (21) – Oct 15, 2017.

Feikema, P., Morris, J., & Connell, L. (2010). The water balance and water sources of a Eucalyptus plantation over shallow saline groundwater. Plant and Soil, 332(1), 429-449.

Fensham R.J and Holman J.E (1999). Temporal and spatial patterns in drought-related tree dieback in Australian savanna. Journal of Applied Ecology, 36 1035 – 1050.

Fensham R. J and Fairfax R.J (2007), Drought-related tree death of savanna eucalypts: Species susceptibility, soil conditions and root architecture. Journal of Vegetation Science 18: 71-80

Fensham R. J, Fairfax R. J and Ward D (2009). Drought induced tree death in savanna. Global Change Biology Volume 15, Issue 2, 380-387

Gardner W. R. (1960). Dynamic aspects of water availability to plants. Soil Science, 89, 63 – 73.

Horner, G.J., Baker, P.J., Mac Nally, R., Cunningham, S.C., Thomson, J.R., Hamilton, F., 2009. Mortality of developing floodplain forests subjected to a drying climate and water extraction. Global Change Biol. 15, 2176–2186.

Holland, L. K, Tyerman S. D., Mensforth L. J., and Walker G. R. G.R. "Tree water sources over shallow, saline groundwater in the lower River Murray, south-eastern Australia: implications for groundwater recharge mechanisms." Australian Journal of Botany 54.2 (2006): 193-205.

Hutchinson M. F., Nix H. A. & McMahon J. P. (1992) Climate constraints on cropping systems. Field Crop Systems, 18, 37–58.

Independent Expert Scientific Committee (IESC). 2018a. "Information Guidelines for Proponents Preparing Coal Seam Gas and Large Coal Mining Development Proposals." Independent Expert Scientific Committee on Coal Seam Gas and Large Coal Mining Developments.

<http://www.iesc.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/012fa918-ee79-4131-9c8d-02c9b2de65cf/files/iesc-information-guidelines-may-2018.pdf>.

Independent Expert Scientific Committed (IESC) 2018b. Information Guidelines Explanatory Note – Assessing groundwater dependent ecosystems available at:

<http://www.iesc.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/422b5f66-dfba-4e89-adda-b169fe408fe1/files/information-guidelines-explanatory-note-assessing-groundwater-dependent-ecosystems.pdf>

Independent Expert Scientific Committed (IESC) 2018c. Assessing groundwater dependent ecosystems – Summary guide, available at:

<http://www.iesc.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/422b5f66-dfba-4e89-adda-b169fe408fe1/files/summary-guide-assessing-groundwater-dependent-ecosystems.pdf>

JBT Consulting (2020). Groundwater Impact Assessment Gemini Coal Project. Report prepared for Magnetic South Pty Ltd.

Jones C., Stanton D., Hamer N., Denner S., Singh K., Flook S., Dyring M. (2019). Field Investigations of Potential Terrestrial Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems within Australia's Great Artesian Basin. *Hydrogeology Journal*. Springer Nature PP 4 - 27.

Kallarackal J. and Somen C.K (1998). Water Relations and Rooting Depths of Selected Eucalypt Species. Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi, Thrissure.

Lamontagne, S., Leaney, F., & Herczeg, A. (2005). Groundwater–surface water interactions in a large semi-arid floodplain: implications for salinity management. *Hydrological Processes*, 19(16), 3063-3080.

Malik, R., & Sharma, S. (2004). Moisture extraction and crop yield as a function of distance from a row of *Eucalyptus tereticornis*. *Agroforestry Systems*, 12(2), 187-195.

Maclenzie N., Jacquier D., Isbell R., Brown K. (2004). *Australian Soils and Landscapes*. CSIRO Publishing Victoria.

Mensforth, L., Thorburn, P., Tyerman, S., & Walker, G. (1994). Sources of water used by riparian *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* overlying highly saline groundwater. *Oecologia*, 100(1), 21-28.

Meter Group (2017). Operation Manual _ WP4C Dewpoint Meter.

O'Grady, A., Cook P. G., Howe P. P., and Werren G. G. (2006b). "Groundwater use by dominant tree species in tropical remnant vegetation communities." *Australian Journal of Botany* 54.2 (2006): 155-171.

Petit N. E and Froend R. H (2018). How important is groundwater availability and stream perenniality to riparian and floodplain tree growth. *Hydrological Process*. Volume 32 (10) – Jan 15, 2018

Richardson S, et al 2011 Australian groundwater-dependent ecosystem toolbox part 1: assessment framework, Waterlines report, National Water Commission, Canberra

Soil Moisture Equipment Corp. (2006). Model 3115 – Portable Plant Water Status Console – Operation Manual.

Thorburn, P. J, and Walker G. R (1994) Variations in stream water uptake by *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* with differing access to stream water. *Oecologia*, 100, 293-301.

Weber K., and Stewart M., (2004). A Critical Analysis of the Cumulative Rainfall Departure Concept. Ground Water, 42(6)

WRM (2019). Gemini Project Surface Water Assessment. Report prepared for Magnetic South Pty Ltd.

Appendices

Appendix A – Tree LWP Measurements and Details

Site No	Tree No	Y	X	Species	DBH cm	Height m	Geomorphic position	LWP1 Mpa	LWP2 Mpa	LWP3 Mpa	Average two lowest	Tree Water availability
M3	T1	-23.69539	149.26649	Eucalyptus tereticornis	46	18	Broad colluvial surface with 0.5m of colluvial sand / silt over sandstone basement. 30m from drainage incision.	-0.9	-0.85	-	0.875	High
M3	T2	-23.69573	149.2663	Eucalyptus tereticornis	47	20	Broad colluvial surface with 1m of colluvial sand / silt over sandstone basement. On broad drainage incision	-0.55	-0.5	-	-0.525	Very High
M3	T3	-23.696	149.26682	Eucalyptus tereticornis	62.5	20	Broad colluvial surface with 1m of colluvial sand / silt over sandstone basement. On broad drainage incision	-0.55	-0.6	-	-0.575	High
M3	T4	-23.69599	149.26712	Eucalyptus tereticornis	60	22	Broad colluvial surface with 1m of colluvial sand / silt over sandstone basement. On broad drainage incision	-1.5	-1.5	-	-1.5	Moderate
M2	T1	-23.67454	149.25365	Eucalyptus populnea	49	22	Residual silty sand. No drainage feature	-1.85	-2	-	-1.925	Very Low
M2	T2	-23.67432	149.25378	Eucalyptus populnea	58	25	Residual silty sand. No drainage feature	-1.7	-1.9	-1.9	-1.8	Very Low
M2	T3	-23.67425	149.25403	Eucalyptus populnea	31	15	Residual silty sand. No drainage feature	-1.65	-1.7	-	-1.725	Very Low
M2	T4	23.67403	149.25404	Eucalyptus crebra	45	20	Residual silty sand. No drainage feature	-1.4	-1.4	-	-1.4	Low
M1	T1	-23.67978	149.25313	Eucalyptus tereticornis	67	23	Broad flood plain with anastomosing channel incisions.	-0.2	-0.2	-	-0.2	Extremely High
M1	T2	-23.68018	149.2525	Eucalyptus tereticornis	32	22.5	Broad flood plain with anastomosing channel incisions. 10m from main drainage channel	-0.4	-0.45	-	-0.425	Very High
M1	T3	-23.6805	149.25255	Eucalyptus tereticornis	57	22	Directly adjacent to overflow drainage channel. Low rise on broad flood plain	-0.1	-0.1	-	-0.1	Extremely High
M1	T4	-23.6808	149.25229	Eucalyptus tereticornis	83	20	Broad flood plain. 5m from overflow drainage channel	-0.25	-0.25	-	-0.25	Extremely High
M1	T5	-23.68085	149.25249	Eucalyptus tereticornis	78	23	Directly adjacent to overflow drainage channel. Broadly incised to depth of 1m.	-0.1	-0.1	-	-0.1	Extremely High
M4	T1	-23.68612	149.24285	Eucalyptus tereticornis	64	18	Broad flood plain / drainage depression. Tree 25m from weakly incised drainage channel	-0.6	-0.55	-	-0.575	High
M4	T2	-23.68608	149.24242	Eucalyptus tereticornis	65	20	Broad flood plain / drainage depression. Tree on margins of ephemeral waterhole	-0.6	-0.6	-	-0.6	High
M4	T3	-23.6864	149.24198	Eucalyptus tereticornis	41	20	Broad flood plain / drainage depression. Tree 25m from weakly incised drainage channel	-0.65	-0.65	-	-0.65	High
M4	T4	-23.68644	149.24231	Eucalyptus tereticornis	62	20	Broad flood plain / drainage depression. Tree on margins of weakly incised drainage channel	-0.65	-0.7	-	-0.675	High
M4	T5	-23.68648	149.24229	Eucalyptus tereticornis	60	20	Broad flood plain / drainage depression. Tree on margins of weakly incised drainage channel	-0.45	-0.45	-	-0.45	Very High
M5	T1	-23.65281	149.28809	Eucalyptus tereticornis	71	26	15m from margins of the flood channel on margins of T1 terrace.	-0.7	-0.7	-	-0.7	High
M5	T2	-23.65288	149.28814	Eucalyptus tereticornis	100	26	On margins of drainage channel. Located directly on the upper bank	-1	-0.95	-	-0.976	Moderate

Site No	Tree No	Y	X	Species	DBH cm	Height m	Geomorphic position	LWP1 Mpa	LWP2 Mpa	LWP3 Mpa	Average two lowest	Tree Water availability
M5	T3	-23.65308	149.28803	Eucalyptus tereticornis	100	25	On margins of drainage channel. Located mid-way on the inner bank, 1m above channel floor. Moist clays in channel floor.	-0.2	-0.2		-0.2	Extremely High
M5	T4	-23.65313	149.28740	Eucalyptus tereticornis	100	26	On margins of drainage channel, on loamy soils directly above a weathered sandstone bench.	-1.2	-1.2		-1.2	Moderate
M5	T5	-23.6531	149.28734	Corymbia tessellaris	24	15	5m from margins of drainage channel, growing into fractures in a weathered sandstone bench.	-1.9	-1.9		-1.9	Very Low
M5	T6	23.65337	149.28712	Eucalyptus tereticornis	45	17	Top of cutaway bank, on loamy soils, 6m above channel floor.	-1.8	-1.8		-1.8	Very Low
M6	T1	-23.6427	149.31495	Melaleuca leucadendra	35	18	Directly adjacent to waterhole on lower terrace. At waterline	-0.3	-0.45		-0.45	Very High
M6	T2	-23.64333	149.31476	Eucalyptus tereticornis	48	15	On upper terrace, 15m from drainage channel and 6m above channel floor. Loamy soils, very dry.	-1	-1		-1	Moderate
M6	T3	-23.64340	149.31465	Eucalyptus tereticornis	48	19	On upper terrace, at top of bank directly above drainage channel. 5m above from drainage channel and 6m above channel floor. Loamy soils, very dry.	-1.75	-1.8		-1.775	Very Low
M6	T4	-23.64304	149.31484	Eucalyptus tereticornis	50	22	On upper terrace, 10m from drainage channel and 6m above channel floor. Loamy soils, very dry.	-2	-2		-2	Very Low
M7	T1	-23.6507	149.22957	Eucalyptus tereticornis	110	30	Outer margins of flood plain on shallow overflow. 125m from main drainage channel	-0.2	-0.2		-0.2	Extremely High
M7	T2	-23.65068	149.22994	Eucalyptus tereticornis	50	20	Central portion of flood plain loamy soils. 70m from main drainage channel.	-0.2	-0.15		-0.175	Extremely High
M7	T3	-23.65073	149.23034	Eucalyptus tereticornis	82	28	Central portion of flood plain loamy soils. 25m from main drainage channel and 5m above channel floor.	-0.1	-0.15		-0.125	Extremely High
M7	T4	-23.65008	149.23005	Eucalyptus tereticornis	85	25	Margins of flood channel on upper terrace. Loamy soils 5m above channel floor.	-0.1	-0.1		-0.1	Extremely High
M7	T5	-23.65001	149.22987	Corymbia tessellaris	50	20	Margins of flood channel on upper terrace. Loamy soils 5m above channel floor.	-0.45	-0.45		-0.45	Very High
M7	T6	-23.64989	149.22971	Eucalyptus tereticornis	90	30	4m above drainage channel on inner bench. Loamy soils above sandy channel.	-0.2	-0.15		-0.175	Extremely High
M8	T1	-23.65424	149.23750	Eucalyptus tereticornis	100	26	T1 Terrace 5m from top of bank	-0.35	-0.15	-0.15	-0.15	Extremely High
M8	T2	-23.65375	149.23872	Eucalyptus tereticornis	70	25	Top of T1 terrace 20m from channel	-0.15	-0.15	-	-0.15	Extremely High
M8	T3	-23.65347	149.23941	Eucalyptus tereticornis	100	26	T1 terrace at top of bank	-0.1	-0.1		-0.1	Extremely High
M8	T4	-23.65308	149.23944	Eucalyptus tereticornis	95	25	Inner bench 3m above channel floor	-0.35	-0.45	-0.4	-0.4	Very High
M8	T5	-23.65342	149.23254	Eucalyptus populnea	74.5	28	Upper terrace 100m from stream channel	-0.9	-1.3	-1.05	-0.9525	Moderate
M9	T1	-23.64942	149.24666	Eucalyptus tereticornis	80	25	T1 Terrace 5m from top of bank	-0.2	-0.25		-0.225	Extremely High

Site No	Tree No	Y	X	Species	DBH cm	Height m	Geomorphic position	LWP1 Mpa	LWP2 Mpa	LWP3 Mpa	Average two lowest	Tree Water availability
M9	T2	-23.6497	149.24745	Eucalyptus tereticornis	120	30	T2 terrace 25m from top of bank	-0.25	-0.25		-0.25	Extremely High
M9	T3	-23.64973	149.24781	Eucalyptus tereticornis	120	30	T1 Terrace 5m from top of bank	-0.2	-0.15		-0.175	Extremely High
M9	T4	-23.64933	149.24752	Eucalyptus tereticornis	50	18	Mid bench 2m above channel floor	-0.35	-0.4		-0.375	Very High
M10	T1	-23.62964	149.27157	Eucalyptus tereticornis	129	30	Top of T1 terrace at top of bank	-0.4	-0.3		-0.35	Very High
M10	T2	-23.62993	149.27158	Eucalyptus tereticornis	70	18	Top of T1 terrace at top of bank	-0.15	-0.2		-0.175	Extremely High
M10	T3	-23.63022	149.27144	Eucalyptus tereticornis	35	18	Top of T1 terrace above waterhole	-0.25	-0.2		-0.225	Extremely High
M10	T4	-23.63043	149.27132	Eucalyptus tereticornis	65	23	Top of T1 terrace at top of bank	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	Extremely High
M11	T1	-23.6066	149.26703	Eucalyptus tereticornis	48	20	Margin of shallow drainage channel on sand	-0.4	-0.3		-0.35	Very High
M11	T2	-23.60658	149.26671	Eucalyptus tereticornis	50	20	Margin of shallow drainage channel - 1m above sandy channel	-0.25	-0.25		-0.25	Extremely High
M11	T3	-23.60653	149.26649	Eucalyptus tereticornis	38	21	Margin of shallow drainage channel - 1m above sandy channel	-0.6	-0.6		-0.6	High
M11	T4	-23.60639	149.26616	Corymbia clarksoniana	34	18	5m from margins of drainage channel on sandy loam	-1.1	-0.85		-0.925	Moderate
M12	T1	-23.62416	149.27960	Eucalyptus tereticornis	90	25	In channel	-0.25	-0.2		-0.2	Extremely High
M12	T2	-23.62413	149.27958	Eucalyptus tereticornis	100	25	Within channel banks 2m above channel floor	-0.55	-0.6		-0.575	High
M12	T3	-23.62312	149.27961	Eucalyptus tereticornis	100	24	Mid way up inner bench 4m above channel	-0.45	-0.45		-0.45	Very High
M12	T4	-23.62316	149.28024	Eucalyptus tereticornis	100	22	Top of bank 5m above T1 terrace	-0.5	-0.5		-0.5	Very High
M12	T5	-23.62807	149.27524	Eucalyptus tereticornis	90	29	Overflow drainage depression 200m from flood channel	-0.45	-0.45		-0.45	Very High
M12	T6	-23.62811	149.27540	Eucalyptus tereticornis	100	30	Overflow drainage depression 200m from flood channel	-0.45	-0.45		-0.45	Very High
M13	T1	-23.60996	149.31163	Eucalyptus coolibah	60	20	T2 terrace 15m from top of bank	-1.4	-1.35		-1.35	Low
M13	T2	-23.60999	149.31166	Eucalyptus tereticornis	55	22	Top of bank 6m above channel	-0.5	-0.4		-0.45	Very High
M13	T3	-23.60988	149.31172	Eucalyptus tereticornis	35	16	Top of bank on side channel 3m above channel floor	-0.2	-0.2		-0.32	Very High
M13	T4	-23.60983	149.31187	Eucalyptus tereticornis	50	25	Top of bank 5m above channel floor	-0.5	-0.5		-0.5	Very High

Site No	Tree No	Y	X	Species	DBH cm	Height m	Geomorphic position	LWP1 Mpa	LWP2 Mpa	LWP3 Mpa	Average two lowest	Tree Water availability
M14	T1	-23.62793	149.32273	Eucalyptus populnea	50	17	T2 Terrace away from stream channel	-1.1	-1.1		-1.1	Moderate
M14	T2	-23.62800	149.32277	Eucalyptus populnea	50	16	T2 Terrace away from stream channel	-2	-2		-2	Very Low
M14	T3	-23.62811	149.32284	Eucalyptus populnea	40	15	T2 Terrace away from stream channel	-1.5	-1.5		-1.5	Low
M14	T4	-23.62813	149.32288	Eucalyptus populnea	40	16	T2 Terrace away from stream channel	-2	-2.05		-2	Very Low
M14	T5	-23.62779	149.32351	Eucalyptus populnea	65	15	T2 Terrace away from stream channel	-1.2	-1.2		-1.2	Moderate

Appendix B – Auger Hole Logs

Site No	Auger No	Y	X	Summary	Position	Special features / Tree roots	Depth metres
M3	AU1	-23.69573	149.26631	0-0.25m - fine sand, yellow grey, moist; 0.25 - 0.5m - fine silty sand, moist and yellow with abundant tree roots; 0.5 - 0.75m fine silty sand, moist yellow. EOH at 0.75m on indurated sandstone.	In base of drainage depression	0.5 with abundant fine tree roots	0.75
M2	AU1	-23.67421	149.2538	0-0.75m - dry hard setting silty clay loam, grey brown. EOH @ 0.75m due to density of substrate.	Loamy plain with no distinctive features	0	0.75
M1	AU1	-23.67978	149.25313	0-0.25 - Grey yellow silty loam, dry to moist with tree roots; 0.35m abundant ironstone mottles; 0.25 - 0.5m -Loamy sand, yellow with iron staining and orange / brown mottles; 0.5 - 1.2m - yellow to orange loamy sand becoming coarse loamy sand, moist with strong iron mottling and staining; 1.2 - 1.5m - coarse sand merging on gravel with strong iron mottling, strong yellow to orange iron staining (moist to wet); 1.5 - 1.7 - yellow orange clayey sand with abundant mottling; 1.75- sand with iron cement, strong yellow to orange staining. EOH 1.8m	In base of channel overflow, 2m from base of Tree 3.	Dense tree roots at 0.25m depth	1.8
M1	AU2	-23.68063	149.2525	0-0.5 - Grey yellow silty loam, dry to moist with tree roots; 0.5 - 1m - fine loamy sand with minor clay nodule. Moist, yellow brown; 1 - 1.25m - Fine, moist yellow brown clayey sand with abundant ironstone staining and mottles; 1.25 - 1.5m - ironstained clayey fine sand to medium sand, yellow, moist to very moist; 1.5 - 2.0m - moist orange clayey sand with abundant iron mottling and staining; 2.0 - 2.2m - Moist orange clayey sand with some coarse sand layers, minor gravel fragments. EOH 2.2m	In base of channel overflow, 2m from base of Tree 5.	Dense tree roots at 0.25 to 0.5m depth	2.2
M4	AU1	-23.68622	149.2423	0-0.25 - Silty loam, dry, brown-grey with weak iron mottling and nodules; 0.25 - 1m, orange brown silty loam, slightly moist with week iron mottling and staining; 1- 1.35m, fine silty sand, grey brown with abundant iron staining and mottling, slightly moist to moist; 1.4m EOH in cemented sand, hardpan layer with ironstone gravel.	Broad poorly defined drainage depression / flood plain, located near weakly incised drainage depression		1.4
M5	AU1	-23.65310404	149.287946	0-0.5m, silty coarse sand and gravel, moist red brown; 0.5m - 0.75m, grey brown fine clayey sand, moist with abundant fibrous tree roots; 0.75m - 1.0m, clayey sand, moist, red brown with ironstone mottling; 1.0 - 1.5m - clayey sand, moist, grey brown with abundant mottling and iron staining; 1.5 - 1.9 - yellow brown silty sand and gravel, moist with cemented hardpan / sandstone at 1.9m EOH.	Placed in stream channel at base of channel floor.	Abundant fibrous tree roots at 0.5m	1.9
M5	AU2	-23.65296	149.28802	Base of channel in grey brown silty / sandy loam. Minor seepage into creek channel	Base of bank adjacent to channel floor.		0.2
M6	AU1	-23.642758	149.314953				0.2
M7	AU1	-23.65010901	149.230038	0-0.35m - fine loamy sand, moist to wet, red brown; 0.35 - 1.0m - fine loamy sand, red brown, moist to wet; 1.0 - 2.2m - uniform moist to wet, red brown sandy loam. Fine tree roots dispersed throughout soil profile.	River flood plain 5m from top of bank.	Dense tree roots at 0.5m; Fine tree root recorded at 1.0 to end of hole dispersed throughout soil profile.	2.2
M7	AU2	-23.649934	149.229753	0 - 0.3, coarse brown sand, dry; 0.3 - 0.5 - moist clayey sand, red brown; 0.5 - 1.0 - Coarse river sand with some clay bands and charcoal, red brown to brown, wet to moist. EOH in wet sand at 2.0m.	Base of sandy channel		2
M8	AU1	-23.65427197	149.237651	0 - 1.0, coarse brown sand, with some clay nodules and charcoal, wet to moist. EOH in wet sand at 2.0m; 1.0 - 1.2m, coarse clayey sand with charcoal, moist to wet. EOH at gravel bed with tree roots immediately above gravel.	Base of sandy channel	1.2m at interface of sand and gravel. Tree roots to 0.25cm, scattered.	1.2

Site No	Auger No	Y	X	Summary	Position	Special features / Tree roots	Depth metres
M9	AU1	-23.64940401	149.246728	0 - 0.25, fine, dry sandy loam, red brown; 0.25 - 0.5m, sandy loam, red brown, abundance of fine tree roots; 0.5 - 1.0m, red brown moist sandy loam, abundant fine tree roots. 1.0 - 1.5m, red brown moist sandy loam, no tree roots; 1.5 - 2.2m, uniform red brown sandy loam, dry with no tree roots.	Top of bank, 4m from edge of channel incision	Fine tree roots to 1.0m.	2.2
M9	AU2	-23.64929304	149.246615	0 - 0.4m, moist, medium to coarse sand, grey brown; 0.4 - 1.0m, medium coarse, grey brown sand to clay sand with charcoal fragments. Moist to wet; 1.0 - 1.5m, medium to coarse fluvial sand, clayey nodules and charcoal, wet to saturated; 1.5 - 2.2, medium to coarse clay sand, clay nodules and charcoal that is wet to very wet. Matted red-gum tree roots at 2.2m at base of sand.	Base of sandy channel	Fine tree roots (red gum) to 25mm recorded at EOH.	2.2
M10	AU1	-23.629771	149.271611	0-0.5m, Red brown silty loam, dry with fine tree roots; 0.5 to 1.5m, red brown silty clay loam, uniform texture becoming moister toward base of hole; 1.5 - 2.1m, friable silty / sandy loam, red brown becoming moist. Abundant tree roots at base of hole.	Upper terrace 5m from top of bank.	Fine tree roots (red gum) to 25mm recorded at EOH.	2.1
M11	AU1	-23.60657701	149.266711	Fine to medim dry sand from surfance to end of hole.	Margins of sandy channel		0.25
M11	AU2	-23.60659302	149.266782	Sand in creek bank, in tree root zone, above base of sandstone basement.	In creek bank		0.5
M12	AU2	-23.62301339	149.280268	0- 0.5, clayey sand, dry, grey brown with abundant fine tree roots; 0.5 - 0.75, clayey sand, grey brown, dry to moist; 0.75 - 1.2, heavy clay, dry, orange brown with charcoal. EOH at 1.2m due to tree roots	Base of incised channel into clay basement	Refusal at end of hole due to dense tree roots.	1.2
M13	AU1	-23.60993698	149.311725	0 - 1.5m, silty clay loam to silty clay, grey brown, with charcoal fragments, moist. Fine tree roots dispersed throughout clay; 1.5 -2m, loamy clay, grey brown with minor iron mottling, dense and moist. EOH at 2.0m due to extreme clay plasticity.	In stream channel, at base of floor, auger hole penetrated to depths > 1.5m below depth of the surface water.	Dispersed throughout soil profile, to 25mm diameter.	2
M14	AU1	-23.62814499	149.322902	0- 1.2, Silty clay loam, grey brown, dry. Uniform from top of hole to base.	Flood plain surface, 25m from drainage channel.		1.2

Appendix C – Soil Moisture Potential Raw Data

Specimen Number	Type	Date Collected	SMP (Mpa)
M10-AU1-0.2	Soil	2-Jun-20	-1.75
M10-AU1-0.4	Soil	2-Jun-20	-0.6
M10-AU1-1.0	Soil	2-Jun-20	-1.15
M10-AU1-1.5	Soil	2-Jun-20	-0.95
M10-AU1-2.1	Soil	2-Jun-20	-0.72
M10-AU2-0.35	Soil	2-Jun-20	-0.01
M11_AU2-0.35	Soil	2-Jun-20	-18.55
M11-AU1-0.20	Soil	2-Jun-20	-25.41
M11-CB	Soil	2-Jun-20	-4.4
M12-AU1-0.2	Soil	2-Jun-20	-1.98
M12-AU2-0.25	Soil	2-Jun-20	-1.86
M12-AU2-0.5	Soil	2-Jun-20	-1.75
M12-AU2-1.0	Soil	2-Jun-20	-2.81
M12-AU2-1.1	Soil	2-Jun-20	-0.65
M12-AU2-1.3	Soil	2-Jun-20	-0.35
M13-AU1-0.2	Soil	3-Jun-20	-0.27
M13-AU1-0.5	Soil	3-Jun-20	-0.29
M13-AU1-1.0	Soil	3-Jun-20	-0.35
M13-AU1-1.5	Soil	3-Jun-20	-0.4
M13-AU1-2.0	Soil	3-Jun-20	-0.1
M14-AU1-0.20	Soil	3-Jun-20	-1.11
M14-AU1-0.5	Soil	3-Jun-20	-3.09
M14-AU1-1.0	Soil	3-Jun-20	-1.35
M14AU1-1.2	soil	3-Jun-20	-3.59
M1-AU1-0.2	Soil	30-May-20	-0.7
M1-AU1-1.2	Soil	30-May-20	-0.14
M1-AU1-1.5	Soil	30-May-20	-0.16
M1-AU2-0.25	Soil	30-May-20	-0.84
M1-AU2-0.5	Soil	30-May-20	-0.32
M1-AU2-1.0	Soil	30-May-20	-0.19
M1-AU2-1.5	Soil	30-May-20	-0.53
M1-AU2-1.7	Soil	30-May-20	-0.17
M1-AU2-2.0	Soil	30-May-20	-0.15
M1-AU2-2.2	Soil	30-May-20	-0.69
M2-AU1-0.25	Soil	30-May-20	-2.9
M2-AU1-0.5	Soil	30-May-20	-12.39
M3-AU1-0.25	Soil	30-May-20	-0.46
M3-AU1-0.5	Soil	30-May-20	-1.16
M3-AU1-0.75	Soil	30-May-20	-5.42
M4-AU1-0.25	Soil	30-May-20	-1.35
M4-AU1-0.5	Soil	30-May-20	-1.04

Specimen Number	Type	Date Collected	SMP (Mpa)
M4-AU1-1.0	Soil	30-May-20	-1.4
M4-AU1-1.35	Soil	30-May-20	-0.91
M5-AU1-0.25	Soil	31-May-20	-0.57
M5-AU1-0.5	Soil	31-May-20	-0.37
M5-AU1-0.75	Soil	31-May-20	-0.28
M5-AU1-1.0	Soil	31-May-20	-0.42
M5-AU1-1.5	Soil	31-May-20	-0.33
M5-AU1-1.9	Soil	31-May-20	-0.26
M5-AU2-0.25	Soil	31-May-20	-0.15
M6-AU1-0.1	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.15
M7-AU1-0.2	Soil	1-Jun-20	-1.51
M7-AU1-0.5	Soil	1-Jun-20	-1.01
M7-AU1-1.0	Soil	1-Jun-20	-1.42
M7-AU1-1.5	Soil	1-Jun-20	-1.9
M7-AU1-2.0	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.38
M7-AU1-2.2	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.17
M7-AU2-0.5	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.51
M7-AU2-1.0	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.36
M7-AU2-1.5	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.21
M7-AU2-2.0	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.28
M8-AU1-0.5	Soil	1-Jun-20	-2.42
M8-AU1-1.0	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.66
M8-AU1-1.2	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.07
M8-AU2-1.0	Soil	1-Jun-20	-1.27
M9-AU1-0.2	Soil	1-Jun-20	-1.38
M9-AU1-0.5	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.38
M9-AU1-1.0	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.82
M9-AU1-1.5	Soil	1-Jun-20	-1.54
M9-AU1-2.0	Soil	1-Jun-20	-1
M9-AU1-2.2	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.27
M9-AU2-0.4	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.27
M9-AU2-1.0	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.47
M9-AU2-1.5	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.25
M9-AU2-2.0	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.13
M9-AU2-2.2	Soil	1-Jun-20	-0.15

Appendix D – Stable Isotope Analytical Results

Sample	Type	$\delta^2\text{H}$	$\delta^{18}\text{O}$
M13T2	Twig	18.40	1.84
M13T3	Twig	10.74	1.17
M14T1	Twig	-15.25	-0.81
M14T1	Twig	-15.98	-1.55
M14T5	Twig	9.12	0.87
m1t1	Twig	5.34	-0.41
m1t2	Twig	3.00	0.33
m1t3	Twig	4.65	-0.64
m1t4	Twig	9.38	0.13
m1t5	Twig	-2.46	-0.30
m2t2	Twig	6.33	0.01
m3t2	Twig	1.70	-0.32
m3t4	Twig	8.50	0.10
M4T1	Twig	2.76	0.81
M4T4	Twig	3.49	0.12
M4T5	Twig	3.50	0.02
M5T1	Twig	1.92	1.87
M5T2	Twig	2.41	-0.14
M5T3	Twig	4.64	-0.46
M6T1	Twig	-0.56	0.38
M6T3	Twig	34.38	5.94
M7T1	Twig	10.96	-0.29
M7T3	Twig	3.05	-0.05
M7T4	Twig	-4.24	-1.51
M7T6	Twig	7.23	-1.34
M8T1	Twig	11.94	-0.25
M8T2	Twig	5.87	-0.30
M8T3	Twig	15.67	2.11
M8T4	Twig	7.44	-0.48
M9T1	Twig	-9.92	-1.34
M9T2	Twig	-9.92	-1.86
M9T3	Twig	0.54	-0.67
7220W3	Groundwater	-23.70	-3.79
7093W3	Groundwater	-26.21	-3.90
7178W2	Groundwater	-23.02	-3.49
7033W1	Groundwater	-26.45	-3.53
7225W3	Groundwater	-29.81	-4.64
7221W1	Groundwater	-14.58	-5.55
7093W1	Groundwater	-29.56	-4.52
7082W2	Groundwater	-25.79	-4.34

Sample	Type	$\delta^2\text{H}$	$\delta^{18}\text{O}$
7033W2	Groundwater	-26.57	-4.29
DW7292W1	Groundwater	-14.66	-4.53
7033W3	Groundwater	-28.41	-4.36
7220W1	Groundwater	-33.16	-5.34
7264W2	Groundwater	-27.46	-4.02
7105W2	Groundwater	-26.68	-3.92
7035W3	Groundwater	-26.93	-4.34
7282W2	Groundwater	-13.87	-4.22
7093W2	Groundwater	-7.45	-4.78
7220W1	Groundwater	-31.46	-5.71
7178W1	Groundwater	-21.93	-3.39
7225W2	Groundwater	-25.45	-4.22
7225W1	Groundwater	-29.32	-4.70
7082W1	Groundwater	-25.68	-4.36
7282W1cracked	Groundwater	31.93	-3.60
7264W3	Groundwater	-25.57	-4.47
M5SW1	Surface Water	5.61	2.04
M6SW1	Surface Water	-6.02	0.43
M8SW1	Surface Water	15.52	4.57
M10SW1	Surface Water	14.43	2.98
M13SW1	Surface Water	12.21	1.71
m10-au1-0.2	Soil	11.40	0.59
m10-au1-0.4	Soil	7.92	-0.71
m10-au1-1.0	Soil	2.90	-5.41
m10-au1-1.5	Soil	-25.63	-8.54
m10-au1-2.1	Soil	-10.91	-7.23
m10-au2-0.35	Soil	2.05	1.43
m11-au2-0.35	Soil	-8.80	-1.13
m11-cb	Soil	-22.35	-3.65
m12-au2-0.25	Soil	-6.68	-2.11
m12-au2-0.5	Soil	0.91	-1.97
m12-au2-1.0	Soil	-16.03	-4.64
m12-au2-1.1	Soil	-17.67	-6.04
m13-au1-0.2	Soil	-10.89	-2.50
m13-au1-0.5	Soil	-8.89	-2.50
m13-au1-1.0	Soil	-8.32	-3.09
m13-au1-1.5	Soil	-7.06	-3.45
m13-au1-2.0	Soil	-8.71	-4.15
m1-au2-2.0	Soil	-22.90	-4.36
m3-au1-0.75	Soil	-13.24	-1.83
m3-au2-0.5	Soil	-15.83	-3.06
m4-au1-0.5	Soil	-5.24	-3.09

Sample	Type	$\delta^2\text{H}$	$\delta^{18}\text{O}$
m4-au1-1.0	Soil	3.58	-0.90
m4-au1-1.35	Soil	-22.06	-5.52
m5-au1-0.25	Soil	11.40	-1.78
m5-au1-0.5	Soil	-18.00	-3.74
m5-au1-0.75	Soil	-14.37	-4.99
m5-au1-1.0	Soil	-22.31	-9.20
m5-au1-1.5	Soil	14.33	-2.39
m5-au1-1.9	Soil	20.54	0.38
m5-au2-0.15	Soil	29.75	2.88
m7-au1-0.25	Soil	-4.43	-0.71
m7-au1-0.5	Soil	-9.58	-3.57
m7-au1-1.0	Soil	6.20	-1.71
m7-au1-15	Soil	-7.69	-1.54
m7-au1-2.0	Soil	2.14	-3.01
m7-au1-2.2	Soil	-10.14	-4.89
m7-au2-0.2	Soil	-21.64	-2.83
m7-au2-2.0	Soil	-18.96	-3.05
m8-au1-0.5	Soil	-15.79	-4.15
m9-au1-2.0	Soil	-18.32	-5.65
m9-au1-2.2	Soil	-31.80	-4.73
m9-au2-0.4	Soil	-12.98	-5.06
m9-au2-1.0	Soil	-3.08	-0.96
m9-au2-1.5	Soil	-22.51	-4.87
m9-au2-2.0	Soil	-18.54	-3.84